S-1-CR-2016-000035

R. v. Villeneuve, 2017 NWTSC 6

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

BEVERLEY ANNE VILLENEUVE

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice L.A. Charbonneau, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on January 16, 2017.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. M. Lecorre: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. P. Harte: Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 234 of the Criminal Code of Canada)

1	THE COURT: Last August, Beverley
2	Villeneuve pleaded guilty to a charge of
3	manslaughter. She admits that she caused the
4	death of Archie Paulette back in the summer of
5	2015, on June 8th. Today, it is my
6	responsibility to sentence her for that crime.
7	Ms. Villeneuve's sentencing hearing did not
8	proceed right after her guilty plea was entered
9	because a request was made to have a pre-sentence
10	report prepared. That was to give the Court more
11	information about her background and about her
12	personal circumstances. I have reviewed the
13	pre-sentence report carefully. It provides a lot
14	of information about Ms. Villeneuve's life, the
15	many struggles that she has lived through. This
16	information has helped me understand more about
17	her and about some of the things that have
18	contributed to her issues. Her lawyer said a lot
19	of things at the sentencing hearing as well that
20	have also helped me understand more about her.
21	Her circumstances, tragic as they are, do not
22	excuse her conduct, and they do not change the
23	terrible consequences that her actions had.
24	At the sentencing hearing, I
25	heard victim impact statements read on behalf of
26	Mr. Paulette's sister, Susan Chaffee, and her
27	former husband. I also heard directly from

Mr. Paulette's niece. It was clear that day, and not surprising, that Mr. Paulette's death has had a huge impact on these people and, I am sure, on others. Susan in particular is devastated by the loss of her brother.

The Court is powerless to repair the harm that was done or restore the loss that is felt. A sentence, even the harshest of sentences, could never make up for the loss of Mr. Paulette for those who loved him. I can only hope that the conclusion of the court proceedings will be another step for people in their own healing process and that maybe, the end of the court proceedings will help with some aspects of closure. Closure does not mean forgetting. just means finding a way to move on with life. It is clear from what I heard at the sentencing hearing and what I read that if there is to be healing from these events, it will take a long time for everybody involved. It is my hope that that can happen.

In any sentencing, the Court has to take into account the circumstances of the offence, the circumstances of the person who committed the offence, and the legal principles that apply in any sentencing hearing. With respect to the circumstances of the offence, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

circumstances of Mr. Paulette's death are not 1 entirely clear. On June 8th, 2015, 2 3 Ms. Villeneuve and Mr. Paulette had some family and friends over at their house in Ndilo for a 4 5 barbecue. The guests left at around 5:00 p.m., and Ms. Villeneuve and Mr. Paulette were left 6 7 They had been drinking alcohol during the alone. 8 afternoon, and some of the people who had been at their house observed that they were intoxicated 9 10 and they were arguing. Mr. Paulette left the 11 house shortly before 10:00 p.m. and went to the 12 Vital Abel medical boarding home. A security 13 guard who saw him there noticed that he was 14 intoxicated. Mr. Paulette was asked to leave the 15 property. He returned to his residence. At some point after he 16 returned, Ms. Villeneuve stabbed Mr. Paulette 17 once in the back. The exact circumstances that 18 led to this are unknown to this day and will 19 never be known. Ms. Villeneuve does not remember 20 21 what happened. She finds it difficult to believe 22 she did this, but through her guilty plea and her 23 admission of the facts, she accepts that she did 2.4 it. 25 What we do know is that at 26 11:00 p.m., Ms. Villeneuve went to a neighbour's 27 house, Mr. Tsetta, asking for help and asking him

1 to call an ambulance. He asked what happened, and she replied, according to him, that she did 2 3 not want to stay with the ambulance because she "did not want to tell them and get blamed for 4 5 it." Ms. Villeneuve and Mr. Tsetta 6 7 returned to the Paulette residence. Mr. Tsetta, 8 from the doorway, saw Mr. Paulette seated on a 9 couch inside the house. Mr. Tsetta thought 10 Mr. Paulette was passed out. He went to the 11 boarding house to call an ambulance. 12 Mr. Tsetta returned to his own 13 home after that, and he found Ms. Villeneuve 14 there. She said that she did not want to be 15 there when the ambulance came and get blamed. Mr. Tsetta, she appeared drunk. He kicked her 16 out. She returned home. 17 18 Shortly after that, the ambulance arrived. The emergency medical staff 19 20 determined that Mr. Paulette had no vital signs 21 and that he had a cut on his back. The police 22 arrived on the scene shortly after the ambulance, 23 and they arrested Ms. Villeneuve. 24 During the investigation, the 25 police seized a knife in the kitchen. blood on it which was later found, through 26

27

forensic testing, to be Mr. Paulette's blood.

1 Ms. Villeneuve and 2 Mr. Paulette were in a common-law relationship and had been for some years. It is undisputed 3 that their relationship was tumultuous. On the 5 date of the offence, she was on an undertaking which included a condition that she not have any 6 7 contact with him. Ms. Villeneuve has a criminal 8 She has a number of convictions for 9 record. 10 assault with weapon, as well as some convictions 11 for breaches of court orders, as well as one 12 conviction for disturbing the peace and one 13 conviction for mischief, but she has never been 14 sentenced to jail terms that were lengthy. 15 last entry on her record was for a charge of assault, which I am told was not on Mr. Paulette. 16 The criminal record filed as Exhibit S-2 shows 17 that a sentence of 30 days was imposed for that 18 offence, and this was in addition to 52 days that 19 she had already spent on remand. That amounts to 20 21 a sentence of imprisonment that is under six 22 months. 23 Unfortunately, this time, Ms. Villeneuve's use of a weapon has led to the 2.4 25 most tragic outcome imaginable. Ms. Villeneuve's counsel has 26 27 made extensive submissions on her behalf, as I

have already mentioned, and has told me a lot
about her background. There is also a lot of
information included in the pre-sentence report.

She is now 48 years old. She was born in Fort
Resolution and is Chipewyan, a member of the
Deninu K'ue First Nation.

To say that Ms. Villeneuve has had a difficult upbringing and has faced challenges in her life would be an understatement. Sadly, like so many offenders who come before this Court, the first memories she has of her childhood are traumatic ones. biological parents used alcohol and led very unhealthy lifestyles. There was family violence. She has memories of hiding under furniture with her siblings while her parents and other relatives were drinking and fighting in the house. No child should have to grow up in this type of environment, and yet we hear so many of those stories in sentencing hearings before this It is terribly sad and perhaps even worse Court. to think that this type of thing continues to on in many households today, and the cycle of violence in many instances is continuing to be The Court cannot solve the social issues that lead to these problems through the sentencing process. The answers have to come

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

1 from elsewhere. 2 Ms. Villeneuve was eventually 3 adopted in a family where the environment was far 4 more positive. In the pre-sentence report, she 5 reports that she felt loved and accepted by her adoptive parents and siblings. Unfortunately, 6 7 she started drinking when she was 13 years old, and this resulted in a deterioration of her 8 9 relationship with her adoptive family. 10 Without going into all the 11 details of what the pre-sentence report describes 12 and what counsel have told me at the sentencing 13 hearing, it is clear that alcohol abuse has been 14 a permanent feature of Ms. Villeneuve's life for 15 many years now. As counsel put it, the abuse of alcohol was completely normalized for her from a 16 fairly young age. She made very destructive 17 choices. Despite the efforts of those around 18 her, including her adoptive mother, she was 19 20 unable to break out of that cycle of 21 self-destructiveness. Violence also appears to have been normalized in her life. 22 She was 23 involved in other relationships where there was 24 domestic violence, sometimes significant domestic 25 violence. After Ms. Villeneuve met 26

27

Mr. Paulette and they started their relationship,

they were homeless for a while. In 2007, he was diagnosed with tuberculosis and spent a lot of time in hospital in Edmonton. When he recovered and returned to Yellowknife, they did get housing in Ndilo because of his health issues.

As I have already mentioned, the relationship with Mr. Paulette was tumultuous, and their lifestyle appears to have been guite unhealthy.

There is a very striking comment in the pre-sentence report, something that was reported by Ms. Villeneuve herself, that at one point Mr. Paulette's sister Susan warned them that if they continued to drink together, one of them would end up dead. Unfortunately, Susan was right.

The principles of sentencing are set out in the Criminal Code, and I am not going to refer to them in any great detail here. For obvious reasons, when dealing with crimes of violence, especially violence involving weapons, and even more so when the consequences are as tragic as in this case, the most important sentencing objectives are to ensure that the sentence imposed by the Court reflects the disapproval of the behaviour and sends a clear message about the seriousness of that behaviour.

2.4

I think everyone understands that manslaughter is a serious offence. when people are sentenced for assaults with weapons that did not result in serious or life-threatening injuries, or even sometimes when the assault did result in a life-threatening injury, this is what we judges say to the person being sentenced: that they could have easily caused more harm; that they are lucky that nothing more serious flowed from their actions; that they could be facing sentencing for murder or manslaughter; that when a person is stabbed, the difference between a minor injury and a fatal injury is more often than not a question of pure luck. Maybe these things were even said to Ms. Villeneuve when she was sentenced for assault with a weapon in the past. But this time, this is what happened. There was only one stab wound, but it was fatal. Ms. Villeneuve says she was blacked out when she stabbed Mr. Paulette and that she finds it difficult to believe that she She says she misses him, and when she did this.

She was not able to articulate how she sees the

future beyond the sentence that she will have to

was interviewed for the pre-sentence report, she

was not able at all to talk about the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

serve for this offence. No matter what I do
today, she has to live the rest of her life
knowing what she did, and this, I am sure, is
already a sentence all on its own.

Ms. Villeneuve's counsel has given me two articles as part of the sentencing hearing, Randall and Harkell - Trauma-Informed Approaches to Law (Dalhousie Law Journal, 2013) and Harkell and Randall - Disrupted Attachments: A Social Context Complex Trauma Framework and the Lives of Aboriginal Peoples in Canada (Journal of Aboriginal Health, 2009). They relate to the role of trauma in people coming into conflict with the law and possible avenues for solution or approaches. It is difficult to take issue with anything that the authors of these papers have written. Many people in this jurisdiction have suffered significant trauma as a result of childhood experiences, and some of that trauma is linked to what those people's parents experienced and the trauma they experienced at residential school. It is clear, I think, that without significant resources to fully understand and address the high level of trauma that exists in our population, it is difficult to see how the many social issues that we have can be addressed and how we can hope to see improvement.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

repeat, the Court does not have the tools to assess and to fully comprehend the effect that Ms. Villeneuve's experiences have had on her and to assess exactly what intervention would be required to address the consequences of those experiences.

I think anyone who is involved with the criminal justice system in this jurisdiction would have to agree that significant resources are needed to help people address these issues and that for those whose traumas have led them to come into conflict with the law, rehabilitation is not a simple process. That being said, the Court does not have the power to create the treatment programs that are needed. The Court only has a limited number of tools, and while some of them can support the rehabilitation of a person, they are certainly not enough.

The other reality is that, unfortunately, once someone becomes a danger to others in the community, even when the Court can understand exactly why, given that person's background, they have ended up with these issues, the Court has a duty to prioritize public safety.

It is important to note as well that for Ms. Villeneuve, while being in jail will definitely be punitive because it takes her

2.4

freedom away, it does not have to be only 1 2 punitive. Being in a structured environment, not 3 having access to alcohol, eating properly, having access to a psychologist and counsellors and some 5 programs that can hopefully help her deal with some of her issues, all of that can be beneficial 6 to her rehabilitation. The road ahead for her 7 8 will be long, but it is not without hope. Ms. Villeneuve's current state, from what I have 9 10 observed in these proceedings, what I have read, 11 what I heard from counsel, and what I am seeing 12 even today can best be described as a state of 13 utter despair about what has happened and what 14 the future holds. A jail term of some significance is not only what is required now to 15 reflect the seriousness of the offence she has 16 committed and to protect the public, but it is 17 essential for Ms. Villeneuve herself. 18 Manslaughter is punishable by 19 20 a maximum of life imprisonment, and there is no 21 minimum sentence. The range of sentences

a maximum of life imprisonment, and there is no minimum sentence. The range of sentences available under the *Criminal Code* is very broad. The case law provides some parameters to situate different types of manslaughters and place them in different categories based on how dangerous the conduct of the person was. I agree with the characterization of counsel about where this

22

23

2.4

25

26

particular case fits, and I will get back to that in a minute.

I have reviewed the cases filed by the Crown, and they are helpful not because they are all similar or identical to this case but because they talk about the principles that must guide the Court in how it should exercise its discretion on sentencing. difficult to comment about the circumstances of this offence because what happened that evening is not clear at all, but there are some aggravating factors stemming from even the little bit we know about what happened. The first is that this happened in the context of a spousal relationship, and that clearly is an aggravating factor. I adopt here the things that I said about that in R. v. Sayine, 2014 NWTSC 85, para. 51-56. Second, the use of a weapon is also aggravating. Any time a weapon is used, it increases the risk of harm, and it increases the offender's blameworthiness.

Going back to the different degrees of seriousness of conduct that we see in manslaughter cases, I agree that this case falls in the middle category.

The third aggravating factor is that Ms. Villeneuve was on a no-contact order

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

at the time. That condition was there for a
reason. It seems that both parties chose to
ignore it, but the person who was required to
comply with the condition was not Mr. Paulette;
it was Ms. Villeneuve. So that is an aggravating
factor. And, finally, Ms. Villeneuve's related
criminal record is also an aggravating factor.

There are also mitigating factors. Ms. Villeneuve waived her preliminary hearing. She pleaded guilty and gave up a very important right, the right to have a trial. the sentencing hearing, the Crown counsel advised that there may have been issues with the Crown's Having heard the facts, I can see why. The Crown had a circumstantial case, and perhaps it could be labeled as a strong circumstantial case, but there are never any guarantees. sorts of things can happen at trial. The fact that Ms. Villeneuve, not remembering these events, is nonetheless prepared to accept her responsibility for this is a significant mitigating factor.

I also have to take into account her personal circumstances, and I am guided in that, among other things, by the principles set out by the Supreme Court of Canada in the cases of *Gladue* and *Ipeelee*, which counsel

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

1	referred to. I accept that Ms. Villeneuve's
2	background diminishes her blameworthiness. At
3	the same time, this is not a situation where a
4	sanction other than imprisonment can be
5	contemplated. Although blameworthiness is
6	diminished, as I said in other cases, aboriginal
7	communities and aboriginal victims are entitled
8	to the same protection as non-aboriginal
9	communities and non-aboriginal victims. This has
10	to be considered when balancing all of the
11	factors relevant to sentencing.
12	At the sentencing hearing,
13	counsel presented me with a joint submission. In
14	law, such a submission carries a lot of weight.
15	That principle has been the law for several
16	years, but it was reaffirmed recently in no
17	uncertain terms in the case of $R.\ v.$
18	Anthony-Cooke, 2016 SCC 43. I have no difficulty
19	in this case in concluding that the joint
20	submission should be followed. To be sure, the
21	sentence for this offence could be longer than
22	what is suggested. But when weighing the
23	circumstances against the mitigating effect of
24	the guilty plea and Ms. Villeneuve's own
25	circumstances, the joint recommendation of
26	counsel, in my view, should be followed.
27	The Crown has applied for

1 ancillary orders, and I will deal with those 2 first. There will be a DNA order because this 3 a primary designated offence. There will be a firearms prohibition order which will be in place 4 5 for life. There will be an order for the disposition of exhibits at the expiration of the 6 7 appeal period, and I have no jurisdiction to waive the victim of crimes surcharge, so there 8 will be an order for the victim of crimes 9 10 surcharge in accordance with the Criminal Code. 11 Ms. Villeneuve has been on 12 remand since her arrest on June 8th, 2015. Counsel advised this worked out to approximately 13 14 560 days. There has now been an extra 30 days. 15 Under the existing state of the law, Ms. Villeneuve is entitled to credit for that 16 remand time. I have heard nothing to suggest 17 that she should not receive credit at a ratio of 18 a one-and-a-half day credit for each day on 19 remand, so by my calculation, this amounts to 20 28 months of credit, and I will give her that 21 22 credit accordingly. 23 Ms. Villeneuve, for the 2.4 manslaughter of Mr. Paulette, I sentence you to a 25 term of 5 years imprisonment. For the 560 days you spent in custody, I credit you 28 months, 26 27 which means that the remaining time to be served

1	will be 2 years and 8 months.
2	I am going to ask the clerk to
3	endorse the warrant of committal with my strong
4	recommendation that the authorities give
5	consideration to allowing you to serve your
6	sentence here in the north so that you can
7	maintain contact with people here.
8	Ms. Villeneuve, I hope that
9	you can get help during your sentence to deal
10	with your alcohol issues and all the other issues
11	that I heard about at the sentencing hearing. If
12	you do, perhaps, when you are released, you can
13	share your experiences with other people, and it
14	may be that by doing that, you could avoid
15	another tragedy like this one. My hope for you
16	is you are able to find hope again.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
5	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
6	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
7	to the best of my skill and ability.
8	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
9	Alberta, this 30th day of January, 2017.
10	
11	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
12	of the Rules of Court
13	Joanne Lawrence
14	- Journal Countries
15	Joanne Lawrence
16	Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	