# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

# IN THE MATTER OF:

### HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

#### RAMONA COTCHILLY AND MEGAN GLOW BOYD

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice B. Browne, sitting in Hay River, in the Northwest Territories, on the 19th day of September, 2018.

## APPEARANCES:

Mr. W. Silliker: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. J. Bran: Counsel for the Accused

Megan Boyd

Mr. T. Pham: Counsel for the Accused

Ramona Cotchilly

(Charges under s. 5(1) of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act)

1 THE COURT: Please be seated.

We are dealing today with sentencing
regarding two individuals who have pled guilty to
charges of trafficking in cocaine. Both
individuals have pled guilty and have pled guilty
not knowing what the sentence would be.

Cocaine trafficking in northern communities, in any community, is a serious charge. We all, including Ms. Boyd and Ms. Cotchilly, have seen families torn apart because of drugs, families who do not have enough money to feed the kids because of drugs, all kinds of damage done to families and to our community as a result of drugs, particularly cocaine. People lose everything if they become addicted to those drugs. And so the Courts take the charges seriously of trafficking to ensure that others do not do the same thing and become involved in trafficking. The idea is that if significant sentences are imposed on people who are charged with trafficking, others will learn from those sentences and not be involved in similar activities. The NWT Court of Appeal, which is the Alberta Court of Appeal, has said in the case of Joe (that the lawyers have talked to me about) that the minimum sentence in that case was a sentence of one year in jail.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Another principle that we toss into the mix when we are trying to balance the personal circumstances of the accused people and the nature of the crime is proportionality. We can only sentence people on the crime that they committed, and we have to keep in mind their personal circumstances and figure out after that balance what the proper sentence is.

So interesting, referring back to the case of Joe, that was quite a serious case when we compare it to the circumstances of the cases that I have in front of me today. In that case where the sentence was one year in jail, there was a significant amount of marijuana, 236 grams; 8 grams of cocaine; and 4 to \$5,000. In Ms. Cotchilly's case, we are talking about \$20 and one transaction. That is the charge that is before me today. With regard to Ms. Boyd, we have three transactions and 200-plus dollars involved in the transactions of small amounts of cocaine. So it is important to throw that into the balance that I have to look at when I am arriving at a proper sentence.

And then, of course, we have the *Gladue* and *Ipeelee* factors, and that goes to moral blameworthiness or culpability. Those are big words. I do not know how to explain them more

simply. But as I was thinking about it, maybe it means what do we expect of people who have had tragedies throughout their life, who have been in foster homes again and again, who have not had the stability and the privileges that I have had. How do we figure out what is normal for people who have not had a strong family base to grow up with, and how do we judge them in terms of the sentencing and the criminal law? And that is what Gladue, Ipeelee -- the later cases are Swampy -- that is what those cases are saying to us. How do we recognize the challenges that some people have had in their lives in trying to figure out that proportional sentence?

And both Ms. Boyd and Ms. Cotchilly have had significant challenges in their lives in terms of becoming productive members of society, going to school, raising kids, foster homes, all of those things that we recognize now are significant challenges for people in their lives. So that is one of the things that we have to think about in the general context of sentencing.

So in terms of the facts of the crimes that Ms. Cotchilly and Ms. Boyd have pled guilty to, the facts of what I am dealing with today are significantly less than the facts that the Court of Appeal dealt with in the *Joe* case that I have

referred to already. And I just want to say a little bit about both Ms. Boyd and Ms. Cotchilly in terms of their personal circumstances and how I arrive at my final decision. It is worth talking about when we are talking about sentencing, aggravating factors that suggest a serious sentence and mitigating factors, things that can be said in favour of an accused person. A basic aggravating factor here is that we are dealing with cocaine, and the Courts deal with cocaine seriously.

In Ms. Cotchilly's case -- since we dealt with her first -- a guilty plea, maybe not an early guilty plea but a guilty plea nonetheless, so we have not had to have a trial. That takes time, and there is some indication of remorse. She has on old record subject to a 2017 conviction to which she received one day in jail. She indicates to the Court that she has not been involved with drugs since January, and I note in the presentence report that various reporters say that Ms. Cotchilly has been still interacting with people who are involved in the drug world, although she says she is clean. Ms. Cotchilly is pregnant and has four other children in care.

The presentence report talks about *Gladue* factors throughout. She is now homeless living

in a tent. There was alcoholism; trauma in her growing-up years; poverty, poverty that some of us cannot even imagine; and yet there is a little bit of a spark when I was reading the report. As a child, she talks positively about hunting and fishing I think with her grandparents.

Common-law for a long period of time and from

Common-law for a long period of time and from time to time in that relationship some abuse.

So lots of *Gladue* factors, if we call them that, lots of challenges in growing up, and lots of challenges in finding a way to be a productive member of the community, and the question I always ask as a judge is how do we overcome that? How does Ms. Cotchilly move to education, to jobs, to being a good mom and having her kids with her? How do we do that challenge?

Ms. Boyd, 36 years old; also indigenous from Mikisew Cree Nation; involved in three transactions rather than one and cocaine -- those are the serious matters -- in and out of foster homes over the years; very early guilty plea; no previous record; has been trying since the charges arose going to AA and trying to put life together again in the absence of drugs.

So balancing all of those things, it is important that the sentences that I impose on Ms. Boyd and Ms. Cotchilly make sense to each

other, make sense to the other cases that I have read about, and make sense to Ms. Boyd and Ms. Cotchilly individually.

It is significant to note that, particularly Ms. Cotchilly, we are talking about a \$20 transaction. There was a little bit of activity in getting to that \$20, but that is what we are talking about. Ms. Boyd, a little more serious. Ms. Boyd's personal circumstances can balance that to some extent.

So I think about personal circumstances of both of the accused, facts of the crime, and I balance that in trying to come up with an appropriate sentence having received some guidance from the Court of Appeal, which is a higher court that I have to listen to.

So, Ms. Cotchilly, stand up, please. So after all that, I have tried to explain in words that everybody will understand how I came to the decision that I did. I am satisfied that the proper sentence for you and for the crime that is before the Court with a guilty plea, the sentence should be six months in jail. You will be on probation after that for 12 months. Conditions of the probation order will be keep the peace and be of good behaviour, you will report within seven days of your release to the probation

officer, you will attend counselling directed by the probation officer. I am going to put a recommendation in the order that you attend education or get a job. I think those are some of the ways that you can pay back and get back into the swing of things. As well, there will be the usual orders, a DNA order, forfeiture of all the things that were seized, and a firearms order for ten years.

> Ms. Cotchilly, a final word. When I read the presentence report, you sparkled when you talked about hunting and fishing, so maybe try to find out -- go visit some elders and talk to them and find out how you can explore that traditional life that you have some positive memories about. I hope that you can find some way of working to become a productive member of the community. That is up to you. Thank you.

19 THE ACCUSED: Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Ms. Boyd, I struggled a little 21 bit because Ms. Boyd has three transactions, no 22 record mind you, and I think that it is -- I 23 cannot give the same sentence. So, Ms. Boyd, 24 with regard to the charge that you have pled 25 quilty to, the sentence is eight months in jail. There will be the usual orders, forfeiture of the 26 27 drugs, a DNA order, ten-year firearms order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1		I wi	ll make	a recomme	endati	on o	n bo	th t	hat	
2		both cand	lidates m	nay be app	propri	iate	for	prer	elea	se
3		from the	jail hav	ving regai	rd to	the	Glad	due		
4		factors,	and I am	n particul	larly	conc	erne	d ab	out	
5		the $R.$ $v.$	Ewert d	lecision w	which	seem	ıs to	hav	e	
6		instructe	ed us tha	at some pe	eople	do n	ot g	et o	ut o	f
7		jail when	others	might.						
8		Ms.	Boyd, I	hope that	you	can	do y	our	time	,
9		get back	to your	family as	s soon	as	you	can,		
10		continue	to do th	ne things	that	you	have	bee	n	
11		doing to	improve	your life	e. I	hope	e thi	ngs	go	
12		well for	you.							
13	THE	ACCUSED:		Thank	you.					
14	THE	COURT:		Thank	you.					
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT							
2								
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the							
4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate							
5	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in							
6	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes							
7	to the best of my skill and ability.							
8	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of							
9	Alberta, this 4th day of October, 2018.							
10								
11	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723							
12	of the Rules of Court							
13								
14	KimCloutus							
17	K. Cloutier							
18	Court Reporter							
19	•							
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								