R. v. Eeyeevadluk, 2017 NWTSC 66

S-1-CR2016000007

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

KARMA EEYEEVADLUK

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice S. H. Smallwood, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on June 15th A.D., 2017.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. J. Andrews: Counsel for the Crown

Charge under s. 344(1)(b) Criminal Code

Official Court Reporters

1	THE	COURT: K	arma Eeyeevadluk was
2		convicted on Februa	ry 9th, 2017, following a
3		jury trial, of the	offence of robbery,
4		contrary to section	344(1)(b) of the Criminal
5		Code. The offence	arose from an incident
6		where Ms. Eeyeevadl	uk and two other
7		individuals were in	volved in an assault and
8		robbery of a taxi d	river here in Yellowknife.
9		Counsel are far	apart in their sentencing
10		submissions: The C	rown is seeking a sentence
11		of four years impri	sonment less credit for
12		remand time. Ms. E	eyeevadluk's counsel says
13		an appropriate sent	ence is six to nine months
14		incarceration less	credit for remand time. My
15		task is to impose a	n appropriate sentence for
16		this crime.	
17		The facts of th	e offence arise from the
18		evidence led by the	Crown at the trial as no
19		defence evidence wa	s presented.
20		On February 25t	h, 2015, Ms. Eeyeevadluk,
21		Bradley King and De	necho King, who are
22		brothers, were pass	engers in an Aurora taxicab
23		being driven by Mat	ar Mahamud. Bradley King
24		was in the front pa	ssenger seat, Denecho King
25		and Ms. Eeyeevadluk	were in the backseat of
26		the taxi.	

Mr. Mahamud picked up the passengers in

27

- 1 N'dilo between 10 and 11 p.m. that night. Mr.
- 2 Mahamud recognized Ms. Eeyeevadluk from having
- 3 picked her up on previous occasions. When he
- 4 picked them up, one of them showed him \$10 and
- 5 he asked them where they were going. They
- 6 first said Sissons Court, then Fort Gary
- 7 Apartments. He took the \$10 and put it in his
- 8 front left pocket of his shirt and began
- 9 driving downtown.
- 10 After they reached the area around Weaver
- and Devore, they asked the taxi driver to stop
- and go back to N'dilo. Mr. Mahamud asked them
- why and they said they were looking for
- 14 friends. He told them at that point that they
- would not have enough money and he said they
- said to drop them off when the fare reached
- 17 \$10.
- 18 So Mr. Mahamud drove back through Old Town
- and when the fare reached \$10, which was just
- 20 near Otto Drive, he told them that the money
- 21 was spent. They said "okay, then stop and we
- 22 will get out". Mr. Mahamud stopped and parked
- the vehicle.
- When Mr. Mahamud stopped the vehicle on
- Otto Drive, Denecho King grabbed him around
- the neck and held his right arm around his
- 27 neck. Bradley King began punching Mr. Mahamud

saying "put the money down", "put the money

down". Mr. Mahamud tried to push the

emergency button by the steering wheel which

would alert his dispatcher to a problem.

Karma Eeyeevadluk got out of the vehicle and opened the driver's door and grabbed his left hand and stopped him from pressing the button. He was unable to defend himself. Ms. Eeyeevadluk then took money from his front left pocket of his shirt. Mr. Mahamud thought he had around \$50 in cash in the pocket, which had been the fares that he had earned that night. He was able to use his knees to press the horn and Ms. Eeyeevadluk said to the males "guys, just run away". They all then fled on foot.

Mr. Mahamud related that he had lost consciousness when he was being choked and punched, that he was unable to breathe and that he was bleeding, that when he regained consciousness was when Ms. Eeyeevadluk had come to the door, opened it, and grabbed his hand. He said that he was still feeling dizzy but that he knew that she had taken the money.

Bradley King pled guilty to assault causing bodily harm on Matar Mahamud arising from this incident. Denecho King pled guilty

to assault causing bodily harm also. I am

advised by defence counsel that they received

sentences of one year and four months

imprisonment.

Mr. Mahamud completed a Victim Impact
Statement. It was completed for the
sentencing of Bradley and Denecho King but it
describes his feelings during this incident.

It is clear that the offence had a significant impact upon him and that is clear not only from the Victim Impact Statement but also from his testimony during the trial where he described the injuries that he suffered as well as the effects of the offence on him.

He suffered physical injuries. He lost a tooth, and he has had trouble sleeping. He was off work for a period of time and when he returned to driving a taxi, he can no longer drive at night as he does not feel safe anymore. The result is that he is not able to work the same amount of hours which means that he makes less money and, as he sends money to his family, that means that he has less money to send to them. In his Victim Impact Statement, he says that he is considering to move somewhere else so that he can start over and feel safe again.

Ms. Eeyeevadluk has a criminal record, which starts in 2014 and in Youth Court and continues to earlier this year, in March of 2017. She has 12 convictions. Most of the convictions were entered after this offence occurred.

So on February 15th, 2015, the date of this offence that I am sentencing her for today, at that point Ms. Eeyeevadluk had three convictions on her record. All of them had been entered in Youth Court and they were two assaults and one failing to attend court.

Since this offence has occurred, she has had convictions for break and enter, two convictions for theft under \$5000, five convictions for failing to comply with an undertaking or a recognizance, and one offence of failing to comply with probation.

The fundamental purpose of sentencing, according to section 718 of the Criminal Code, is to protect society and to contribute to respect for the law and the maintenance of a just, peaceful and safe society by imposing just sanctions.

The objectives of the sanctions include to denounce unlawful conduct, to deter the offender from committing other offences, to

- separate offenders from society where
- 2 necessary, to assist in rehabilitating
- 3 offenders, and to provide reparations for harm
- 4 done to victims and to promote a sense of
- 5 responsibility in offenders.
- It is also important that the sentence is
- 7 proportionate to the gravity of the offence
- 8 and the degree of responsibility of the
- 9 offender.
- I am also required to consider the
- sentencing principles in section 718.2. There
- are a number of them and I will not refer to
- them all, but in particular section 718.2(e)
- is relevant to Ms. Eeyeevadluk as she is an
- 15 Aboriginal offender.
- I have heard from her counsel regarding
- the background circumstances of Ms.
- 18 Eeyeevadluk's life.
- 19 She is 20 years old and was 18 years old
- 20 at the time of this offence. She has a son
- 21 who is four years old, and she is anxious for
- 22 when she can be out of custody and to be with
- 23 him.
- Ms. Eeyeevadluk's mother is a residential
- 25 school survivor. Ms. Eeyeevadluk was born in
- 26 Iqaluit and lived with her mother until she
- was apprehended and put in foster care. She

was in foster care until she was discharged at

yhile she was in custody at the North Slave

Correctional Centre.

4 While living with her mother, her mother 5 abused alcohol and Ms. Eeyeevadluk had to see 6 her mother abuse alcohol and to be beaten up. Her years with her mother were chaotic. They 8 were marked by her mother's alcohol abuse and abandonment, once leaving her in a room alone with the door tied closed while her mother 10 went out drinking. While she was in foster 11 care, she was the victim of sexual abuse. She 12 13 was homeless at the age of 13 and began to 14 drink and do drugs. She began a relationship with a man at 14 and had her son when she was 15 15. The relationship with this man was 16 abusive, physically and emotionally, and 17 ultimately she was able to end it when she was 18

When she was pregnant, Ms. Eeyeevadluk returned to school and completed her Grade 11.

22 In my view, when you look at Ms.

abused by him in public.

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

Eeyeevadluk's background, there are significant Gladue factors that need to be considered.

I have reviewed the cases that have been provided by counsel. I do not intend to refer

- 1 to them in detail but I have reviewed them and
- they were helpful in coming to the sentence.
- 3 Robbery is a serious offence. The maximum
- 4 punishment is life. So it is one of the few
- 5 offences that Parliament has decided that life
- 6 imprisonment is available. There is no
- 7 minimum sentence for this offence, which means
- 8 that the range of sentences available for
- 9 robbery are broad.
- 10 Deterrence also has to be a significant
- 11 sentencing objective and that is reflected in
- the case law that has been provided.
- The case law in this jurisdiction has
- 14 established that robbery of vulnerable
- persons, like taxi drivers, attracts a severe
- 16 penalty. The sentences are often considered
- in the number of years.
- The sentence in R. v. Rolfe, 2007 NWTSC
- 19 05, was one of four years imprisonment less
- 20 credit for the remand time. In R. v.
- 21 Qitsualik & Michael 2012 NWTSC 73, the
- 22 sentences imposed were 30 months and a week
- and 26 months and a week.
- Of course there are degrees of robbery.
- Some are very serious and violent, others are
- less so. Some involve the use of a weapon,
- 27 others don't, and the circumstances of each

1 robbery will vary and the role of each person
2 involved also must be considered.

I have to consider the principle of parity as well. Bradley and Denecho King each pled guilty to an assault causing bodily harm, so a different offence, and also received sentences of 12 months and four months imprisonment.

Looking at the aggravating factors, this was a robbery on a taxi driver at night. Taxi drivers, along with other occupations like convenience store clerks, are vulnerable.

They work alone, often at night, and are vulnerable to the clientele who they serve.

They do not choose who enters their taxicab and each customer, they hope, simply wants a ride somewhere and is going to pay without a fuss.

They also have to be always concerned that there are those who are more problematic.

They deal with people who drunk, intoxicated, and those who don't have enough money, who are looking to get a ride for free, or more serious situations - people who might be violent or who want to take advantage of a vulnerable taxi driver by robbing them.

Mr. Mahamud was vulnerable that night. He was driving a taxicab alone. There were three

- passengers and the situation involved him
 being attacked by the two males. He was in a
- 3 position that he could not defend himself or
- 4 call for assistance.
- 5 Looking at Ms. Eeyeevadluk's role, she did
- 6 not instigate this incident and she did not
- 7 join in the assault of Mr. Mahamud. There is
- 8 no indication that this was a planned event;
- 9 rather, it seems that one of the King brothers
- 10 started this incident and the other brother
- 11 joined in. So there was no planning and there
- 12 was no weapon which was used in this incident.
- But Ms. Eeyeevadluk did not tell the King
- brothers to stop when they began this assault.
- 15 She did not render assistance to Mr. Mahamud
- but instead she joined in the incident by
- opening the taxi driver's door, by preventing
- him from pressing the help button, and taking
- money from Mr. Mahamud's left front pocket.
- The incident, as I indicated, resulted in Mr.
- 21 Mahamud suffering injuries and the effect on
- 22 him has been long-lasting.
- 23 Turning to the mitigating factors,
- Ms. Eeyeevadluk is a young person. At the
- 25 time of offence, she had a minor youth
- 26 criminal record. She is still only 20 years
- 27 old and rehabilitation has to be a focus of

1 this sentence.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Ms. Eeyeevadluk has expressed remorse for this offence. In her words to the Court last week, she spoke eloquently about her remorse and her regret for not calling the police and not doing more to help Mr. Mahamud, and I accept that she is sincerely remorseful for her actions.

She also spoke of her desire to make changes, that she has had an opportunity to reflect on her life while she has been in custody. Ms. Eeyeevadluk has an opportunity to deal with the issues in her life, to be a mother to her child. And having been abandoned by her own mother and observed her own mother make bad decisions and abuse alcohol, I am sure that is not something you want for your own child, to have to see and experience the same things that your own mother put you through. So Ms. Eeyeevadluk, you have an opportunity to stop all of that, to return to school, to be a mother to your child, and that involves you making better choices and not hanging out with the wrong people.

The Crown has sought a number of ancillary orders which I will deal with first.

- 1 This is a primary designated offence so
- 2 there will be a DNA order so that
- 3 Ms. Eeyeevadluk's DNA will be collected and
- 4 stored in the DNA databank.
- 5 There will be a firearms prohibition order
- 6 pursuant to section 109. It will begin today
- 7 and end ten years following Ms. Eeyeevadluk's
- 8 release from imprisonment.
- 9 There will be the victim of crime
- 10 surcharge as prescribed.
- 11 Ms. Eeyeevadluk has been in and out of
- 12 custody since her arrest on February 26th,
- 13 2015 for this offence. She has served other
- 14 periods of imprisonment and some of the remand
- 15 credit has been applied to other offences that
- she has been convicted of since February of
- 17 2015. I am advised that the unused credit
- 18 amounts to 166 days.
- 19 So giving Ms. Eeyeevadluk credit at the
- rate of one and a half to one, that equates to
- 21 248 days of remand credit for 8.25 months of
- 22 pre-sentence custody.
- 23 So please stand, Ms. Eeyeevadluk.
- Ms. Eeyeevadluk, taking into account your
- 25 circumstances, including the Gladue factors,
- 26 the circumstances of the offence and the
- 27 applicable sentencing principles, I am

1		imposing a sentend	ce of 20.25 months in
2		imprisonment and o	giving you credit of 8.25
3		months for pre-ser	ntence custody, leaving a
4		sentence of 12 mor	nths.
5		You may be sea	ated.
6		Thank you, cou	unsel. Is there anything
7		else that we need	to address?
8	MS.	ANDREWS:	Not from the Crown, thank
9		you.	
10	MR.	CLEMENTS:	No, thank you.
11	THE	COURT:	All right, thank you, we
12		will adjourn.	
13			
14			
15			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
16			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules,
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			Lois Hewitt, Court Reporter
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			