IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- V -

ROMAN BOURQUE

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice K. Shaner, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 1st day of October, 2015.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. M. Lecorre: Counsel for the Crown Mr. P. Harte: Counsel for the Defence

Charge under s. 236(b) Criminal Code of Canada

Official Court Reporters

	1	THE	COURT:	Roman Bourque was convicted
	2		of manslaughter on	April 13th, 2015, after he
	3		entered a guilty pl	lea on that day.
	4		On August 24th	n, 2013, Emerson Curran
	5		and Roman Bourque v	were at the same party
	6		in Yellowknife. It	t was to be Emerson's
	7		last night in town.	. He had come to work
	8		in Yellowknife for	the summer following
	9		his second year of	university, and it was
:	10		an adventure that w	was encouraged by his
:	11		family and friends.	. He was due to fly back
:	12		to his home base in	n Ontario the next day.
:	13		Roman stood si	x-foot-four inches tall
:	14		and weighed 250 pou	ands at the time. Emerson
:	15		stood five-foot-ter	n inches tall and weighed
	16		163 pounds. Both of	of these young men were
	17		intoxicated, and sh	nortly after midnight the
	18		two exchanged words	s at the party. Roman
	19		suddenly punched En	merson in the head several
2	20		times. Emerson was	s knocked unconscious and
2	21		he fell onto a chai	ir. At that point some
2	22		of the other guests	s tried to stop the attack.
2	23		Emerson's cousin, N	Michael Patterson, was
2	24		among them. Roman	shoved them aside and
2	25		he kept punching En	merson. This caused
2	26		Emerson to slide of	Ef of the chair and onto
	27		the floor, striking	r his head on a piano as

he fell. Roman continued to punch him as he lay on the floor. He finally stopped and he quickly left the party. In all there were seven to ten blows to Emerson's head.

Emerson never regained consciousness.

He was medevaced to an Edmonton hospital and doctors there determined that he was brain dead shortly after he arrived. His father who, along with Emerson's mother, had journeyed to Edmonton from Ottawa upon receiving this devastating news, was there with him when he died.

This is an utter tragedy. Emerson was 20; Roman was 23, he is now 25. Both of these men were at a place in their lives when they could look to the future and imagine all of the promise and opportunities that it would hold. Now one of them has lost those promises and opportunities forever, and the other must face serious consequences from the justice system as well as living the rest of his life with the knowledge that he took another's life through an impulsive, stupid, violent act. That is, and will remain, an enormous burden for him to bear.

Emerson's parents, his uncle and a family friend presented victim impact statements during the sentencing hearing yesterday. In addition, Crown Prosecutor Marc Lecorre read in a number

2.5

of statements from friends and relatives who were unable to be here in person. Finally, there were a number of other statements which were provided but which the authors requested not be read aloud.

I listened very carefully to those victim impact statements which were read in and I considered those which were submitted but not read, and I re-read all of them again last night. The impact of Emerson's death has been profound. Over two years later his parents, his siblings, his extended family and his friends struggle every day against an overwhelming sense of loss, grief, anger, confusion and utter devastation. It has cost his parents not only emotionally, but financially.

Emerson's mother cannot work, she suffers from depression and post traumatic stress disorder. She fears for the safety of her other children, she fears them going away and being unable to help them if they need her. She fears something bad is going to happen to them. Emerson's grandmother is also being treated for depression.

Emerson's father has episodes at different times when he is suddenly overwhelmed with

2.5

intense feelings of loss, sadness and grief.

He feels uncomfortable around his co-workers

and his friends, he feels they are at times

uncomfortable around them. He thinks they do

not know what to say, and frankly, who would?

There are very few words.

Emerson's siblings struggle to deal with their grief, and it appears to his parents to manifest in different ways with each of them. Emerson's friends miss him. One of his best friends, with whom Emerson was set to graduate from university this year, struggles with the knowledge that Emerson will not be his best man at his wedding and that he will not be his children's God parent. The loss of this promising young man has left a hole in the hearts of all who knew him.

Emerson's parents, his siblings, his other family and his friends all realize that the criminal justice system cannot fill the void created by Emerson's death.

No court-imposed punishment is going to remove the lump in their throats or suddenly awaken them from what seems like an unending nightmare. The criminal justice system is just one piece of the complex puzzle involved in the loss of Emerson, and I know it

2.1

2.5

will not by itself bring his friends and his
family closure. I am hopeful, however, that in
completing the criminal process they will have
one less thing to deal with, and that it will
help pave the way for a bit more peace for them.

Through his lawyer's submissions, as well as the pre-sentence report, I learned about Roman Bourque. He, too, is a young man and there have been many challenges in his life. His birth parents, both of whom are aboriginal, were not able to care for him and his siblings because of significant personal issues of their own. Consequently, he spent most of his early years until his mid-teens in various foster homes. Regardless of how well meaning foster parents may be, being in that system often translates into instability.

While not all of his experiences in foster care were happy ones, however, Roman did connect with foster parents who, when they were moving, had the foresight to set him up with a family of his own, the Clarkes. They remain his parents in all senses of that word and they are a source of stability and support in his life.

Roman has never been in trouble with the law. He does not struggle with addiction.

He is an athlete and a contributing member of

2.1

2.2

2.5

his community. He has had steady employment.

Letters of support describe him as a good kid.

The Clarkes describe him as a good and reliable family member. There is nothing to suggest that Roman Bourque is someone who is prone to use violence when he faced conflict, but unfortunately he did so here.

A manslaughter conviction can result in anything from a suspended sentence to life in prison, no doubt owing to the many unfortunate and complex circumstances which can form the basis of a conviction for it. The Crown's position is that an appropriate sentence would be five to five and a half years, and Roman's own lawyer submits that a sentence of five years incarceration would be appropriate. These approach the upper limit of what both counsel submit is the range of sentence for similar cases, being approximately three to six years.

It is abundantly clear from the case law that the key objectives of sentencing in a manslaughter case are denunciation and deterrence. The sentence I impose today has to send a message that this kind of violence will not and cannot be tolerated by our society and that those who react with

violence instead of walking away have to face
significant consequences from the criminal
justice system.

Rehabilitation is also an important objective here. Roman is a young man with no criminal record and rehabilitation is a realistic goal. The sentence I impose has to take this into account.

The sentence must also adhere to the overriding principle of proportionality.

That is, it has to reflect the seriousness of the offence and the offender's moral blameworthiness for it. It must reflect the principle of parity in that the sentence that is imposed cannot represent a marked departure from those imposed in other cases with similar circumstances.

The degree of moral blameworthiness in this case is high and there are some very aggravating circumstances I have taken into account.

This was a sudden, violent and sustained attack. Roman could have walked away, but he did not. He could have stopped beating Emerson when Emerson was first knocked unconscious, but he did not. He could have stopped when others intervened; he did not. He just kept on hitting

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

26

1 him.

2.5

2 Roman's guilty plea is highly mitigating,
3 and given the brutal aggravating circumstances
4 I would, but for that guilty plea, be inclined
5 to impose a punishment higher than the range
6 suggested by the Crown. Like any person charged
7 with a crime in this country Roman had a right
8 to have a trial. He gave that right up.

I have seen and heard here in this courtroom the utter anguish that this case has caused Emerson's family and friends, and it would have been absolutely horrible for them to have to sit through a trial, away from home, and without the support of their family and friends waiting to see what the outcome could be, something which is always far from certain. Moreover, a trial would have forced the witnesses to relive these terrible events.

In pleading guilty Roman Bourque spared them all having to attend a trial, and in pleading guilty Roman Bourque has taken responsibility for what happened. He has made no excuses. He has not suggested anything that happened should be shouldered by anyone other than himself. He and he alone has taken the blame. After submissions were heard yesterday Roman offered an apology,

which reinforces that he takes responsibility, 2 and this, too, is mitigating. In my view, a sentence of five years is

appropriate and warranted. Five years is a very long time for anyone, but particularly a young man in his early 20s, to spend being told when to get up, when to go to bed, what to eat, when to shower, when to work and what to wear every single day. It will send a message that violence is not an appropriate means of resolving conflict and that it will not be tolerated. It will reflect the high degree of moral blameworthiness borne by Roman, while at the same time recognizing his potential for rehabilitation and the mitigating effects of his apology and his guilty plea, and Roman will, as I said, have to live the rest of his life bearing the burden of knowing that he took the life of another by a single, stupid act. Roman, can you please stand up. Roman Bourque, upon being convicted of manslaughter you are sentenced to a term of five years imprisonment. Do you understand this? Yes, Your Honour.

THE ACCUSED: 24

THE COURT: 2.5 Thank you, you can sit down.

26 There will also be ancillary orders. The Crown

27 has asked that I impose a firearms prohibition

4

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2.1 2.2

23

under Section 109 of the Criminal Code, which will be in effect for ten years following your release from prison, and that order is granted. You will also be required to submit to the collection of bodily fluids for DNA analysis. There will be a non-communication order pursuant to Section 743.21 of the Criminal Code by which you are prohibited from communicating 8 directly or indirectly with any of Michael, 9 10 Catrina, Graeme, Liam or Lauren Curran during the period of your incarceration, except through 11 12 the RCMP or the court process. 13 Finally, given what I heard about your 14 family support here in Yellowknife, even 15 though this is a penitentiary term, I will issue an endorsement recommending that you 16 17 be permitted to serve your sentence in the 18 Northwest Territories. This will allow you to maintain community and family support so 19 20 that when you are released your reintegration will hopefully be easier. Now, that is not 2.1 2.2 a recommendation that is binding on the corrections officials. However, I will 23 make it for those reasons. 24 Counsel, is there anything else? 2.5 MR. LECORRE: No, Your Honour. 26 27 THE COURT: Mr. Harte?

1	MR.	HARTE:	No, Your Honour, thank you.
2	THE	COURT:	Mr. Bourque, work hard.
3		I wish you luck.	You have a hard road ahead
4		of you. To the	Currans, I am so sorry for
5		your loss. We wa	ill adjourn.
6	THE	CLERK:	Thank you, Your Honour.
7			
8			
9			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript, pursuant
10			to Rules 723 and 724 of the
11			Supreme Court Rules.
12			
13			Joel Bowker Court Reporter
14			Court Reporter
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Official Court Reporters