R. v. Miller, 2015 NWTSC 50

S-1-CR-2015-000045

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

GARY MILLER

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice K. Shaner, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on 2nd day of October, 2015.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. K. Lakusta: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. P.J. Harte: Counsel for the Defence

Charges under s. 163.1(4)CC Criminal

Code of Canada

1 On August 19th, 2015, Gary THE COURT: 2 Miller pleaded guilty and was convicted of 3 possession of child pornography contrary to Section 163.1(4.1) of the Criminal Code. That 5 offense carries with it a mandatory minimum sentence of six months incarceration and a 6 7 maximum sentence of five years incarceration. 8 The Crown and the defence are very far apart in 9 what each views as a fit sentence in terms of the 10 custodial portion. The Crown seeks a custodial sentence of 18 months to be followed by three 11 12 years of probation, and the defence supports 13 the probationary period, but suggests the custodial portion of the sentence should be 14 closer to the length of the mandatory minimum. 15 An Agreed Statement of Facts was read into 16 the record at the sentencing hearing. Mr. Miller 17 was in possession of over 1,000 videos and still 18 images in electronic format, which meet the 19 20 definition of child pornography. He used various 21 file-sharing programs to download those images 22 over a number of years on a repeated basis and he 23 shared them with others using peer-to-peer

At the sentencing hearing, the Crown presented a representative sample of the type of

networks, which allowed others to view the videos

24

25

26

27

and images that he himself downloaded.

child pornography found in Mr. Miller's

possession. These images varied in seriousness

by which they were rated; however, they were all

disturbing and, frankly, they were all very

sickening.

The children ranged in age, but they were all just that: children. And they were being abused and degraded in ways that defy comprehension. In my view, they depict nothing short of torture.

Mr. Miller gave evidence at the sentencing hearing. He is 63 years old, and he is originally from Ontario. He is well-educated. He has no criminal record. He came North in the mid 1990s, first to do temporary work, and then this gradually turned into full-time work. He rose up in the ranks of the mining industry, eventually reaching the superintendent level, something which carried with it a significant financial reward. He had friends and a life in Yellowknife. He also enjoyed a good relationship with his son in Ontario, of whom he had shared custody with his former partner and who is now an adult.

All of that is now gone. Mr. Miller described how being charged with, and now convicted of, possessing child pornography has,

in his view, destroyed his family relationships. Shortly after he was charged, his son wrote him a letter and asked him never to contact him again. He has since made contact with Mr. Miller again, but he expressed that he remains very angry. Mr. Miller lost his job. He is close to financial ruin. This has fractured his relationship with some of his own siblings, and his social circle now consists of a mere handful of individuals.

There are both aggravating and mitigating circumstances that I need to take into account in passing sentence on Mr. Miller. Although Mr. Miller has been convicted of possession of child pornography, it was admitted that he participated in distributing the images and videos, something which has been found to be an aggravating factor in a number of cases. The size and collection of the videos and images is also aggravating. And as the Crown pointed out, over half of these were videos depicting sexual activity between adults and children, some of them very young, and one of which depicted bestiality.

These factors have been noted as aggravating in a number of cases, including $R.\ v.\ Kwok$ [2007] OJ No. 457 and 2007 CarswellOnt 671, at paragraph

1 48.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2 There are a number of mitigating 3 circumstances in this case as well. Mr. Miller entered a guilty plea, and he has accepted 4 5 responsibility for his actions. He pulled no This is borne out even further by the 6 punches. 7 fact that he arranged for and has attended 8 counseling aimed specifically at helping 9 individuals address their use of child 10 pornography. The counseling is highly specialized, and it is not without cost, which 11 12 Mr. Miller has paid himself in the sum of 13 approximately \$8,000 as at the date of the 14 sentencing hearing in August.

Mr. Miller seems to have insight into what led him to view child pornography and what he has to do to ensure that he does not go down that same path again. Of note in his testimony was that upon reading the victim impact statement of Vicky, which was filed by the Crown, he appreciated, all be it, for the first time, the extent of the harm caused by child pornography, and that as a consumer, he was participating in that abuse and harm. That realization came late, but it did come, and it was acknowledged.

Mr. Miller also appeared truly remorseful and willing to accept punishment including jail.

1 Mr. Miller is a first-time offender. In many 2 cases, I have noted that has been considered a 3 mitigating factor, but in my view, it is misguided given the type of offense that this is. 5 People who consume child pornography do so in secret. They do it quietly and from behind a 6 computer screen in the safety and privacy of 7 8 their own home. The case law is replete with 9 examples of people like Mr. Miller, a seemingly 10 typical, law-abiding upper middle class male, who get caught after repeatedly downloading, viewing, 11 and sharing these horrible images, sometimes 12 13 thousands of times before they are finally found 14 out.

Unlike the victim of an assault or a robbery, these victims cannot go to the police and report the consumers. They have no idea who is watching. All they know is that someone is. Thus, it is not surprising that consumers like Mr. Miller are discovered relatively rarely, often through the tireless efforts of investigators who have to cast a wide net and often after engaging in this behaviour repeatedly.

Accordingly I do not find the fact that Mr. Miller is a first-time offender mitigating.
As I alluded to earlier, this has cost Mr. Miller

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

a great deal on a personal and financial basis.

His loss pales, however, in comparison to what

child pornography costs its victims.

As I noted, one of the exhibits that was tendered by the Crown is a series of victim impact statements from a young woman referred to as Vicky, a victim of child pornography. She was horribly abused by adults, some of whom were adults she trusted. Images and videos of her abuse continues to exist and be circulated on the Internet and these images continue to be downloaded. Some of them even showed up in Mr. Miller's collection. Vicky continues to be victimized by this, now a young adult.

Unlike an offender whose sentence will end and whose criminal activity will inevitably fade into oblivion, victims of child pornography continue to be victimized and reminded of their plight and experiences from the continual production and consumption of child pornography.

As noted in the *R. v. Stroempl* [1995] OJ

No. 2772 and 1995 CarswellOnt 1775 at paragraph

9, primacy must be given to the principles and
objectives of general deterrence and denunciation
in cases of possession of child pornography.

This was also noted by the Alberta Court of
Appeal in *R. v. Andrukonis*, 2012 ABCA 148, 2012

1 CarswellAlta 864 at paragraphs 30 and 31.

Child pornography, it has been noted in many of the cases, exists only because there is a market for it. Downloading it, possessing it, and sharing it allows that market to flourish, and it encourages the production of even more which, in turn, encourages the degradation, humiliation and torture of children. Thus the Courts must consistently send out a strong message that possessing it, just possessing it, will attract meaningful penal consequences.

The cases submitted by the Crown suggest that a custodial sentence significantly higher than the six-month mandatory minimum is warranted in these circumstances. In my view, the objectives of denunciation and deterrence would not be met by a sentence which is close to the mandatory minimum. That should be reserved for the least serious cases, of which this is not one, given the nature and volume of the material in Mr. Miller's possession, and given that he allowed it to be shared.

The 18 months custodial sentence sought by the Crown is within the appropriate range, even given the guilty plea; however, I do think that Mr. Miller's efforts in seeking out, participating in, and paying for treatment which,

1 as I said, began without waiting to be sentenced, are commendable and should be reflected in a 2 3 sentence that is somewhat less than what the Crown seeks. In my view, a custodial sentence of 5 16 months, followed by 3 years of probation is appropriate in this case. 6 7 Mr. Miller, would you please stand up. 8 Miller, for the crime of possessing child 9 pornography, you are sentenced to a period of 16 10 months' incarceration to be followed by 3 years of probation, the terms of which I will explain 11 12 to you presently. Do you understand? 13 THE ACCUSED: Yes, I do. THE COURT: 14 You may sit down. From the custodial portion of your sentence, Mr. Miller, 15 will be deducted the time you have spent awaiting 16 sentence to be imposed since your conviction on 17 August 19th, 2015, being 44 days, and that will 18 be credited to you at a rate of 1.5 days for each 19 20 day spent in custody; thus amounting to a total 21 of 66 days or 2 months and 6 days. And that will 22 be deducted from your sentence. Leaving you with time to serve of 13 months and 24 days in 23 24 custody. 25 The terms of the probation I am going to 26 impose will be as follows: You will report to 27 Probation Services within two business days of

your release from prison, and after that, as directed by the probation officer. You will keep the peace and be of good behaviour, and you will appear in court as required. You will notify your probation officer or the Court of any change of address, employment, name, or occupation. You will abstain absolutely from viewing pornography of any form, be it print, film, video, or other electronic means.

You may possess a computer, laptop, tablet or handheld device with access to the Internet. I think that it is unrealistic to expect that a person can function without access to the Internet in this day and age. However, you will not use any of those devices to access pornography of any form on the Internet or other digital network, and you shall surrender any of those devices to the RCMP, your probation officer, or any other authorized individuals upon demand for inspection. As well, you will surrender your passwords and user IDs as required. You will attend treatment as directed by your probation officer and subject to being accepted into the treatment program or programs.

I am now going to turn to the ancillary orders that the Crown requested. The Crown requested a DNA order, and you will be required

to submit to the collection of bodily fluids for DNA analysis, and you will also be required to comply with the terms of the Sex Offender Information Registry Act, and that will be in effect for ten years.

There will be an order that the materials and items seized in the course of this investigation be forfeited, and, as I indicated, I will execute the forfeiture order that the Crown has filed or submitted to me today.

The Crown asked for an order under
Section 161 of the Criminal Code. I will make an order under Section 161(1)b, c, and d, under which you will be prohibited from seeking, obtaining, or continuing any employment, whether remunerated or not, or volunteer activity in any capacity that involves being in position of trust or authority in relation to a person under 16 years of age. You will have no contact by any means with a person under 16 unless you do so under the supervision of a person approved by the Court or, if this happens during the period of your probation, your probation officer.

Once your probation period has ended, the condition requiring you not to use your electronic devices or digital devices to access pornography on the Internet or other digital

```
1
           network will continue, and that order will be in
2
           effect for ten years.
 3
                Crown and defence, is there anything else?
 4
       MS. LAKUSTA:
                               I believe that is everything
 5
           from the Crown, thank you.
                               Mr. Harte?
       THE COURT:
 6
7
       MR. HARTE:
                               Yes, Your Honour, I just
8
           wanted to be clear. The order in relation to
 9
           employment or volunteer position, contact with
10
           anyone under the age of 16 without supervision,
           and pornography, that's all in place for ten
11
12
           years?
13
       THE COURT:
                                         Yes, that is in
14
           place for ten years following today.
15
       MR. HARTE:
                               Thank you, Your Honour. I
16
           have no comments. Thank you.
17
       THE COURT:
                                         Very well.
           Ms. Lakusta and Mr. Harte, I will take the
18
           forfeiture order with me and execute it, and I
19
20
           will provide it to the clerk to be distributed to
21
           you.
22
       MS. LAKUSTA:
                               Thank you.
23
       MR. HARTE:
                               Thank you.
24
       THE COURT:
                               We are adjourned.
25
26
       PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED OCTOBER 2, 2015
27
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
5	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
6	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
7	to the best of my skill and ability.
8	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
9	Alberta, this 13th day of October, 2015.
10	
11	
12	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
13	of the Rules of Court
14	
15	MANAGAN RIGAS
	MuganBiggs
17	
18	Morgan Biggs
19	Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	