R v Greenland, 2018 NWTSC 54 S-1-CR-2018-000001

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

JAYDEN GREENLAND

Transcript of the Reasons for Decision delivered by The Honourable Justice L.A. Charbonneau, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 12th day of July 2018.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. A. Godfrey Counsel for the Crown

Mr. P. Harte Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 268(2), 264.1(1)(A), 88(2) of the Criminal Code of Canada)

1 THE COURT: Jayden Greenland is charged
2 with aggravated assault, uttering death threats,
3 and for having in his possession a knife for a
4 purpose dangerous to public peace.

These charges stem from events alleged to have happened on October 7, 2017, in Fort McPherson. Mr. Greenland was arrested on October 8th for these matters. He was ordered detained after a show cause hearing held on October 16th, 2017, and was detained on a secondary ground. He was committed to stand trial after a preliminary hearing held in December 2017.

He had initially elected to have his trial by judge and jury, but later re-elected to have it before a judge sitting alone. Originally, based on the availabilities provided by counsel, his trial was scheduled to proceed in December Through his counsel, at an appearance in 2018. regular criminal chambers, Mr. Greenland expressed concern about this and the delay. Court asked the Crown to review its availabilities and see whether it could be available for an earlier trial date as defence had provided a number of availabilities during the fall. The Crown did send in revised availabilities, and on that basis, the trial was moved up a few months, and it is now scheduled to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

- 1 proceed commencing September 19th, 2018, in 2 Inuvik.
- 3 Mr. Greenland is now eligible for a bail
- 4 review under Section 525 of the Criminal Code.
- 5 He applies for release based on a change of
- 6 circumstances since his original bail hearing.
- 7 At the hearing earlier this week, the Crown
- 8 conceded, quite fairly, that there had been a
- 9 change in circumstances since the original bail
- 10 hearing, but it does maintain its opposition to
- 11 Mr. Greenland's release.
- The allegations are that on the date of
- these events, there were two gatherings happening
- in Fort McPherson. One was a birthday party,
- which was mostly alcohol-free, taking place at
- 16 House 221. The alleged victim, Abraham Stewart,
- was at that party. He was 17 years old at the
- 18 time. Another person, about the same age, named
- 19 Tony Alexie was also there. Mr. Stewart and
- 20 Mr. Alexie were consuming alcohol. The second
- 21 gathering was at House 226. The accused and
- 22 others were at a party at that house and were
- 23 consuming alcohol.
- 24 At about 2:00 in the morning, Mr. Alexie was
- 25 not feeling well, and he decided to go home.
- Outside, he was confronted by the accused. The
- 27 accused pushed him around. Mr. Alexie went back

- 1 inside House 221 and asked Jessica Blake for 2 help. Ms. Blake asked Mr. Stewart to walk 3 Mr. Alexie home. Both of them went outside and 4 were, again, confronted by the accused. An 5 argument started which escalated to a physical 6 fight between the accused and Mr. Stewart. It is 7 alleged that punches were exchanged and that the 8 two grappled with each other and were holding 9 onto each other. The accused at one point had 10 his right arm around Mr. Stewart's lower back. 11 It is alleged that using his left arm, he stabbed Mr. Stewart repeatedly. Others intervened and 12 13 pulled them apart. It is alleged that the 14 accused said to Mr. Stewart he was going to kill 15 him.
 - Ms. Blake took the knife away from the accused, but it is alleged he pulled out a second one and uttered a threat to those present that he would kill them all. People then dispersed.

 Ms. Blake took Mr. Stewart to the health centre and reported the incident. Police received the complaint shortly after 2 AM.

At the hearing this week, photos were filed showing multiple stab wounds to Mr. Stewart's back. There were nine stab wounds in total, and they were on the right side of his chest, the right side of his back, and his side under his

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

26

right arm as well as his abdomen. He required
stitches and received medical treatment in Inuvik
for those injuries.

The accused has a criminal record of some significance despite his young age. He has multiple convictions for failing to comply with court orders. He also has other convictions that give rise to concerns. He has a recent conviction for pointing a firearm. That offence occurred in July 2017, and he was sentenced for it in August 2017, just a few months before the events giving rise to this charge. He has an assault from 2017 and another assault conviction from 2015 as well as a conviction for resisting arrest in 2015. There are also numerous other convictions on his record. He was bound by two separate probation orders at the time of these alleged events.

At the bail hearing held in October 2017, the Crown indicated its concerns were based on the secondary ground. The prosecutor at that hearing said the Crown may consent to the release subject to a suitable surety being proposed. The proposed surety at that point was the accused's mother. It was proposed that he would live with her in Edmonton. She testified by phone at the bail hearing.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

26

The reasons of the justice of the peace show that, initially, the justice of the peace was of the view that the release plan may have some advantages in that it would have the accused reside in a location far away from the witnesses in this matter. However, it is clear from the Reasons that the justice of the peace was not impressed by the testimony of the surety as far as her ability to keep the accused out of trouble or the prospects of having him returned to Fort McPherson to be tried.

The plan being proposed now is very different as is the proposed surety. This time, the proposed surety is Annie Kaye. She is the accused's grandmother. She testified by phone earlier this week at some length. She and her husband are retired and live an alcohol-free life. They have three camps where they propose to spend most of their time this summer. The proposed plan is to have the accused be with them at those camps and to keep him occupied with various tasks that need to be done at those locations.

Two of these camps are accessible by road and, admittedly, are in areas where other people stay. There is a fair bit of traffic between those locations and Fort McPherson. The third is

2.1

2.3

accessible only by boat and is some distance down
the river from Fort McPherson.

Ms. Kaye also testified about some of the issues that Mr. Greenland faced growing up about his parents' alcohol abuse, lack of guidance and supervision, and other things that she believes are at the root of his current difficulties. It is obvious that she cares deeply for him and that she will continue to support him and do what she can to assist him. That is very much to her credit. She is obviously concerned about the accused and the trouble he has been getting himself into. She wants to give him the opportunity to do something more positive than just sitting idly in jail.

She says if he does not listen to her or does not do what she and her husband say, she will call the police. She has called the police on her own son when he has misbehaved in the past. I will say that I have no difficulty believing that she would do her duty as a surety, and she would report to the authorities if the accused did not obey all his conditions.

The Crown fairly conceded that unlike what was the case in the last show cause hearing, there are no concerns with this surety. The differences in the plan and the strength of the

2.1

surety do constitute a material change in circumstances. The Crown continues to have concerns about public safety. The prosecutor made reference during the review hearing to concerns that the local RCMP have. These are related, in part, to rumours of comments that were said to have been made by the accused to the effect that he intended to carry out the threat that he is alleged to have made at the time of the altercation with Mr. Stewart.

This matter, as I understand, was investigated by police, and they felt they could not lay a charge. It goes without saying that if police felt the information was insufficient to give rise to reasonable and probable grounds to believe an offence had been committed and base a charge on, I can hardly rely on that information as part of these proceedings. So that aspect of what I have been told, I have disregarded.

I also heard other concerns expressed, through the prosecutor, from some of the RCMP members in Fort McPherson, about having received several calls for service that had involved this accused in the recent past. Their perception that there is an escalation in his behaviour, that he is increasingly showing lack of concern for others. Again, I must be very careful about

2.1

2.3

1 attaching any weight to this kind of information.

2 Defence did not object to it being presented, and

3 I did not hear full submissions about the

4 admissibility of this type of information.

The rules of evidence are relaxed at a bail hearing but up to a point only. I have attached very little weight to this information. However, I do recognize that the accused's criminal record, in particular, the recent entries, do show an escalation in his conduct, and that is a proper consideration for me in making this decision.

I am also left with very, very serious allegations. The second ground of detention is concerned with public safety. More specifically, the question is whether the accused's detention is necessary for the protection or safety of the public, including any victim or witness, having regard to all the circumstances including any substantial likelihood that the accused will, if released, commit an offence or interfere with the administration of justice.

A person with an extensive criminal record is still presumed innocent and still has the constitutionally protected right to reasonable bail, as much so as a person who does not have a criminal record. But as a matter of practical

reality, where someone has accumulated as
extensive a criminal record as this accused has,
including numerous failures to comply with court
orders, and when there are recent convictions for
crimes of violence, that raises serious issues as
far as whether conditions included in a court
order can alleviate public safety concerns that
arise.

The release plan has some merit and strength, but two of the three cabins are in locations where other people go and where alcohol They are also areas that are may be found. accessible by road and areas that see considerable traffic to and from the community where the witnesses to be called at this trial reside. In addition, inevitably, the surety and her husband would need to come into town for supplies from time to time. The bottom line is I can order, as part of release conditions, that the accused always be in the presence of one of his grandparents. I can order him not to drink. I can order him to follow their directions and obey their rules. But the success of the plan is entirely dependent on the accused abiding by those conditions. Even the surety said, in her testimony, "It will be up to him".

The problem is that the risk is not simply

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

- that he will disobey his grandmother. The risk
- is that he will disobey his grandmother and
- 3 behave in the manner he has behaved in the recent
- 4 past and commit further offences.
- 5 I am convinced of the sincerity of the
- 6 proposed surety, and I know she would do
- 7 everything she can to keep her grandson out of
- 8 trouble. But as she said herself in her
- 9 evidence, she has always been stern with him, and
- 10 he knows it. She has talked to him about things.
- 11 She has been in his life, and despite her
- 12 efforts, whatever she has told him and however
- stern she has been with him, she does not appear
- to have been able to influence or control his
- 15 behaviour.
- As I said, these allegations are very
- 17 serious. The trial is a few months away. If it
- proceeds as scheduled, it will have taken place
- 19 within less than a year of the events giving rise
- 20 to this charge. As I said in giving my decision
- on the *Sunrise* bail review earlier this
- afternoon, a trial in this Court cannot be
- 23 expected to be accommodated as quickly as a trial
- in the Territorial Court.
- The surety has the best of intentions. And
- I heard and I considered her plea to the Court to
- 27 give this accused a chance to be released pending

1	trial and do some productive things. But despite
2	her best intentions, I am not satisfied that the
3	plan adequately addresses the public safety
4	concerns that exist in this case and all the
5	circumstances. The application is dismissed and
6	the detention order will continue.
7	
8	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Τ	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT:
2	
3	I, Roxanne M. Johanson, certify that the
4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
5	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
6	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
7	to the best of my skill and ability.
8	Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of
9	Alberta, this 21st day of September 2018.
10	
11	L'ETC.
12	
13	SWO of and a
14	XXX Johanson
15	Roxanne M. Johanson, CSR(A)
16	Official Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	