R v Seyoume, 2018 NWTSC 14

S-1-CR2017000070

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

YOHANNES SEYOUME

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice S. H. Smallwood, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on February 8th A.D., 2018.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. D. Praught: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. P. Harte: Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 5(1) Controlled Drugs and Substances Act

1 THE COURT: Yohannes Seyoume has pleaded guilty to trafficking in cocaine, contrary to 2 3 section 5(1) of the Controlled Drugs and 4 Substances Act. A guilty plea was entered on 5 November 6th, 2017, and the matter was 6 adjourned for sentencing to February 5th, 7 2018. It is now my task to sentence Mr. Seyoume for this offence. 8 9 The facts of the offence were included in an Agreed Statement of Facts. Briefly, they 10 11 are: The charge arises from a major 12 13 investigation conducted by the RCMP into drug 14 trafficking activities in Yellowknife and in the Northwest Territories. The objective of 15 the project (named Project Green Manalishi) 16 17 was to disrupt and dismantle networks 18 responsible for high-level trafficking in the Northwest Territories. As part of the 19

Northwest Territories. As part of the investigation, the RCMP were granted a judicial authorization to monitor the private communications of multiple individuals. A number of telephone calls and text messages were intercepted suggesting that Mr. Seyoume was trafficking cocaine in Yellowknife as part of the drug trafficking network associated with Todd Dube.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Between February 25th and April 4th, 2016, Mr. Seyoume sold crack cocaine under the direction of Mr. Dube in conjunction with three other individuals. Mr. Seyoume and three others worked a dial-a-dope phone. dial-a-dope phone was operated 24 hours a day, every day. Mr. Seyoume and three others took turns working 12-hour shifts with the phone. Mr. Dube supplied them with crack cocaine which they then sold. The arrangement was that Mr. Dube would front them an ounce of crack cocaine for approximately \$2300. That ounce would typically be divided into 50 to 55 street grams weighing approximately .5 grams each. A street gram would then be sold for 80 to \$100. The money in excess of the cost of the ounce of cocaine would be profit kept by the dial-a-dope phone operators. Upwards of two ounces of crack cocaine a day were sold through the dial-a-dope phone. On April 4th, 2016, the RCMP executed a number of search warrants and made several arrests. A search warrant was executed at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

number of search warrants and made several arrests. A search warrant was executed at the residence of Mr. Dube and Mr. Seyoume. Drugs, drug paraphernalia, cell phones, and cash were seized at the residence.

Mr. Seyoume was arrested with Mr. Dube

outside of a restaurant in Yellowknife. At 1 2 the time of his arrest, he had \$325 in cash on 3 him and three cellular phones. 4 The Crown is seeking a sentence of 30 to 5 36 months incarceration less credit for remand 6 time. The defence is seeking a sentence of 7 two years less a day imprisonment. Both counsel in their submissions referred 8 to the sentencing principles applicable to 9 trafficking in cocaine. The Crown referred to 10 the case of R v. Lau, 2004 ABCA 408, and R. v. 11 Maskell, 1981 ABCA 50, decisions of the 12 13 Alberta Court of Appeal which set the 14 starting-point for trafficking in cocaine at the commercial level at three years 15 imprisonment and for trafficking at the 16 17 wholesale level at four and a half years imprisonment. 18 19

Defence counsel challenged the reasoning in Maskell as no longer being appropriate and being flawed in its analysis, arguing that it is inappropriate to send a message to others on the back of other offenders; it is contrary to the applicable sentencing principles.

In Maskell, the Court of Appeal stated, at paragraph 16:

Cocaine is a very powerful drug. It is a narcotic drug. Its

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	non-medical use can lead to many					
2	undesirable results. The trafficking in it is highly					
3	undesirable. Trafficking in the drug must be deterred. It is a very expensive drug so that huge profits can be made from its illegal sale. It is our duty to					
4						
5	<pre>deter people from using it and from trafficking in it. Deterrence is and remains the most important element in the</pre>					
6						
7	sentencing process. It calls for imprisonment and not for a short					
8	nominal term.					
9	Maskell is a decision that is some 36					
10	years old now. It was decided before the					
11	amendments to the sentencing regime in 1996.					
12	However, it continues to be applied in Alberta					
13	and in this jurisdiction. Despite the passage					
14	of time, the Alberta Court of Appeal has					
15	continue to endorse Maskell in cases like					
16	Rahime 2001 ABCA 203, where, at paragraphs to					
17	18 to 19, the Court stated:					
18	For over twenty years, beginning with the decision in R. v. Maskell					
19	supra, this Court has offered guidance to sentencing judges in cocaine trafficking cases by establishing a starting-point of three years incarceration in cases					
20						
21						
22	of a commercial operation on something more than a minimal					
23	scale.					
24	It is worth repeating that the					
25	guidance given is simply a starting-point. It is implicit in					
26	that concept that the sentencing Judge may depart from the					
27	starting-point by increasing or decreasing the severity of the sentence depending on the					

1	sentencing Judge's assessment of
2	the aggravating and mitigating circumstances presented by the offender and the offence.
3	orrander and one orrande.
4	The Alberta Court of Appeal also expressly
5	refused to reconsider Maskell in R. v. Melnyk,
6	2014 ABCA 313. This tells me that the Alberta
7	Court of Appeal continues to consider
8	deterrence and denunciation appropriate
9	sentencing principles in cases of trafficking
10	in cocaine and that the starting-point
11	established in Maskell continues to be
12	applicable.
13	In R. v. Arcand, 2010 ABCA 363, the
14	Alberta Court of Appeal specifically endorsed
15	the use of starting-points, stating at
16	paragraph 108:
17	In summary, starting-point
18	sentencing accords with the proportionality principle. It is hostile to rigidity and actively
19	embraces the aim of a proportional
20	sentence fit for the offence and the offender. The argument that it unreasonably confines "judicial
21	discretion" is misplaced. Every
22	process of reasoning must start somewhere and it needs acceptable
23	standard reference points along the way. Starting-point
24	sentencing is not only loyal to Parliament's will - and the
25	<pre>governing proportionality principle it has mandated - but</pre>
26	antithetical to randomness and arbitrariness, the polar opposite
	of judicial decision-making

The starting-point established in Maskell 1 has been adopted and followed here in the 2 3 Northwest Territories for many years. For 4 example, in the case of R. v. Mohammed, 2015 5 NWTSC 38, at page 5, Justice Charbonneau 6 stated: 7 It is interesting to reread the Maskell decision because back in 1981, cocaine was not as well 8 known a drug as it is today. If 9 anything, the passage of time has confirmed that the Alberta Court of Appeal was very right to treat 10 it as a highly addictive, highly 11 dangerous, and highly destructive drug. 12 13 It has been said many times that the drug 14 trade (trafficking in cocaine and crack cocaine) has had a devastating effect on the 15 people in Yellowknife and elsewhere in the 16 17 Northwest Territories. Cocaine has destroyed 18 lives. People become addicted to this drug. 19 They commit crimes to get money to purchase 20 cocaine. They will traffic in cocaine to 21 support their addiction. While under the influence of cocaine other offences are 22 committed often. And cocaine is often sold to 23 those that are the most vulnerable, those who 24 25 are most in need of help, and those that 26 traffic in cocaine contribute directly to

plague of cocaine addiction. They prey on the

1 most vulnerable members of the community for
2 profit.

Cocaine is an illegal drug. There can be debates about whether cocaine or alcohol or some other drugs have had a more devastating impact in the Northwest Territories, and on the morality of the government's choice in what is legal and what is not legal. But it is not the role of the Courts to decide what should be legal and what should be illegal and what should be regulated. That is the job of our elected officials.

It is my responsibility to sentence Mr.

Seyoume for trafficking in cocaine, which

Parliament has decided is illegal and

punishable by up to a maximum of life

imprisonment. So it is considered a serious

offence by lawmakers and has been considered a

serious offence by the Courts.

The sentences that the Courts have imposed have been harsh, designed to emphasize the sentencing principles of denunciation and deterrence - denunciation being to express society's condemnation of this conduct and deterrence to deter Mr. Seyoume and others from engaging in this activity.

As the Court stated in R. v. Hodges, 2015

1 NWTSC 59, at pages 9 and 10: 2 The Courts have a duty to impose sentences that will reflect the 3 strong condemnation of these activities, that will reflect the 4 terrible harm that these activities cause, and that will 5 deter others, if that is possible, from engaging in this when they are here or from coming here to 6 engage in this activity because it 7 is so lucrative and the north offers an attractive market for 8 it. 9 Courts in the Northwest Territories have not been known 10 for having a particularly soft approach in sentencing drug 11 traffickers over the last few 12 decades. It would appear the message is not getting through. 13 Maybe there is still too much money to be made and too much 14 greed out there. Maybe Courts will have to revise their sentencing practices and make it 15 even less attractive for 16 traffickers to do business here because evidently many people 17 still think it is worth taking the risk to make lots of money out of 18 it. In sentencing for trafficking in cocaine, 19 and in consideration of Maskell and the other 20 21 cases that have imposed similar sentences, I do not view this as sending a message to other 22 23 offenders on the back of Mr. Seyoume or any 24 other person who comes before the Court for sentencing on this offence. If anything, the 25 26 message is on the back of those whose lives 27 have been devastated by their addiction to

1 crack cocaine.

2	In considering the mitigating and
3	aggravating factors, it is mitigating that Mr.
4	Seyoume entered a guilty plea. It was not at
5	the earliest opportunity but he did waive his
6	preliminary inquiry and this matter was a
7	complicated matter involving a lot of
8	disclosure and the matter was never set for
9	trial. If this matter had proceeded to trial,
10	it would have consumed a significant amount of
11	time and resources so it is to Mr. Seyoume's
12	credit that he did waive the preliminary
13	inquiry and enter a guilty plea. So he
14	deserves full credit for his guilty plea.
15	As well, Mr. Seyoume is 21 years old and
16	he has no prior criminal record. He is
17	youthful and this is his first conviction.

It is aggravating, the circumstances of the offence. Mr. Seyoume was one of four individuals who operated a dial-a-dope drug phone which used to sell approximately two ounces of crack cocaine per day in Yellowknife. The amount of cocaine sold was significant, clearly putting it within the commercial level of trafficking.

Based on the Crown's calculations, this was a lucrative endeavor for Mr. Seyoume,

- earning anywhere between \$5100 to \$8100 per

 week for him alone. And it is attractive

 money that can be earned by young people, the

 lure of easy money.
- As well, it is statutorily aggravating
 that this offence was committed in conjunction
 with a criminal organization, and I am
 satisfied, as was found in the sentencing of
 Todd Dube, that this was a criminal
 organization.

- The trafficking of drugs, like cocaine,
 has been and continues to be a serious problem
 in Yellowknife and unfortunately has spread to
 some of the other communities. It does not
 seem like this problem will go away any time
 soon and with the lure of easy money, it is
 not hard to see why.
 - Defence counsel advised of Mr. Seyoume's personal circumstances. He is Somalian who was born in a refugee camp in Kenya. He came to Canada when he was seven, along with his family. He has lived in several places in Canada. Many members of his family have been successful in Canada.
 - Mr. Seyoume came to Yellowknife for a job but ended up involved with Todd Dube and his drug trafficking network.

I am advised as a result of the sentence that there is a risk that Mr. Seyoume may be deported to Kenya as sentences which involve six months of imprisonment involve the risk of deportation. That is unfortunate and it seems that that was a huge risk for Mr. Seyoume to take with his future but he has to bear the consequences of his actions. Ultimately what occurs will be up to the Immigration authorities.

In determining a fit sentence for Mr.

Seyoume, it is also important to consider the principle of parity and what sentences were imposed on others who were involved in the criminal organization.

Ajanthan Mahalingam was another operator of the dial-a-dope phone and sold crack cocaine. I am told that the facts of his involvement were identical to Mr. Seyoume's. His circumstances were that he was 23 years old, from Toronto, and had one prior conviction for possession of a controlled substance. He entered a guilty plea to trafficking in cocaine and received a sentence of 30 months.

Byron Bibby was another individual who operated the dial-a-dope phone and the facts

of his involvement, I am told, were also 1 similar to Mr. Seyoume. He was 24 years old 2 3 and had a criminal record with three prior 4 convictions, including two previous 5 convictions for trafficking, one of those as a 6 youth. At the time of the offence he was on 7 bail for an offence alleged to have been committed in Alberta. He pleaded guilty to 8

trafficking in cocaine and received a sentence

11 The other person who operated the
12 dial-a-dope phone has not been dealt with as
13 there is an outstanding warrant for their
14 arrest.

of 36 months.

9

10

24

25

26

- 15 First, I will deal with the ancillary
 16 orders requested by the Crown. Defence
 17 counsel has not taken any issue with them.
- Section 5(1) of the Controlled Drugs and
 Substances Act is a secondary designated
 offence pursuant to section 487.04 and there
 will be an order pursuant to the 487.051 for
 the taking of Mr. Seyoume's DNA for the
 databank.
 - Pursuant is section 109, a firearms

 prohibition order is mandatory, and Mr.

 Seyoume will be prohibited from possessing

 firearms for a period of ten years following

- 1 his release from imprisonment.
- 2 There will also be a victim of crime
- 3 surcharge of \$200 payable in accordance with
- 4 the regulations.
- 5 Mr. Seyoume was in custody on this matter
- for a period of time before his release on a
- 7 recognizance. He was arrested on April 4th,
- 8 2016 and was in custody until August 26th,
- 9 2016 when he was released on a recognize.
- 10 This amounts to 145 days which, at one and a
- 11 half to one credit, amounts to 217 days or
- just over seven months of remand credit which
- will be deducted from Mr. Seyoume's sentence.
- I have considered what an appropriate
- sentence is, given the circumstances of the
- offence, Mr. Seyoume's personal circumstances,
- and the applicable sentencing principles.
- 18 Please stand up, Mr. Seyoume.
- 19 For the offence of trafficking in cocaine,
- I sentence you to a period of imprisonment of
- 30 months. You will be given credit for seven
- 22 months pre-trial custody leaving a sentence of
- 23 23 months to be served.
- You may sit down.
- 25 Is there anything else on this matter,
- 26 counsel?
- 27 MR. PRAUGHT: No, Your Honour.

1	MK.	HARTE:	Your Honour, I am not
2		certain where Corn	rectional authorities will
3		end up leaving him	n. I expect that he will
4		remain in the term	ritorial system but his only
5		relative within ar	ny proximity of a
6		correctional insti	tution is his father, who is
7		resident in Yellov	wknife which was why he ended
8		up here in the fir	est place, and I ask the
9		Court to endorse,	just so that there is no
10		uncertainty as to	where he ends up, that he be
11		permitted to serve	e his sentence in
12		Yellowknife.	
13	THE	COURT:	I will endorse that on the
14		warrant of committ	cal, that there be a judicial
15		recommendation that	at the authorities consider
16		allowing him to se	erve his sentence here.
17	MR.	HARTE:	Thank you, Your Honour.
18	THE	COURT:	Thank you, counsel.
19			
20			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
21			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules,
22			Supreme Court Naies,
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			Lois Hewitt, Court Reporter