IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

TONY HOWARD KAKFWI

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice L.A. Charbonneau, sitting in Fort Good Hope, in the Northwest Territories, on the 1st day of May, 2018.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. M. Fane: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. C. Davison: Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 244.2, 264.2(1)(a), and 85(1)(a) of the *Criminal Code*)

THE COURT: On November 25th, 2016, Tony
Kakfwi was in a state of profound distress. His
life in general had not been an easy one and he
had been spiraling downwards for many months. He
was upset about a lot of things including with
having had no heat at his house for a very long
time. He felt that promises he had received for
help were not being honoured.

He decided he would speak about this at the annual Band meeting here in Fort Good Hope. He drank some alcohol to work up the courage to speak at the meeting. Because he was intoxicated, he was not permitted to finish speaking. He felt that some people at the meeting were laughing at him, and he said some of those things again this afternoon.

He snapped. He took extreme measures to be heard, and what he did was something that shook this community, scared people here very much, and will probably take a very long time to recover from even though no one was physically injured.

Today it is my difficult responsibility to impose sentence on Mr. Kakfwi for what he did that day. There are three charges that I have to sentence him for. The first is having discharged the firearm while being reckless as to the life or safety of other people, the second is for

uttering death threats to the police officers who were here in Fort Good Hope responding to the call for help, and the third is for having used a rifle while committing that offence of uttering threats.

It is important for me to make clear today that Mr. Kakfwi did not just recently take responsibility for what he did that day. He has apologized to his community in open court today and had done so as well yesterday, but he entered guilty pleas to the charges a long time ago.

It took a long time to get to this stage in the sentencing hearing because a legal issue had to be dealt with before we could have the sentencing hearing. The Criminal Code says that for two of the three charges that Mr. Kakfwi faces there are mandatory minimum sentences. For the reckless discharge of the firearm, the Criminal Code says there is a mandatory minimum sentence of four years, and Mr. Kakfwi challenged the validity of that law. That issue had to be decided by me before we could proceed to the sentencing hearing, and I did decide that the four-year minimum was contrary to the Charter of Rights.

That does not answer the question as to what sentence should be imposed for that offence. All

that means is there is no mandatory minimum. So that is why it took a while to get to this point.

The Crown has argued that Mr. Kakfwi should receive a global jail term between five and six years for these offences. The Crown says that he should get four to five years on the reckless discharge of the firearm, one year concurrent for the uttering threats charge, and one year consecutive for the use of the firearm while committing the threats.

Mr. Kakfwi is entitled to credit for just over two years for the time he has already spent in custody on this. So if I sentence him in the manner suggested by the Crown, the further jail term imposed today would be between three and four years.

Defence's position is that the global sentence should be less than that and should be at most four years. With the credit that Mr. Kakfwi is entitled to receive for the time he has already spent in custody, this would mean a further jail term of just under two years.

Sentencing is never an easy task for a judge, and I have to say this case is not an easy one. There are many things that have to be considered, and for that reason, there is quite a bit that I need to say this afternoon to explain

my decision to Mr. Kakfwi, to his family, and to this community.

As is always the case on sentencing, deciding what the sentence should be requires consideration of the crimes that were committed, the circumstances of the person who committed them, and many principles of law that apply to sentencing.

I am going to refer again to what happened on the day of these events although it is well known in this community. I have to talk about what happened to put my decision in context.

What I am about to say is a summary of the facts that were read into the record yesterday as well as some additional facts that I was told about through the lawyers' submissions, through the Presentence Report, through some of the letters of support that were filed at the sentencing hearing, and also to an extent from Constable Whynot's Victim Impact Statement.

On November 25th, 2016, the Fort Good Hope Band was holding its annual meeting at the Band hall, and Mr. Kakfwi went to that meeting. I heard that he had been asking the Band for help to get a wood stove to heat his house. This issue had been going on for a number of years. His view was that he had raised this issue many

times with the Band, had been promised certain things, more specifically that he would get a wood stove on a priority basis, but that promise was not kept.

There were other things, many other things that were going on in his life as well. He was drinking a lot and he was on a downward spiral. He had been suicidal. His drinking was more and more out of control to the point that he was drinking things that contain alcohol that are not intended to be consumed, things like rubbing alcohol. One such time led to him having be medi-vac'd. Medical records were filed in relation to that incident.

The day of the meeting he decided to go and make his complaints known. He drank alcohol before going to give himself courage to speak up. When he did speak, he was intoxicated. The microphone was taken from him and he was asked to leave. He said some of people there laughed at him and that made him feel even worse than he was already feeling.

Some of the people who wrote letters on his behalf also talk about this having happened. One writer talks about him having been publicly humiliated at the time of the meeting. I was not there, and I do not know exactly what was said or

how, but whatever happened, it did make

Mr. Kakfwi snap.

He saw the lay minister at the hall. They had a conversation. The lay minster knew that Mr. Kakfwi was not all right and he knew that Mr. Kakfwi needed more specialized help than he, the lay minster, was able to give; but he was talking to him and I am sure that he did the best that he could at the time.

Mr. Kakfwi said that he wanted to take a cigarette break during their conversation, and the lay minister believed him. But instead, Mr. Kakfwi went to the residence of someone he knew where he knew he would be able to get his hands on a firearm.

He got a firearm from that residence as well as ammunition. It happened to be a very powerful firearm. It is a firearm that fires ammunition that is normally used for long-range big game hunting. It is also used by the military of the United States and law enforcement as a sniper round. The weapon has a long range and, if fired, would pass through most modern construction materials used for exterior walls. At a range of 100 feet, it would easily pass through standard issue body armour used by police officers.

Mr. Kakfwi's intention was to kill himself, but he also wanted to make a point. He returned to the parking area of the Band hall and he fired five shots in the air. He then walked across the street to the local Elders complex and went inside one of the residence in that complex.

People obviously were terrified when

Mr. Kakfwi started to shoot. The RCMP were

called, and all four officers from the Fort Good

Hope detachment responded. It has not

specifically been said yesterday, but I imagine

that for a police officer, responding to that

kind of call, in an isolated community like

Fort Good Hope where there is no backup, is a

police officer's nightmare.

As Sergeant Sparrow and Constable Whynot were approaching the Elders complex, one of the things they and the other officers were concerned about was to evacuate the other people who lived in the complex.

As they were approaching, Mr. Kakfwi fired one shot as a "warning" to them. Sergeant Sparrow retreated to the RCMP vehicle for cover, and Constable Whynot hid in some nearby bushes.

Mr. Kakfwi called his daughter and told her to tell the police that he would shoot anyone who approached the building. This was relayed to the

responding officers through the RCMP's telecommunications system, and that is what forms the basis of the uttering threats charge. It was a very specific threat directed at the officers, a threat made after shots had already been fired so obviously it was taken very seriously.

Eventually Constable Whynot was able to approach close enough to the building to speak to Mr. Kakfwi. There was one point where Mr. Kakfwi thought he heard the noise of someone approaching the building, and he fired a second "warning shot". It appears as though the noises that Mr. Kakfwi heard may have been caused by the officers who were trying to make sure that everyone was safely out of the building. And it is also part of the facts that shortly before that second shot was fired someone had driven by on a snowmobile.

Mr. Kakfwi fired a third shot at a 45 degree angle through the awning of the apartment's porch. He fired that shot towards the Band hall. Some people had come out of the hall and were yelling at him, and he fired that shot to get those people back inside the building.

Three times during the standoff, which lasted about two and a half hours, Constable Whynot saw Mr. Kakfwi came out of the apartment

on to the porch. Each time he was holding the rifle to his chin saying he wanted to kill himself. He said things like he wanted to blow his head off, that he wanted to send people a message, and that no one cared about him.

Mr. Kakfwi was on the phone with his daughter and some of his other children for awhile and eventually, and thankfully, he came to his senses.

One of the things that he realized, I heard yesterday, was that Constable Whynot had spent a long time outside and would be getting very cold because it was minus 30 that day. Mr. Kakfwi actually liked and respected Constable Whynot, as he said this afternoon. Constable Whynot had always treated him with respect. Constable Whynot had always acknowledged him when he would see him on the street. He would ask him how he was doing. Constable Whynot had to arrest Mr. Kakfwi on a number of occasions, but he always treated him well, compassionately, and showed him respect.

Mr. Kakfwi came out of the apartment with the rifle. He put the rifle down when Constable Whynot told him to do so. He told the officer that the firearm had been emptied, and that was true. Then he was arrested.

Mr. Kakfwi hugged both Constable Whynot and Sergeant Sparrow when he surrendered, and that says something about what he thought of them and their disposition towards him.

Something has to be said about the impact that these offences had. Constable Whynot is now stationed in another community in the Northwest Territories. He prepared a Victim Impact Statement that was read yesterday by Sergeant Sparrow. It is a very compelling Victim Impact Statement, and it demonstrates, as does other evidence before me, that Constable Whynot is an exceptional police officer and an exceptional person.

He explains how he felt when he received the call, how he knew he was going into a dangerous situation and was thinking of his family, how he was worried for community members who he knew were at the hall. He knew children were there. He hoped he would not have to shoot Mr. Kakfwi.

Constable Whynot did not want to shoot
Mr. Kakfwi. He almost had to shoot him. I
cannot imagine how he would have felt for him to
have his finger on the trigger halfway pulled
after Mr. Kakfwi shot that third shot outside the
window, because Constable Whynot knew that if
Mr. Kakfwi lowered the rifle he would have no

choice but to kill him.

2.7

Police officers are trained to respond to dangerous situations. They are also people who, like all of us, are affected by traumatic events. Mr. Kakfwi's intentions were to harm himself only. I accept that, but he was also prepared to fire to keep people away. These officers thought that they were being shot at, that they were the actual targets. Then they received a message that they would be shot if they approached. They were concerned for community members at the hall, for the Elders in the complex, and they knew that help and reinforcement was many, many hours away. That would be an unbelievably scary and difficult situation even for a trained professional.

Sergeant Sparrow did not prepare a Victim

Impact Statement, but she spoke to the author of
the Presentence Report about the impact that
these events had on her, things like: not being
sure she would come home alive from her shift;
calling family members while this was happening
to tell them she was not sure she would come home
from her shift; doing radio checks after each
shot was fired to make sure that all the other
officers were okay; thinking when one of them did
not answer right away that that person had been
shot; and after the fact, having nightmares,

having very startled actions to ordinary noises like a table being dropped. Her comments as recorded in the Presentence Report are also very fair and very balanced.

The Presentence Report also refers to the impact on the community. The Chief and other community members spoke to the author of the Presentence Report and said that this had a lasting impact on people here. I do not doubt that it did. As Constable Whynot put it in his Victim Impact Statement, "Perceptions of safety were altered".

The Presentence Report was prepared almost a year ago, so things may have changed; but certainly at that time, which was a whole seven months after the incident, some people were still reluctant to even attend this building, the Band hall. Sergeant Sparrow reports actually hearing that from a four-year-old child.

She also spoke about the fact that there was an incident where the school and Band office were under lockdown, because the Land Corporation had received a call from an unhappy client who said he was going to come down to talk to them. This prompted this lockdown reaction, and I think it is very telling. That particular unhappy client did not show up with a gun and start shooting at

people, but the reaction people had to his call shows just how much impact this event had on this community, how scared people are that it is going to happen again.

I find it terribly sad that people were so scared that they felt uncomfortable and afraid coming to this building. Community halls are important buildings in our communities. It is a place where feasts take place, where weddings take place, where dances take place. It is supposed to be a place for the community to come together, enjoy each other's company and gather. To think that people, children, would be scared to come to that building is extremely sad.

I also heard that the RCMP now has a what they call an active shooter plan in place for buildings in the community that are considered high risk. The RCMP has also started to educate the community about what to do in an active shooter situation; "active shooter" meaning, as I understand, an incident when someone is firing a gun.

Frankly it is a bit surreal to think of Fort Good Hope, a community of less than 600 people, having to have active shooter policies or active shooter drills.

For sure, as defence counsel has pointed

out, the world is changing. There are many reasons why everyone's sense of safety is changing, but on the evidence before me it seems very clear that Mr. Kakfwi's actions had a deep impact on this community.

There is, of course, a lot more to

Mr. Kakfwi than what he did that day. The people
who prepared letters in support of him all say,
each in their own way, that he is not a bad
person. Constable Whynot and Sergeant Sparrow
actually say similar things, too; that he is not
an evil man and that he was desperate that day.

Mr. Kakfwi's circumstances must be taken into account in deciding what sentence he should receive, and those circumstances are very sad.

Mr. Kakfwi is now 50 years old. As I said at the beginning, his has not been an easy life. I have read carefully all the materials that were filed and give me information about him and his background. I am not going to refer to all of it today, but I have considered it all.

The home environment that Mr. Kakfwi grew up in was difficult. There was alcohol abuse and domestic violence in the family. There was poverty. There was physical and mental abuse. The children were disciplined in very rough ways. There were no physical displays of affection. In

short, Mr. Kakfwi grew up in an environment where, as a child, his basic needs were not met.

He developed an alcohol addiction and in some ways repeated the patterns that he had seen growing up. His alcohol addiction created significant difficulties for him. It created difficulties with work, with his relationship. It led him to poverty and difficult life circumstances. And he spoke about that this afternoon as well.

The amount of loss that Mr. Kakfwi has experienced in his life is staggering. There have been numerous deaths, some violent, several suicides, that have had an impact on his life including in recent years and including since he has been in custody.

Mr. Kakfwi has attempted to take treatment in the past for his addiction, but for various reasons that did not work out, at times because of his own actions and possibly because of other circumstances. One thing that is very clear is that it is crucial that he get treatment. There is no residential treatment in the Northwest Territories, but residential treatment is available through other means. If Mr. Kakfwi is serious about getting treatment, he is going to have to get it outside the Territory, and I

sincerely hope that that can be arranged and that he will go.

In recent months, he has made progress while in custody. He has taken better care of himself. He has taken some programs. The reality is that with a long lasting addiction he probably will need continued and prolonged treatment and counselling to continue to beat this addiction.

I pause here to note that there are others, sadly, who grew up in difficult circumstances in this community and others and have faced similar issues to those that Mr. Kakfwi has faced. One of his siblings actually is reported saying in the Presentence Report that alcohol abuse was like an epidemic in this community when they were growing up, and I am sad to say the same is true for many other communities. Many people have developed addictions and have had unhealthy lifestyles.

It is important to remember, though, that some people have successfully battled their addictions. It is hard, but it can be done. There are people in this community who have overcome their hardships. There are people who could help Mr. Kakfwi with his issues if he is willing to go the distance, too. It will take time and it will take forgiveness, but it can be

done.

2.7

The materials that were filed at the sentencing hearing suggest that quite a few people want to support Mr. Kakfwi and help him with his rehabilitation. He has often felt alone and that nobody cared about him, and I have no doubt that is how he felt. It may be that in part that was depression talking and the alcohol talking, because the evidence before me suggests that now at least there are people here who want to help him. But the addiction has to be addressed, otherwise outside support is not going to change anything.

When we took the short break earlier this afternoon, there were a large number of people who lined up to give Mr. Kakfwi a hug and say a few words to him, and that confirms my thinking that there are people in this community who actually are willing to help him and will be there for him when he returns, whenever that is.

The information before me is that Mr. Kakfwi has skills. He is good on the land. He has learned about traditional activities and enjoys those things. Those things may help him reconnect with things that are important and relevant for him, and they may help with his healing; but as I said, the addiction has to be

1	addressed.
---	------------

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2 This afternoon I received one more support 3 letter from his daughter. I cannot imagine what it was like for her to be the communicator 4 5 between the RCMP and her father in this terrible two and a half hours that this incident lasted. 6 7 She was in an impossible situation. Her letter 8 is very moving. It talks about the level to 9 which her father's house was in disrepair and the 10 struggles he has had.

I just want to read from that letter, not the whole letter but part of it. Ms. Kakfwi writes:

Everyone always said they would help my dad but no one would listen or even gave him a look when he walked by. The only time he would eat was at a community feast but those would only occur every so often. He utilized and survived the best he could. Being in the middle of winter and unable to go anywhere for any help would drive a person to do what he did because he was constantly being fed hopeless lies of getting help. There is a crisis of homelessness and poverty in Fort Good Hope that just seems to go unnoticed. Some people abuse it, but others who need aren't treated very well. Not putting anything on anyone but there are people who are so fortunate and lucky to live where they live but laugh at the struggling people who have nowhere to turn to.

Ms. Kakfwi supports her father, and that is understandable, and I hope that her words

resonate with some of the people who are here today and that everyone will make it their personal choice to try to help those who are less fortunate.

I have to follow the law when I impose a sentence. I cannot be guided simply by my sympathy or my compassion for anybody involved, whether it is the victims of a crime or the person who committed the crime.

The most important sentencing principle I have to follow is that a sentence has to be proportionate to the seriousness of the offence and the degree of blameworthiness of the person who committed it.

There are things that reduce Mr. Kakfwi's blameworthiness. No child should grow up in the kind of environment he grew up in. Children should be cared for and protected by their parents and by adults in general. They should not be exposed to the violence and dysfunction that the Presentence Report talks about. The circumstances of Mr. Kakfwi sadly are shared by many indigenous people in this country.

Yesterday Mr. Kakfwi's lawyer talked about two cases of the Supreme Court of Canada that have laid down principles about how courts should approach sentencing of indigenous offenders, and

I am not going to quote from these cases, but all the principles that defence counsel talked about yesterday are found in those cases, are the principles I must follow and I have done my best to follow them.

I have taken judicial notice of systemic and background factors that have had an impact on indigenous people in this country as well as taken into account Mr. Kakfwi's specific circumstances. This is not a case where anyone is suggesting that a sanction other than imprisonment is appropriate. Some of the letters of support suggest that I should consider house arrest or time already served as a sentencing decision on this matter, but even Mr. Kakfwi's lawyer is not asking the court to do that and that is a realistic position to take because of what has happened. Those sentence would not reflect the seriousness of what Mr. Kakfwi did.

The aspect of the principles set out in those cases that is extremely important in this case is the question of restraint. The Supreme Court of Canada has not said that there is an automatic reduction of sentencing when dealing

with an indigenous offender, but it has directed sentencing courts to consider, when jail has to be imposed, whether a shorter sentence that the one that might otherwise be justified should be imposed to take into account the circumstances of the offender, in particular, anything that reduces the blameworthiness.

Mr. Kakfwi's guilty plea is mitigating. It has saved the time and resources that it would have taken up if this matter had gone to trial. It has avoided people having to come to court and talk about what happened that day. From sitting through many trials I know how difficult that is for witnesses.

The Crown's case against Mr. Kakfwi would have been a very strong case and that is a factor that is sometimes considered in deciding how much weight to give a guilty plea, but the fact of avoiding a trial is always significant. And the guilty plea and the early indication that it would come is also a strong indication of Mr. Kakfwi's remorse and willingness to take responsibility for what he did.

I accept that he was in a distressed, depressed state when all of this happened, and that his intention was not to harm anyone. These are things that are mitigating on sentencing,

things that reduce Mr. Kakfwi's blameworthiness.

But there are also things, and it has to be said, that make these offences very serious.

Parliament is responsible for making the laws and the courts are there to apply the laws.

Parliament has said in different ways, minimum sentences being one of those ways but there are other ways, how seriously it treats firearm

offences. And there is a reason for that.

As I said, when I gave my decision on the constitutional challenge, firearms are everywhere in northern communities. They are essential tools for those who spend time on the land fishing, trapping, and hunting. They are very accessible. They are also very dangerous objects that can do a lot of damage. The criminal justice system's response to the misuse of firearms has to be stern.

I said this in a very recent case, R v

Bernarde 2018 NWTSC 27. People know that

firearms can do a lot of damage. Having a

firearm pointed at someone or shot near people

will inevitably have exactly the effect that

Mr. Kakfwi's actions had that day. It is

terrifying.

And while I have a lot of compassion for Mr. Kakfwi's situation and his level of despair,

I also have compassion for the police officers that were involved in responding to this and for all the community members who went from being at their annual meeting to being in state of siege and terror because Mr. Kakfwi chose this very extreme manner to call attention to his issues.

One of the support letters make reference to the fact that Mr. Kakfwi is a very good hunter and that if he had wanted to hurt someone he could have easily done so. I am sure that is true. But the fact remains he was drunk, he was upset, and he fired a very powerful firearm several times. The level of risk to others was very high.

And aside from that, the effect of what he did would have been absolutely terrifying to anyone who did not know his intentions. Those who want to support Mr. Kakfwi must acknowledge, as he has, the seriousness of what he did.

The second thing that makes this case extremely serious was the confrontation with police, and this ties in with my comments about the misuse of firearms. I agree completely with the comments that were made by the Nunavut Court of Justice in *R v Kolola*, 2010 NUCJ 4, which were repeated in *R v Utye*, 2013 NUCJ 14, about deterring conduct of this sort towards police

officers, and that is for many reasons.

2.7

Police officers are there to protect the public. They are there to enforce the law on behalf of others. When they show up at a scene, it is usually because someone has called for their help. They come across difficult situations as a matter of course as part of their jobs. They encounter intoxicated people, distressed people, angry people, uncooperative people, hysterical people, severely injured people. That is part of their job. They have to make split second sometimes life or death decisions, just like the ones that they had to make in this case.

In this case, they did an admirable job of doing what needed to be done to protect those who needed protecting and without having to resort to deadly force. Engaging in any kind of a standoff or confrontation with police using a firearm, placing a police officer in that impossible situation of not wanting to shoot, not wanting to risk getting shot or risk someone else getting shot is, in all cases, extremely serious.

Mr. Kakfwi respected and liked these officers. Yet he threatened to shoot them if they came close, and he did shoot to keep them away. While his initial intent was to harm

himself and draw attention to his problems, he was prepared, in doing so, to use a firearm to force others, including the police officers, to do as he said.

Thankfully in the Northwest Territories events like this one are relatively rare. Much more rare, for example, than they seem to be in Nunavut. This court has to do what it can to denounce this conduct and ensure it stays that way. By this I mean that it remains an exceedingly rare occurrence that this happens.

I do accept that specific deterrence and even general deterrence may not be particularly effective or relevant when dealing with people who are intoxicated and distressed, but there remains the other sentencing objective, denunciation. Sentences are one of the ways and really the main way whereby the courts can express society's condemnation of certain types of conduct and conduct like Mr. Kakfwi's conduct that day in general, but also in relation to the police officers who responded to this call, has to be denounced.

Mr. Kakfwi has a long criminal record. It includes convictions for crimes of violence and convictions for offences related to firearms.

The longest sentence he ever received was 12

months for uttering threats. The things I have to sentence him for today by far are the most serious crimes he has ever committed.

I agree with defence counsel completely that it is very important that Mr. Kakfwi not be resentenced over and over again for his criminal record. The record is as much a symptom of his difficulties as anything else; but certainly he has known for a long time now that his alcohol consumption leads to difficulties and to criminal behaviour. Although dated, the conviction for careless use of a firearm shows that he has misused firearms in the past. He has not always complied with prohibition from being in possession of firearms or ammunition, and he has committed crimes of violence. The criminal record is not the most significant factor on this sentencing, far from it; but I cannot disregard it either.

I have also taken into account the fact that Mr. Kakfwi was suicidal at the time of these events and that his primary intention was to harm himself. And I refer to that aspect of things in my decision on the constitutional challenge as well.

The fact that a person who is misusing a firearm is suicidal does not reduce the risk that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

person presents. On the contrary, it often enhances that risk. A suicidal desperate person has nothing to lose and may act in the most reckless of ways. R v Lyta, 2013 NUCA 10, and R v Mikijuk, 2017 NUCJ 02, from Nunavut are good examples of this.

Depression and suicidal intentions combined with the consumption of alcohol is a dangerous mix. Add a firearm to that and you have real potential for disaster. Suicidal people sometimes end hurting others very badly. It is for sure a very sad aspect of this case, but it does not necessarily render what happened any less serious.

As was discussed during the sentencing submissions, these things are on a scale. The reasonable hypothetical that was presented as part of the constitutional challenge supposes an offender who is suicidal and who is about to pull the trigger to kill himself and has a friend push the barrel of the gun at the last moment, still fires and then immediately drops the firearm. Those facts are at one end of the spectrum of what a reckless discharge of a firearm can be.

At the other end of the spectrum, we have the behaviour of a suicidal offender like

Mr. Lyta and others who, in their suicidal state,

fire at police officers' homes or behave in such a way in the hope of eventually getting shot by the police officers.

Mr. Kakfwi's actions were not as bad perhaps as the conduct of Mr. Lyta, who shot several times into police officers' homes, but they are certainly not at the lower end of the scale either. He was suicidal and depressed, but he also wanted to make a point and to make that point he essentially held members of this community hostage. He first fired shots outside the community hall which created chaos and panic. Then he went inside a nearby building and fired more shorts; two to keep the police away and one to force people back into this building. And he made it known to police through his daughter that he would shoot them or anyone who approached. may not have intended to harm anyone, but these actions were directed at others and could only have the effect of instilling fear and terror in others.

Having said all of that, the fact that

Mr. Kakfwi was suicidal and depressed has to be
taken into account, of course; but any sympathy
that I feel, and I do for his situation, has to
be balanced with the seriousness of what he
actually did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I have been referred to a number of cases, many that I had reviewed in my consideration of the constitutional challenge. I am not going to refer to those cases in great detail, but I have read them all and considered them all.

Sentencing is a very individualized process and it is highly dependent on the circumstances of each case and sometimes on regional differences. I am not aware of any other case where an offender was sentenced for a reckless discharge of a firearm in this jurisdiction. I do not find sentencing decisions dealing with other types of offences such as careless use of a firearm or cases where the firearm was not actually discharged particularly helpful in deciding what should happen in this case.

Decisions from other jurisdictions are helpful, of course, but also distinguishable in various respects. R v Gunner, 2017 QCCQ 12563, from Quebec has some similarities with this one. As I noted in my constitutional ruling, I agree with some aspects of the analysis in that case but not with others. I am far from certain that I would have imposed the same sentence as was imposed in that case if this happened here. But one thing of note is that the offender in Gunner was 27 years old and had no criminal record.

Most of the offenders involved in the Nunavut
cases I was referred to were even younger, early
3 20s, and many had no record.

In this case the offender is a mature man, who, although not a hardened criminal, has been convicted of many offences throughout his life.

Other cases, such as R v McMillan, 2016 MBCA 12, and R v Crockwell, 2013 NLTD(G) 23, did not occur in the context of an isolated northern community.

Given the number of cases involving firearms that are or have been before this court lately, one of which is already under appeal, it may be that we will get guidance from our Court of Appeal in sentencing for these types of offences. But for now, my own view is that the prevalence of firearms in our communities and the limited police resources and unavailability of immediate backup are elements that are of great importance in sentencing someone in any type of standoff situation that involves a firearm. This is particularly so when, as here, the person demonstrates a willingness to fire multiple times at different moments to force others to comply with his will and when serious threats are uttered. It is fortunate that no one was injured in these events. If someone had been injured or

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

killed, then we would not be here dealing with the same offence and the sentence obviously would be far more severe. The very essence of the offence of reckless discharge is to capture this type of high risk behaviour committed with a firearm. And in the context of the reality of this jurisdiction, in my view, the court's response to that type of offence has to be stern.

I am required to exercise as much restraint as possible in sentencing Mr. Kakfwi, and I have thought carefully about what that means. I am required to take into account the things that reduce his blameworthiness, and I have; but I also have to balance this against the seriousness of what he did and the importance of sending a very clear message about seriously this court views what he did. The range of sentence proposed by his lawyer, in my view, would not reflect the gravity of what happened here in November of 2016.

Alcohol abuse has brought Mr. Kakfwi very many difficulties. It has caused problems with his work. It was a destructive factor in his relationship. It has brought him frequently in conflict with the law. Battling this addiction will be a lifetime task for him. We hear about how difficult it is to do so, but some people

have beat their addictions as I said already.

Some people in this community and others have
beat their addiction.

No matter what sentence I impose today

Mr. Kakfwi will be released at some point, and he will have to make dealing with his addiction a top priority. Otherwise it is only a matter of time before the spiral starts again. I sincerely hope he will be able to win his battle with his addiction.

Based on everything I have heard I know that he has strengths and qualities. He has support from family members. I want to say again he has spent a lot of time feeling alone, and that is what happens to people who are depressed. The fact is that he is not alone. There is a lot of evidence in the letters that were filed, comments in the Presentence Report, comments from the police officers who were there that night, the people that attended today, the people that went to talk to him today during the break, all of that shows that there are people who are willing to help Mr. Kakfwi and support him.

I hope that as these proceedings come to an end he will be able to turn the page on this and look ahead and continue with his efforts to work on himself, with counselling, and to get help to

deal with the issues from his past, and one day return here and be the productive member of this community that I know he can be.

I also hope that the conclusion of these proceedings will be one more step in this community moving forward. Based on everything I have heard, I know that this was a very traumatic event for this community. I also know that this community has been through a lot of other tragedies and very traumatic things over the past few years. I hope that the community is able to come together to heal together. And as I said for this, there has to be forgiveness and there has to be a kindness.

I hope that when Mr. Kakfwi returns, people will help him so that his future can be brighter than the past has been. One of the letters that was filed yesterday is from Mr. Kakfwi's aunt, Betty Barnaby. She speaks of a lot of things, and I am not going to read her whole letter; but she speaks, among other things, of the concerns that was expressed for Mr. Kakfwi by the Elders after his arrest. She talks about the onus under Dene law for people to care and love each other. She makes reference to intergenerational trauma and initiatives that exists to help people understand and deal with trauma.

and finishes her letter saying: Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	1	Among other things, she says:
destructive to members of their own group. We can't change what we don't know. It's my hope that our communities become informed and that we stop the practices that are destroying our people. Ms. Barnaby expresses her support for Mr. Kakfwi and finishes her letter saying: Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	2	
we don't know. It's my hope that our communities become informed and that we stop the practices that are destroying our people. Ms. Barnaby expresses her support for Mr. Kakfwi and finishes her letter saying: Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	3	destructive to members of their own group. We can't change what we don't know. It's my hope that our communities become informed and that we stop the practices
and that we stop the practices that are destroying our people. Ms. Barnaby expresses her support for Mr. Kakfwi and finishes her letter saying: Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	4	
Ms. Barnaby expresses her support for Mr. Kakfwi and finishes her letter saying: Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	5	
and finishes her letter saying: Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	6	that are destroying our people.
Some understand, believe, and will guide him. Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	7	Ms. Barnaby expresses her support for Mr. Kakfwi
Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	8	and finishes her letter saying:
Those are very important words. They are important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	9	
important for the community and they are important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	LO	guide nim.
important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	11	Those are very important words. They are
the months to come, and to remember when he is released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	L2	important for the community and they are
released. There are people here who will help him. There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	13	important for Mr. Kakfwi to know now, remember in
There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	L 4	the months to come, and to remember when he is
There are certain orders that must be made as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	15	released. There are people here who will help
as part of this sentence. The first is a firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	L 6	him.
firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to prohibit someone from having firearms when I know that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	L7	There are certain orders that must be made
prohibit someone from having firearms when I known that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	18	as part of this sentence. The first is a
that they are engaged in traditional activities because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	19	firearms prohibition order. I always hesitate to
because I know that those are very worthwhile and important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	20	prohibit someone from having firearms when I know
important to reconnect what is essential in life, for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	21	that they are engaged in traditional activities
for many indigenous people. But given the nature of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	22	because I know that those are very worthwhile and
of this offence, an order not only has to be made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	23	important to reconnect what is essential in life,
made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am	24	for many indigenous people. But given the nature
	25	of this offence, an order not only has to be
going to order a lifetime firearm prohibition in	26	made, but I think it has to be lengthy. I am
- Control of the Cont	27	going to order a lifetime firearm prohibition in

this case. This does not mean that Mr. Kakfwi cannot participate in traditional activities, but it will limit certainly his ability to do so on his own in most circumstances given what this Territory is like. I hope that he finds people that he can go out on the land with and continue to pursue those activities that he is skilled at and through which he can contribute to his community.

There will also be a DNA order because this is a primary designated offence.

I am obligated to impose a surcharge for each of the three counts, \$200 each, and the time to pay and default time are statutorily provided for.

Mr. Kakfwi has been in custody since his arrest, and that adds up to 521 days. If he is given credit for a day and a half for each day he spent on Remand, which is the normal practice, and no one has suggested that that should not happen here, this adds up to 781.5 days which works out to two years, one month, and 21 days.

I will give him credit for 25 months for the time he has spent on remand.

There is a mandatory minimum that applies to the third charge he has pleaded guilty to. That sentence has to be consecutive. That does not

mean that totality does not need to be considered. In this respect, I have applied the principles set out in the case of R v Stauffer, 2007 BCCA 7, as adopted in R v C.G.J.L., 2013 ABCA 140. The principle of totality applies even when a mandatory consecutive sentence must be The approach that I have followed, as imposed. suggested in those cases, is to decide what a fit sentence would be for each of these offences and adjust, if need be, to ensure that the overall sentence is not crushing.

Mr. Kakfwi, can you stand up, please.

Mr. Kakfwi, I will give the details after, but I have concluded that globally for these terrible events the sentence would be five years if you had not served any time on remand. tell you that had this matter gone to trial given what happened, the sentence would have been far more significant. I am giving you 25 months credit for the time you spent on remand so your further jail term will be 35 months, just under three years. You can sit down now.

For the record, the sentence will be broken down as follows: On the reckless discharge, four years, total remand time 521 days, credit for that 25 months; a further jail term of 23 months.

On the uttering threats charge, 12 months

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

imprisonment concurrent. And on the use of firearm while committing an indictable offence, 12 months imprisonment consecutive as mandated by the *Criminal Code*, the total being 35 months.

with my strongest possible recommendation that Mr. Kakfwi be permitted to serve his sentence in the north. This is only a recommendation. The authorities are not obligated to follow it, but I want to state for the record that it is obvious from what has been presented in this sentencing hearing that Mr. Kakfwi has made progress while he was incarcerated in the north. He has made connections with some of the people in the facilities, and he has made progress. It would be a shame if he was not permitted to continue making that progress with those same people.

I am directing that a transcript be prepared of my reasons; and once filed, I direct the Clerk of the Court to send a copy of it to Corrections and it is my sincere hope that it will assist with the Director of Corrections making decisions as to placement.

I also ask that the Crown ensure, after the transcript has been filed, that it be sent to the RCMP here in Fort Good Hope so that it can be made accessible to community members if anyone

wants to have access to it. This can be dome through the Band or through any other means deemed appropriate.

I ask as well that, either through the Crown or the officer who is present, my remarks be conveyed to Constable Whynot as well as to Sergeant Sparrow because I think she had to leave to respond to a call just as I started giving my decision. This could have ended much worse than it did, and all the responding officers deserve full credit for that not having happened.

Mr. Kakfwi, I know that you are sorry for what you did. I have no doubt that you are. I thought that after I read the Presentence Report, but after having heard you speak, I am as convinced as I could be that you are truly, truly sorry. There is nothing you can do to change the past. The only thing we have in life is the present, and the present can help us shape the future. And I hope that you find the strength to do just that. And I hope you remember the people that came today who gave you those hugs, who said those words, and the people who wrote the letters. Because those will be a part of your future and your healing if you stick with your plan to change your ways.

Before we close court, I want to thank the

staff for their assistance and counsel for their submissions on this very difficult case.

The only outstanding matter, Mr. Fane, would be the question of returning the firearm to its rightful owner because the rightful owner of that firearm had no involvement or responsibility for this offence.

Have you been able to identify who that is? 8 9 MR. FANE: I have, Your Honour. 10 owner of the rifle is George Voudrach, and my 11 submission would be that, in keeping with 491(2), 12 he's not a party to the offence and there are no 13 grounds to believe that the property would be 14 used in the commission of an offence, I have a 15 draft order to that effect that I have shown my friend. 16

17 THE COURT: You are fine with the order,
18 Mr. Davison?

19 MR. DAVISON: Yes. I don't consider that I

really have a position to take, but certainly the draft I looked at seems to cover the bases.

22 THE COURT: All right. I will look at the 23 order when we stand and it issue subject to any 24 changes I feel need to be made.

25 Have I overlooked anything from the Crown's perspective, Mr. Fane?

MR. FANE: No, thank you, Your Honour.

3

4

5

6

7

20

1	THE COURT: Have I overlooked anything
2	from the defence perspective?
3	MR. DAVISON: No, thank you.
4	THE COURT: All right, thank you. We wil
5	close court.
6	
7	
8	
9	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
10	
11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
12	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
13	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
14	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
15	to the best of my skill and ability.
16	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
17	Alberta, this 22nd day of May, 2018.
18	
19	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
20	of the Rules of Court
21	
22	Janla Kuball
23	Linda Kimball
24	Court Reporter
25	
26	
27	