IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

HOWARD JAMES BEAUMONT

Transcript of the Oral Decision on Bail Review delivered by The Honourable Judge A.M. Mahar, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 21 day of August, 2017.

APPEARANCES:

Counsel for the Crown Mr. A. Godfrey:

Mr. S. Fix: Counsel for the Accused 1 THE COURT: We are here for a bail review
2 for Howard Beaumont. At the time of the original
3 hearing, the Crown agreed that a review was
4 required, and, essentially, we are looking at
5 this as a bail de novo, which means a new bail
6 hearing. It is an application for release.

Regardless of the onus, the real question on bail is always a consideration of the three grounds that might require that someone who is otherwise presumed innocent not be released.

The accused is charged with offences both contrary to the *Controlled Drugs and Substances*Act; essentially, possession for the purpose of trafficking in cocaine, as well as proceeds of crime in an amount of approximately \$16,000.

A police investigation began back in May of 2017. There were some six individuals who were being investigated and eventually arrested. A number of locations were searched; essentially, an apartment, a camper at the Fred Henne Campground, and two vehicles.

Mr. Beaumont was arrested in the camper. There were warrants obtained for all of the locations. Two other individuals were in a vehicle in the vicinity of the camper when Mr. Beaumont was arrested and there were also some indications, strong indications, of drug

2.0

2.4

1 trafficking found on those individuals.

2.2

2.4

Inside the camper, in a suitcase which was marked with the accused's name, was found 36-and-a-half grams of cocaine, four cell phones, and \$9,600, in a freezer, there was approximately a pound or 427 grams of marijuana; under a mattress, there was a further \$6,000. More cocaine, another 100 grams or so of cocaine was found in the apartment, along with approximately \$8,400 in cash.

The other five accused have been released on bail. They are substantially younger than Mr. Beaumont and they have dramatically shorter criminal records. Three of the accused have minimal records; two of the accused have no records at all, and they have all been released on recognizances.

Mr. Beaumont has 38 convictions on his criminal record. Five of those convictions are for offences involving drugs; two of those convictions resulted in penitentiary terms. He was last convicted of a criminal offence in 2008. There are, additionally, some offences contrary to the Alberta Highway Traffic Act involving driving while disqualified. The disqualifications apparently flowing from not being covered by insurance.

Mr. Beaumont is proposing a surety in the person of his mother. She is willing to sign in an amount of \$10,000. I accept that this is a significant amount of money for her. They are also willing, together, to come up with \$10,000 by way of deposit.

Mr. Fix, on behalf of the accused, suggests this is sufficient to not only ensure
Mr. Beaumont's appearance in court, but also to make sure that he does not commit further offences while he is out on bail. He does have one prior conviction for failing to appear back in 2004, along with 12 other breaches of court orders.

The driving disqualifieds, although not criminal offences, do carry on what I can only characterize as a clear record of a total disregard for the rules.

I am convinced on the primary grounds that release would be appropriate, the surety that is offered as well as the cash deposit would be more than enough to ensure that Mr. Beaumont appeared in court.

I am utterly unconvinced on the secondary grounds. There is a significant risk given the lengthy and serious criminal record before me, and given the nature of the offence, or offences,

2.4

that Mr. Beaumont is charged with that further
offences would be committed while he was out on
bail.

The tertiary grounds come into play as well; in the sense that this is a very strong case against the accused. I did hear Mr. Fix when he suggested there may be some Charter considerations with respect to the ITO. The strength of the Crown's case in the context of the tertiary grounds, is essentially about whether or not the administration of justice would be brought into disrepute in the eyes of the public.

Mr. Beaumont was found with significant amounts of money, a relatively significant amount of serious drugs, and he is facing a lengthy period of imprisonment if he is convicted. Those are all circumstances that I must take into account.

So I believe that detention is warranted, not only on the secondary but also on the tertiary grounds. If there is any question with respect to the application of the tertiary grounds, I would find the detention was required on the secondary grounds alone, and that would be regardless of the onus.

Mr. Fix, any -- any comments?

2.0

2.4

| 1 | MR. FIX: No, Sir. Thank you. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Mr. Godfrey, anything? |
| 3 | MR. GODFREY: No, thank you. No questions. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Fix. Thank |
| 5 | you, Mr. Beaumont. |
| 6 | MR. FIX: Thank you, Sir. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT |
| 9 | |
| 10 | I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the |
| 11 | foregoing pages are a complete and accurate |
| 12 | transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in |
| 13 | shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes |
| 14 | to the best of my skill and ability. |
| 15 | Dated at the City of Yellowknife, Province |
| 16 | of Alberta, this 4 day of September, 2017. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Certified Pursuant to Rule 723 |
| 19 | of the Rules of Court |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Same Afredort |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Leanne Harcourt, CSR(A) |
| 24 | Court Reporter |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |