# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

# IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

#### AARON KENNETH MORAN

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice K. Shaner, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 14th day of September, 2015.

### APPEARANCES:

Ms. J. Scott

Mr. M. Michael

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the accused

(Charge under s. 344(1)(b) of the Criminal Code of Canada)

#### MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14TH, 2015

2.0

2.1

2.2

#### REASONS FOR SENTENCE

# SHANER J. (Orally):

We are here for purpose of me delivering my
Reasons for Sentence and the sentence with respect
to the matter of The Oueen and Aaron Moran.

By way of history, on June 1st, 2015, Mr. Moran pled guilty to robbery, during which violence was used, contrary to s. 344(1)(b) of the *Criminal Code*. Sentencing was adjourned to allow for the preparation and distribution of a pre-sentence report, and this morning a Statement of Agreed Facts was read into the record and a conviction was entered.

Robbery is considered a very serious offence, which is reflected in the fact that it carries with it a maximum penalty of life imprisonment. The Crown and the defence have agreed the circumstances of this case call for a period of incarceration of 18 months to two years less a day followed by probation for a period of two years. Defence suggests that the lower end of that suggested range of incarceration, that is 18 months, is what is appropriate.

The case law that was provided by the Crown and referred to and relied upon by both of the parties

supports the conclusion, in my view, that the range that is suggested along with the period of probation proposed is appropriate in these circumstances.

2.0

2.1

2.2

As I said, the circumstances of the offence were set out in the Agreed Statement of Facts which was marked as Exhibit 1, and I am just going to summarize those facts here so that there is some context to these reasons.

On July 1st, 2014, Mr. Moran was at the victim's apartment which the victim shared with a roommate, Ms. Klengenberg. Mr. Moran asked the victim for a sip of alcohol but the victim said no. He then asked the victim for cigarettes. The victim had none, but then Ms. Klengenberg told the victim to get cigarettes from her bedroom. He complied with her request and he went to the bedroom to look for cigarettes.

Mr. Moran followed him into the bedroom and he pushed the victim down to the bed from behind. He tried to take the victim's wallet and there was a struggle which ensued, as a result of which the victim sustained a number of injuries, most of which were depicted in the photographs comprising Exhibit S-2. These were a scrape to his forehead, bruising on his hands and cuts to his toes. He also suffered a sore right shoulder and bicep, and the shoulder pain took some months to resolve, according to the

Victim Impact Statement and the Agreed Statement of Facts.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Ultimately, Mr. Moran reached into the victim's pockets and took his wallet. The wallet contained at the time approximately \$900.00 in cash.

Mr. Moran took the cash and left the apartment. The victim called the police, who subsequently arrested Mr. Moran. Upon arrest, he had on his person \$350.00 in cash. At the time of the offence,

Mr. Moran was on probation for a theft conviction entered in September of 2013.

In his Victim Impact Statement, which was marked as Exhibit S-3, the victim makes reference to the shoulder pain. He also indicates that he has difficulty sleeping and has to investigate every noise to reassure himself that there is not someone coming. He sleeps with a dresser blocking his bedroom door. Clearly, the impact of this has been significant for him.

As noted, there was a pre-sentence report that was prepared to assist in this sentencing process, and it contains a great deal of information about Mr. Moran's background and his circumstances.

Defence counsel indicated that Mr. Moran does not maintain the opinion he expressed to the author of the report, which is contained on the first page, that is, that the offence was not as serious as the

police information suggests. His counsel stressed this morning that Mr. Moran understands and appreciates that this is a serious matter.

2.0

2.1

2.2

He also asked to clarify what I presume is a typographical error indicating, at page 5 of the report, that Mr. Moran's mother's home community is Fort Simpson, when in fact it is Fort Smith.

From the report and counsel's submissions, I have learned that Mr. Moran is a 33-year-old Aboriginal male. He was raised by his mother, and during his upbringing, he was exposed to a great deal of substance abuse and violence in his home. He has a long history of substance abuse, including addiction to crack cocaine starting at age 17. He reported to the author of the pre-sentence report, however, that he had been clean and sober for a month by that time, largely at the insistence of his spouse, with whom he has a young child.

Mr. Moran has been with his current spouse for a number of years, and as I just noted, they have one child together. He enjoys being a father.

Mr. Moran has another child, who is in his teens, from an earlier marriage. He does not have a close relationship with that child.

The author of the report described Mr. Moran as being resistant to the idea of community-based programming for addictions. That said, he did

express a willingness to participate in programming during any period of incarceration.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Mr. Moran has a grade 10 education and a very limited employment history. This is no doubt due to a very lengthy criminal record which goes back 12 years to 2003. There are groups of convictions approximately every two years. There are five convictions for property offences, ten offences against the administration of justice, one conviction for impaired driving, two convictions for drug possession, and one conviction for obstructing a peace officer. Mr. Moran cites his addiction as the underlying cause of his criminal conduct.

The sentencing objectives set out in the Criminal Code apply in varying degrees of importance depending on the nature of the offence and the circumstances of the offender. They include denunciation, deterrence, both specific and general, where necessary, the separation of offenders from society, rehabilitation, reparation and the promotion of a sense of responsibility in offenders.

The key principle of sentencing is that the sentence must reflect the seriousness of the offence and the degree of responsibility, or moral blameworthiness, of the offender.

In robbery, it is appropriate that great emphasis is placed on specific and general

deterrence as well as emphasis on public safety.

This is reflected in comments made by Justice

Richard in R. v. Brownlee, 2002 NWTSC 78, at

para. 18, and in R. v. B. (E.), 2001 NWTSC 18, at

para. 31, as well as those of Justice Vertes in

2.0

2.2

R. v. Tsetta, 2002 NWTSC 80, at paras. 15 and 16.

The principle of restraint is also important here. The principle of restraint is codified in s. 718.2(e) of the *Criminal Code* and it provides that a court must consider all available sanctions other than imprisonment that are reasonable in the circumstances, with particular attention to the circumstances of Aboriginal offenders.

Mr. Moran is, as I noted, Aboriginal, and from the pre-sentence report it is clear that many of the systemic, or so-called *Gladue* factors, which are acknowledged as contributing to the overrepresentation of Aboriginal offenders in our correctional system, are present here. These include disconnection from an Aboriginal community and culture, poverty, substance abuse and family violence, all of which were present in Mr. Moran's upbringing.

While *Gladue* factors may lead the sentencing judge to determine that the degree of moral blameworthiness of a particular offender is diminished, thus perhaps justifying a sentence that

is less harsh, they must be balanced against the circumstances of the offence and the need to satisfy the relevant objectives of sentencing. Indeed, as Justice Vertes pointed out in at para. 14 of the Tsetta case, the Supreme Court of Canada in the Gladue decision itself recognized the reality that distinction in sentence based on those systemic factors is likely to disappear when dealing with serious crimes and serious offenders. The reality is that some crimes call out for a significant period of incarceration.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Finally, Mr. Moran is a relatively young man who has expressed a desire to turn his life around. Rehabilitation is therefore still an important consideration in this analysis.

There are, as pointed out by counsel, a number of aggravating factors in this case. The victim was elderly, he was attacked in his own home from behind, and the attack was unprovoked, deliberate and predatory.

The accused has a lengthy and sustained criminal record with numerous convictions for property crimes and he was on probation for theft when this offence occurred. This reflects a significant lack of respect for the rights of other citizens and the law and it is aggravating.

There are, however, a number of mitigating

circumstances. The most mitigating is that

Mr. Moran entered a guilty plea and he did so at a

relatively early stage in these proceedings. Doing

so saved the victim, who it appears has been

traumatized by this event, from having to testify,

and it saved the Court obvious time and expense.

Mr. Moran's decision to accept responsibility and

plead guilty is therefore worthy of significant

weight.

2.0

2.1

2.2

He also indicated this morning that he is sorry for what he did.

Although he blames his addiction for this and other criminal conduct, it is Mr. Moran who ultimately is to blame for what happened here and he needs to deal with his addictions. This is a serious crime and it calls out for a sentence that achieves the goals of deterrence and public safety. The victim was injured physically and he remains traumatized.

Whatever sentence is imposed must be significant enough to drive home to Mr. Moran that he cannot hurt and take from others to feed his own habits. At the same time, a sentence must also promote rehabilitation so that Mr. Moran can ultimately function in and be a productive member of our society.

In my view, this will be achieved by imposing a

period of incarceration of 18 months followed by two 1 years of probation. 2 Mr. Moran, can you please stand up. 3 4 Upon being convicted of robbery and upon 5 consideration of the circumstances and the nature of 6 the offence as well as your own personal 7 circumstances, I sentence you as follows. You are 8 sentenced to a period of incarceration of 18 months. 9 This will be followed by a period of two years of 10 probation and I am going to tell you what the terms 11 of that probation are in a moment. Do you 12 understand? Yes, I do. 13 THE ACCUSED: 14 THE COURT: Okay, you can have a seat, 15 Mr. Moran. The terms of your probation are going to 16 be as follows: 17 • You are to report to Probation Services within 18 19 two working days of your release and thereafter 2.0 as directed by your probation officer. 2.1 • You are to keep the peace and be of good 2.2 behaviour. 23 • You are to abstain from communicating, directly 24 or indirectly, with the victim, Donald 25 Hielmeland. • You are to appear in court as required. 26

• You are to notify the court or your probation

27

1		officer in advar	nce of any change of your name
2		or address, char	nge in your employment or your
3		occupation; and	
4		• You are to absta	ain from the consumption of
5		alcohol, drugs	or other intoxicating substances
6		except with resp	pect to medication that is
7		prescribed by a	licensed medical practitioner.
8			
9		Do you understand the	ose terms?
10	THE	ACCUSED:	Yes, I do.
11	THE	COURT:	All right. Mr. Moran, I did
12		notice that there are	e many many convictions on your
13		record for breaching	
14	THE	ACCUSED:	Yeah.
15	THE	COURT:	Court Orders, and it is
16		really important that	you comply. So if at any
17		point you do not unde	erstand the terms of a Court
18		Order or you are unsu	are, please, please check with
19		your probation officer.	
20	THE	ACCUSED:	I will.
21	THE	COURT:	There are also some ancillary
22		Orders that were requ	ested by the Crown. The Crown
23		asked that I impose a	a firearms prohibition under
24		s. 109, which I will	do, and that will be in effect
25		for 10 years.	
26		You will also be	e required to submit to the
27		collection of bodily	fluids for DNA analysis,

1	Mr. Moran.		
2	Crown and defence, was there anything else?		
3	MS. SCOTT: I believe you already made the		
4	Order with respect to forfeiture.		
5	THE COURT: Yes, I did sign the Order.		
6	MS. SCOTT: No, there's nothing further,		
7	thank you.		
8	*****		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723 of the Rules of Court		
15	or the Rules of Court		
16			
17	Lynn Carrière		
18	Court Reporter		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			