IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

DEVON HERBACK

Transcript of the Sentencing Decision held before The Honourable Justice S.H. Smallwood, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 16th day of February, 2018.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. M. Zimmer: Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Accused Mr. J. Bran:

(Charges under s. 5(1) of the Criminal Code)

THE COURT: Devon Herback has pleaded quilty to trafficking in cocaine, contrary to section 5(1) of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act. A guilty plea was entered on October 30th, 2017, and the matter was adjourned for sentencing to February 7th, 2018. preparation of a presentence report was also ordered. It is now my task to sentence Mr. Herback for this offence.

The facts of the offence were included in the Agreed Statement of Facts. Briefly, they are: The charges arise from a major investigation conducted by the RCMP into drug trafficking activities in Yellowknife and in the Northwest Territories. The objective of Project Green Manalishi was to disrupt and dismantle networks responsible for high level drug trafficking in the Northwest Territories.

As part of the investigation, the RCMP were granted a judicial authorization to monitor the private communications of multiple individuals.

A number of telephone calls and text messages were intercepted suggesting that Mr. Herback was coordinating the transport of drugs into the Northwest Territories and trafficking drugs throughout the territory.

Between February 24th and April 13th, 2016,

Mr. Herback conspired with Norman Hache, Michael Lapierre, Bonita Bohnet, Dolapo Bode-Harrison, Mike Dunn, Lacey Forrest and Adam Lee to traffic and possess, for the purpose of trafficking, cocaine in Yellowknife and other places in the Northwest Territories.

Mr. Hache, Mr. Bode-Harrison and Mr. Dunn arranged to transport cocaine to the Northwest Territories. Mr. Herback was then involved in transporting cocaine within the Northwest Territories and selling cocaine at the street level in Yellowknife.

Mr. Herback was supplied cocaine from Mr. Hache and acted under Mr. Hache's direction. He transported cocaine to supply street-level traffickers in the network and also trafficked directly to users themselves.

Mr. Herback, along with two others, were the primary operators of several dial-a-dope phones in Yellowknife. The dial-a-dope phones were operated 24 hours a day every day. Several ounces of cocaine a day were sold through the dial-a-dope operation.

On March 11, 2016, the RCMP used undercover officers to buy crack cocaine using a phone number that was associated with the dial-a-dope operation. An officer called a number and

requested to purchase crack cocaine. Mr. Herback
met the officers in an alley in Yellowknife and
sold them four pieces of crack cocaine (total
weight of 1.9 grams) for \$320.

Between March 15th and 16th, 2016, Ms.

Forrest and Mr. Hache arranged to deliver cocaine to the residence of Ms. Forrest in Fort

Resolution, and Ms. Forrest was to send back money that had been collected. Mr. Herback's role was to transport the cocaine to Fort

Resolution.

The RCMP performed surveillance on the vehicle as it travelled from Yellowknife to Fort Resolution. When Mr. Herback arrived at Ms. Forrest's residence, the RCMP moved in and arrested them.

Mr. Herback was located in the truck parked in the driveway, and the police found 362.5 grams of cocaine lying on the ground next to the vehicle, \$5,980 in cash, a knife, a cutting agent, a cellular phone and a digital scale.

To maintain the integrity of the investigation, Mr. Herback and Ms. Forrest were released shortly after their arrest. Following the arrest, Mr. Herback resumed working the dial-a-dope phone.

From April 4th to 13th, 2016, following the

arrest of another group of drug traffickers on

April 4th, eight to nine ounces of cocaine a day

were being sold through the dial-a-dope phones.

On April 14, 2016 the RCMP executed a number of search warrants and made played several arrests. Drugs, offence-related property and proceeds of crime were seized.

On April 16, 2016, Mr. Herback turned himself into the RCMP in Yellowknife.

The Crown is seeking a sentence of three years imprisonment less credit for remand time. The defence is seeking a sentence of 20 to 24 months imprisonment.

Mr. Herback is of Métis descent, and section 718.2(e) of the *Criminal Code* requires me to consider available sanctions other than imprisonment that are reasonable in the circumstances, which should be considered for all offenders, and paying particular attention to the circumstances of aboriginal offenders.

The Supreme Court of Canada in the cases of Gladue and Ipeelee have said that sentencing judges are to consider the unique systemic or background factors which may have played a part in bringing an aboriginal offender before the Courts and the types of sentencing procedures and sanctions which might be appropriate in the

1 circumstances because of their background.

In this case I have the benefit of a presentence report, which provides information about Mr. Herback's background and circumstances.

He is 36 years old and was born in Alberta. His parents split up when he was two, and he moved with his mother to Fort Smith. He lived there for many years until moving to Yellowknife when he was an adult. He reportedly had a good childhood and became close with his step-father, and he maintained a relationship with his biological father as well.

Mr. Herback is part Lakota on his mother's side. Growing up, he had some exposure to aboriginal culture and traditions and was able to take part in hunting, fishing and camping. These activities were not a regular part of his life, but he enjoyed them. None of Mr. Herback's immediate family attended residential school, although his grandfather and other relatives did.

Mr. Herback has a common-law spouse with whom he has been together with for approximately 15 years, and they have two children together.

Mr. Herback has another child from an earlier relationship who also lives with him.

The presentence report indicate that

Mr. Herback has struggled with depression and has

been on medication to manage this in the past, and, in terms of substance use, Mr. Herback has used marijuana when he was a teenager but quit that when he was 25. He first used cocaine at 20 years old and began to use it occasionally. In 2014, due to stress surrounding his employment situation, he began to use it more and became addicted to the drug, and he became involved with the drug trade to support his habit.

To his credit, since his arrest, Mr. Herback has managed to maintain his sobriety, and despite the issues that he has had, he has been able to maintain employment throughout the years and has been known as a hard worker. The letters that have been filed on his behalf demonstrate that he has been considered a hard worker. He has been considered a valuable employee. Most recently, he has been employed by a local business, and the letter that has been filed has indicated that they consider him to be a valuable worker and hope to continue to work with him.

In terms of the sentencing principles, a primary objective in sentencing for trafficking in cocaine is deterrence and denunciation.

Denunciation meaning to denounce unlawful conduct and the harm done to victims or the community that is caused by the offender's conduct and to

deter the specific offender and other persons from committing similar offences.

In trafficking cases, the focus is on imposing sentences that send a message and deter other persons who might be tempted to traffic. Historically, the sentences that the courts have imposed for trafficking in cocaine have been harsh, designed to emphasize the sentencing principles of denunciation and deterrence.

Both counsel, in their submissions, refer to the starting point, which are applicable when sentencing an offender for trafficking in cocaine. Counsel for the Crown argued that the applicable starting point was four and a half years as this was trafficking in cocaine at the wholesale level. Defence counsel argued that this was commercial trafficking to which a three-year starting point is applicable.

Over the years there have been many sentencing decisions involving trafficking in cocaine. These decisions and appellate decisions from our Court of Appeal as well as the Alberta Court of Appeal have established sentencing ranges for these types of offences. They have established starting points.

The Alberta Court of Appeal in R. v. Maskell, 1981 ABCA 50 and R. v. Lau, 2004 ABCA

408, set the starting point for trafficking in cocaine at the commercial level and for trafficking at the wholesale level. Lau has been applied in this jurisdiction in cases like R. v. Castro, 2016 NWTSC 8, which endorse the four and a half year starting point for wholesale commercial trafficking in cocaine.

A starting point in not a minimum sentence or a set sentence, but it is a guideline where the Court begins by considering what the appropriate starting point sentence is and then adjusting the sentence to reflect the mitigating and aggravating factors of each case, taking into account the circumstances of that offence and of that offender.

A starting point sentence reflects the seriousness of the crime and its prevalence in this jurisdiction and the need to impose deterrent sanctions. It also reflects the moral blameworthiness of those who traffic in illegal substances on a significant scale.

The starting point for commercial cocaine trafficking on more than a minimal level is three years, whereas wholesale cocaine trafficking has a four-and-a-half-year starting point. The maximum penalty for trafficking in cocaine is life imprisonment. Life imprisonment is reserved

for the most serious offences in Canadian criminal law. That trafficking in cocaine carries this possible punishment demonstrates how seriously Parliament considers this problem, and the sentences that are imposed reflect the seriousness with which courts consider trafficking in cocaine.

The devastating effects of cocaine have been referred to for years by the courts in this jurisdiction, and cocaine continues to be a problem, destroying people's lives and tearing apart families. People continue to traffic in cocaine partly, I expect, because it is a lucrative enterprise.

Those that traffic in cocaine contribute directly to the social problems that exist in this community. The moral blameworthiness of those who traffic in cocaine is high.

In determining whether a case falls into the commercial level of trafficking on more than a minimal scale or whether it is a case of wholesale drug trafficking, there is no set amount of cocaine which establishes this. The Alberta Court of Appeal in Lau acknowledged that there was no clear distinction as to where commercial trafficking on more than a minimal scale ends and where wholesale commercial

1 trafficking begins.

2 After reviewing some of the cases, the Court 3 stated at paragraph 27:

"The commercial cases that attracted a 3 year starting point, therefore, typically involved a few grams of cocaine with 2 ounces (about 57 grams) at the high end of the scale. This is significantly less cocaine than the wholesale cases cited, where amounts of the drug were in the range of hundreds of grams."

The determination of whether someone is engaged in trafficking at the commercial level or the wholesale level does not just come down to a question of the amount of drugs, other factors need to be considered such as the role of the person in the organization.

In Lau, the Alberta Court of Appeal referred to the case of the R. v. Mah, 2003 ABCA 220, where the offender had 238.2 grams of cocaine in his possession divided into 10 plastic bags. The value was said to be over \$23,000. The offender was considered to be a middle man, higher than a dial-a-dope dealer and in a position of responsibility in the continuing criminal enterprise. In Mah, the offender was sentenced

on the basis that he was engaged in wholesale commercial trafficking.

Looking at the circumstances of the offence, Mr. Herback was involved in the transportation, distribution and sale of cocaine in Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories. He operated a dial-a-dope phone, which was part of an operation which sold up to 8 to 9 ounces of cocaine a day. This is a significant amount of cocaine to move in the city of Yellowknife in terms of quantity and the value.

Mr. Herback helped to distribute the drugs. He was involved in collecting money from people. He transported the 362 grams of cocaine from Yellowknife to Fort Resolution. So while Mr. Herback was not the head of this drug trafficking group, he was not just a street-level dealer. He was trusted and played a significant part in this organization. It is clear that he understood what he was doing and the consequences, stating in a conversation that was intercepted with Norman Hache and Dolapo Bode-Harrison: "I fully accept I know what I do, and I know the fucking consequences, and it's like I will -- I will do the dirt work and the shit work that people don't want to do, and it's because it just needed to be done, right?"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 Mr. Herback was the guy you went to when you wanted things done.

The amount of cocaine, which was seized in Fort Resolution, was significant, 362.5 grams of cocaine. This amount is sufficient to indicate that the offender might be engaged in wholesale, commercial trafficking, but when you also consider his ongoing involvement in a dial-a-dope operation, which trafficked anywhere from 8 to 9 ounces of cocaine a day, that his involvement continued unabated after his arrest, and his role in the organization as something more than a street level dealer, I am satisfied that Mr. Herback was engaged in wholesale, commercial trafficking in cocaine.

Mr. Herback does have a criminal record with three convictions for possession of counterfeit money in 2003; for that he received a fine and probation. The criminal record is limited, dated and unrelated, and all it really does is demonstrate that Mr. Herback does not come before this court as a first time offender.

Mr. Herback was arrested in Fort Resolution for the purpose of trafficking during the course of the investigation. He was released on a recognizance on March 16, 2016 with a number of conditions and continued on with his activities

1 completely disregarding those conditions.

Mr. Herback continued with his drug trafficking activities, and he showed no hesitation in continuing with these activities, and, as I stated, it was clear that he understood what he was doing and the consequences. So that is aggravating in my view.

There are mitigating factors as well.

Mr. Herback has entered a guilty plea. It was not at the earliest opportunity, but this was a complicated matter with significant disclosure and a number of co accused. Mr. Herback waived his preliminary inquiry in this matter, and this matter was never set for trial. If this matter had proceeded to trial, it would have taken some time and required significant resources. So Mr. Herback will receive full credit for his quilty plea.

As well, a guilty plea can be considered an expression of remorse and taking responsibility, and from what I have heard today from

Mr. Herback, it is clear that he understands what he has done and has taken responsibility, and, as well, that is clear from the presentence report in what he had told the preparer of the presentence report.

In determining a fit sentence for

Mr. Herback, it is also important to consider the principle of parity and what sentences were imposed on others who were involved in the criminal organization. Dolapo Bode-Harrison pleaded guilty to a charge of having conspired with others to traffic cocaine and possess cocaine for the purpose of trafficking.

Mr. Bode-Harrison was the supplier for Mr. Hache's drug trafficking network, and he coordinated and sent shipments of cocaine to the Northwest Territories. His role was characterized as being the supplier in the organization, and Mr. Hache was in charge of controlling the distribution of the drugs. They were considered equals in the organization in the sense that neither directed the other.

Mr. Bode-Harrison was sentenced to four years imprisonment. Mr. Hache also pleaded guilty and was sentenced to five years imprisonment. Both of those individuals were higher up in the organization than Mr. Herback was, but it also has to be noted that both of their sentences were joint submissions, which the Court accepted, but also, in each case, the Court stated that the joint submission was at the low end of the spectrum.

First, I will deal with the ancillary orders

requested by the Crown. Defence counsel has not taken any issue with them. Section 51 of the CDSA is a secondary designated offence pursuant to section 487.04, and there will be an order pursuant to section 487.051 for the taking of Mr. Herback's DNA for the DNA data bank.

Pursuant to section 109, a firearms prohibition order is mandatory, and Mr. Herback will be prohibited from possessing firearms for a period of 10 years following his release from imprisonment.

There will also be a victim of crime surcharge of \$200, payable in accordance with the regulations. There will be also a forfeiture order. The items referred to in Appendix B of the Agreed Statement of Facts are offence-related property and will be forfeited to the Crown pursuant to section 490.1 of the Criminal Code, and the money that is referred to in Appendix C of the Agreed Statement of Facts is proceeds of crime and will be forfeited to the crime pursuant to section 462.37 of the Criminal Code.

Mr. Herback was in custody on this matter for a period of time. He was arrested on April 16th, 2016 and was in custody until June 23rd, 2016 when he was released on a recognizance. This amounts to 69 days, which, at one and a half

days credit for each day spent in presentence custody, amounts to 103 and a half days or just over three months of remand credit, which will be deducted from Mr. Herback's sentence.

I have given some consideration to the sentence that should be imposed, taking into account the circumstances of the offence,

Mr. Herback's personal circumstances and the applicable sentencing principles.

Mr. Herback, please stand. For the offence of trafficking in cocaine, in count 9 on the Indictment, I sentence you to a period of imprisonment of 36 months. You will receive credit for three months of pretrial custody, leaving a sentence of 33 more months to be served. You may have a seat.

Thank you. Counsel, is there anything else?

MS. ZIMMER: Yes, Your Honour. I do just have a stay of proceedings here for the remaining counts on the Indictment.

Okay. That will be filed, and

the remaining counts will be stayed. Mr. Bran?

MR. BRAN: Thank you. I know the Court

does not have jurisdiction in placement of

Mr. Herback once he is sentenced and is in the

authority of the Corrections, but what I would be

asking for on behalf of my client is for a

THE COURT:

1		jurisdiction recomm	lendation that he be allowed to
2		serve his sentence	here in the Northwest
3		Territories so that	he can be close to family and
4		also have the oppor	tunity for a possibility of
5		work release once h	e is eligible for that.
6	THE	COURT:	Okay. There will be a
7		judicial recommenda	ation on the warrant of
8		committal that the	authorities give consideration
9		to allowing Mr. Her	back to serve his sentence in
10		the Northwest Terri	tories.
11	MR.	BRAN:	Thank you.
12	THE	COURT:	Counsel, that's everything.
13		We will adjourn cou	ırt. Thank you.
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Τ	
2	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
3	
4	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
5	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
6	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
7	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
8	to the best of my skill and ability.
9	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
10	Alberta, this 3rd day of March, 2018.
11	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
12	of the Rules of Court
13	C Rea
14	C Fee
15	Colleen Rea
16	Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	