IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

## MOLSON MITCHELL ROMIE

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The
Honourable Justice L. A. Charbonneau, sitting in
Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 27th day

of February, 2015.

\_\_\_\_\_

## APPEARANCES:

Mr. A. Godfrey: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. M. Martin: Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 271 Criminal Code of Canada)

-----

BAN ON PUBLICATION OF THE COMPLAINANT/WITNESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 486.4 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

1	THE	COURT:	Earlier	this week, Mr. Romie
2		pleaded guilty to a	charge	of having sexually
3		assaulted L.F., and	I must	now sentence him for

that serious offence.

The Crown and defence have jointly submitted that an appropriate range of sentence for this offence would be between two years less a day and two and a half years' imprisonment in addition to the time that Mr. Romie has already spent in custody. Within that range, the Crown is asking that I impose a sentence at the higher end of the range and the defence is asking that I impose a sentence at the lower end of the range. I will say at the outset that I agree that it is an appropriate range. The only question that I must decide today is where in that range the sentence should be.

The Agreed Statement of Facts that was filed by the Crown does not include a lot of details about the sexual assault that was committed on Ms. F beyond the fact that it involved an act of full intercourse without her consent. Counsel also clarified, in answer to a question I asked during the sentencing hearing, that she was awake when the sexual assault took place.

Mr. Romie and Ms. F are both residents of Whati, but this offence happened in Yellowknife.

1	Mr. Romie was in Yellowknife with his sister and
2	Ms. F was here with her father. On the evening
3	of this incident, they ended up getting together
4	with some other people and consumed alcohol.
5	Ms. F was 17 at the time and Mr. Romie was 24.
6	Paragraphs 3 to 6 of the Agreed Statement of
7	Facts describe the assault committed on Ms. F by
8	Mr. Romie and those paragraphs read as follows:
9	That evening, L.F. went to sleep in her sister, L's room. Her
10	sister L went to sleep in the living room.
11	L.F. was in the room laying on the
12	bed and Molson Romie was in the room standing having a cigarette.
13	Molson Romie had non-consensual
14	sex with L.F. It lasted less than two minutes.
15	
16	After he finished he told her not to say anything about the sex and he left the apartment.
17	
18	Molson Romie felt bad after it happened.
19	Ms. F disclosed these events about a week
20	later during an interview with a counsellor in
21	Whati. As a result of this disclosure, the
22	police were called and she was interviewed by
23	them. Mr. Romie was charged and arrested on June
24	3rd and was released on process at that time.
25	He elected to have his trial before a court
26	composed of a judge and a jury and sought to have
27	a preliminary hearing. Having reviewed the

endorsements from the Territorial Court file, it appears that the matter was adjourned a number of times. There were a few occasions where Mr. Romie did not appear in court and the matter had to be spoken to at a later date, including one of the dates that had been set for the preliminary hearing. Ultimately, Mr. Romie consented to his committal. This was in February 2014. So the complainant did not have to testify.

Mr. Romie's trial had been scheduled to proceed this week. I heard that at some point last week the Crown made his counsel a proposal for resolution of this matter. From what defence counsel said, I understand this resolution offer was more favourable to Mr. Romie than earlier resolution offers that had been made. Presented with this new offer, Mr. Romie decided to plead guilty and the jury trial was cancelled. The witnesses were advised before the end of last week that they would not have to testify.

Crown counsel has said that Ms. F was very relieved about not having to testify on this matter. I have no doubt that this is true and that she must have been very relieved. In addition to sparing her from having to testify, I am told the guilty plea avoided the cost of her

2.4

having to travel from Whati to Yellowknife and, also, the cost of the police officer having to travel to Yellowknife from Inuvik to testify.

Mr. Romie, as I said already, had been released on process when he was first charged. I am told that he was taken into custody on March 4, 2014, and released again on March 7. I was not provided any further details as to why this was, but I take it, it may have been as a result of not appearing in court as required.

I was advised that he was taken into custody again on January 28th, 2015, as a result of being charged with other matters which are currently still pending in the Territorial Court. As I heard this morning, there was no show cause hearing on those new charges, which means that I have discretion as to how much credit I will give Mr. Romie for this period of time he has spent in custody.

Ms. F has written a Victim Impact Statement which was filed as an exhibit at the sentencing.

It was not read into the record earlier this week, but I will read it now because I think it is important for everyone, including Mr. Romie, to keep in mind the impact that this crime had on her. The Victim Impact Statement statement is dated February 20th, 2015, and it reads as

2.4

2.5

1	follows:
2	Didn't sleep good. Made me feel
3	depressed. Made me see a counsellor. Made me feel
4	sensitive. Made me feel uncomfortable around males. Me
5	and my sister's relation, I didn't get along cause [sic] of this
6	pain. It affected me and my former relationship. Scared to
7	[be] alone after this incident happened. I felt blamed from side
8	of the family. People thinks rude comments about me / talking about
9	me. Affected my senior year. Mom was being over protective cause of
10	my safety. That I almost took my life away because of what
11	happened. I was being put down alot [sic] that I didn't deserve.

alot [sic] that I didn't deserve. I was hiding under a rock. I cut some friends and family off cause

I was afraid. Emotionally wreck of myself. I was always crying that "Why me? Why is it my

fault?" I was in college, then I
drank alot to ignore the pain.

15

16

27

12

13

14

And she has signed the document.

Sadly, this Victim Impact Statement is very 17 representative of what we know about the impact 18 19 that sexual assaults have on victims. Just about everything enumerated by Ms. F mirrors the things 20 21 we often hear sexual assault victims experience: 22 feelings of isolation; self-blame and depression; 23 difficulty sleeping; problems in other relationships; unhealthy and self-destructive 24 25 conduct; substance abuse to numb the emotional 26 pain; difficulties in trusting others and, in

particular, men, if the abuser was a man; and

suicidal tendencies. These are the very real and very sad consequences that Ms. F experienced as a result of this very intrusive and contemptuous act Mr. Romie committed against her.

I turn to the circumstances of Mr. Romie himself. He is now 26. He has a criminal record, but that record does not include convictions for crimes anywhere nearly as serious as this one. He did receive a jail term in 2010. In 2012 for a series of property offences, he received a jail term as well, but that jail term was served as a conditional sentence, so he was able to serve it in the community. The offence I have to sentence him for today is in another category altogether. It is far more serious than any other offence that appears on his record.

I heard that Mr. Romie grew up in difficult circumstances. Both his parents are residential school survivors. In particular, his father was taken from his family to be sent to residential school and spent a number of years there.

Defence counsel advised that bad things happened to him when he was in residential school. These are things he apparently has not discussed with his son.

I heard that when Mr. Romie was young, there was alcohol abuse and violence in the family

home. There were enough problems that Mr. Romie was cared for by different people at different times. As an infant, he was cared for by an uncle, but that uncle committed suicide when Mr. Romie was still very young. Mr. Romie was cared for by several of his aunts, at times by his grandmother, and at times by his parents. When he was staying with his parents, I am told he was exposed to the dysfunction in the home. I have no doubt that this contributed to Mr. Romie coming into contact with the criminal justice system, and I have taken that into account as I am required to by law given the provisions of the Criminal Code and the binding cases about how sentencing of aboriginal offenders must be approached.

I have, as I am required to, taken judicial notice of systemic and background factors that have impacted Mr. Romie as well as his specific circumstances as they were outlined by his counsel. In reaching my decision on this matter, I have taken those circumstances into account and I will exercise as much restraint as possible in the sentence I impose. I want to add, though, that in ensuring that the sentence takes into account Mr. Romie's circumstances and the challenges he faced as an aboriginal person, the

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Court must also ensure that the sentence also does justice to his victim.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Ms. F is an aboriginal person too. I have very limited information about her and her background, but the systemic and background factors which are taken into account in sentencing Mr. Romie are things that would have impacted her life as well. Aboriginal people who are victimized are entitled to the Court's protection to the same degree as non-aboriginal victims, obviously, and the harm that is caused to them must be acknowledged and reflected in the sentences imposed by the Court in the same way as harm caused to non-aboriginal victims has to be reflected. So in applying the principles set out in the Criminal Code and interpreted by the Supreme Court of Canada cases, it is important that the harm caused to aboriginal victims of crimes not be overlooked.

But going back to Mr. Romie's personal circumstances, I also want to note that there are very positive things that were said about him at the sentencing hearing. I heard that he has led a largely traditional lifestyle, that he hunts and fishes and gathers wood, and gathers snow for the preparation of traditional tea. He engages in those activities for the benefit of himself,

his family, and other community members, including elders. This was confirmed by his grandmother who spoke to the Court with the assistance of an interpreter on Monday. She said that he visits her regularly when he is in the community, he makes sure that she eats, and that, generally speaking, he has been a hard-working, supportive, and caring grandson to her.

I heard as well that Mr. Romie has worked as a labourer for various employers to the extent that work is available to him in Whati. He has also worked seasonally as a brush cutter. Based on what I heard, it is very clear that Mr. Romie is not someone who sits around and does nothing. He is very capable of contributing to his community and to his family and appears to have done so fairly consistently over the years. Unfortunately, this case shows that as is the case for so many other people, when Mr. Romie consumes alcohol, he is capable of behaving in a way that is not the behaviour of a caring grandson or of a hard-working young father.

Mr. Romie is the only one who has any control over what he will do for the rest of his life. His counsel has said Mr. Romie does not want to drink, he does not want to become like his parents were, and he does not want to expose

his child to what he was exposed to. The decision to stay away from alcohol may be difficult at times, the Court knows that, but hopefully Mr. Romie will be able to maintain a commitment to lead a sober lifestyle. That way he cannot only be a good spouse and a good father and a productive community member, but he can also become a role model for other young persons in his community. He can behave in a way that makes other people look up to him and want to lead healthy lifestyles too.

Counsel have correctly identified the guiding sentencing principles and the basic range that applies here. The maximum sentence for the crime of sexual assault is ten years' imprisonment. There is no minimum sentence. The range of sentences available for this offence is very broad, but sentencing courts are guided by appellate case law in assessing where in that broad range a particular case fits.

The sexual assault committed by Mr. Romie was a major sexual assault as that concept has been defined by appellate decisions that are routinely applied by the trial courts in this jurisdiction. That means the starting point on sentencing is three years' imprisonment. This starting point reflects the seriousness of this

type of crime, the harm it causes, and an offender's blameworthiness for it. From this starting point, the sentence must be adjusted to reflect any mitigating or aggravating factors that are present. Here, the main aggravating factor is the victim's age. She was under 18 at the time this happened, and the Criminal Code specifically says that this is an aggravating factor. In fact, even before the Criminal Code said that, it was for many years treated as an aggravating factor when the victim of a crime was a young person. Here, the person was not a young child, but she was a young person nonetheless and that is aggravating.

I think it is also somewhat aggravating that Mr. Romie told her not to tell anyone about what happened. It is not alleged that he threatened her in any way, but, under the circumstances, the mere fact of telling her not to tell anyone could well have intimidated her enough to not disclose what happened to her, especially given her age, and if she had not disclosed this, not only would Mr. Romie not have ever been held accountable for what he did, but she would have had to cope with these events without receiving any help and without being told, as I hope she was, by the counsellor she spoke to, and hopefully by others,

that this was not her fault. Let there be no doubt: This was not her fault. It takes courage and strength to report something like this, especially in the context of a small, close-knit community. Ms. F did a brave thing in reporting this and she is not to blame for what happened, she is not to blame for the consequences of it being reported or for Mr. Romie facing a jail term today. The person responsible for that is Mr. Romie himself, and I hope that everyone in Whati knows this and understands this.

I make those comments because I know that often victims are blamed for what happened to them. Ms. F's Victim Impact Statement makes some reference to that, actually. It may be easier sometimes to blame the victim rather than to accept that a loved one behaved in the way Mr. Romie did. But victim-blaming is unfair and is very, very wrong. Communities and families must support those who are abused, not blame them and not ostracize them.

A third aggravating factor is Mr. Romie's criminal record, although I do not consider it to be a significant factor because the record is unrelated. He does not come before the Court as a first offender. He has been before the courts before and has been sentenced to jail terms

before. But beyond that, I do not find the
record is of particular significance in my
decision today.

There are mitigating factors to consider as well and the most significant one is the guilty plea. Although the term "early guilty plea" was used in submissions, I do not think this can be characterized as an early guilty plea. This charge has been pending for quite a while and the decision to change the plea came a few days before the trial was scheduled to commence. But that being said, the timing of the guilty plea has to be considered in context. It came, in this case, after a resolution offer that was made just last week. Where an accused person changes his or her position on a case at the eleventh hour because a resolution offer is made at the eleventh hour, it is difficult to hold the lateness of the plea against that accused. And quite apart from timing issues, a guilty plea in a sexual assault case is always significant because it spares the victim from having to come to court to talk about very traumatic events and very intimate, personal things. Having seen many, many victims testify in these types of trials and having observed firsthand the effect that having to recount events and withstand

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 cross-examination has on those people, I know
2 that sparing someone from that is sparing them a
3 lot.

In addition, a guilty plea provides certainty of outcome. It also removes all doubt for fellow community members and family members that the events did occur as reported. There can be no lingering suspicion that somehow the accusation was a false one.

By pleading guilty earlier this week,

Mr. Romie has admitted to Ms. F, to her family,

to his family, and to the community of Whati as a

whole that he did do this to her, that she was

telling the truth when she reported it. This is

meaningful and important, especially in our

small, close-knitted communities in this

jurisdiction.

Last but not least, a guilty plea

demonstrates Mr. Romie's remorse and willingness

to accept responsibility. He has apologized in

open court to Ms. F and to his family and to her

family and I accept this apology is a sincere

one. I observed Mr. Romie when his grandmother

was speaking to the court on Monday. It is clear

he knows that he has caused her hurt and he has

hurt others as well as Ms. F. I hope that he

never forgets how he felt on Monday and how he

feels today and that this will give him the strength and the motivation that he needs to stay away from alcohol and not risk hurting another person again. For all of those reasons I have been talking about, I attach significant weight to Mr. Romie's guilty plea.

Counsel have made reference to a few cases from this jurisdiction. These cases are illustrations of well-established principles and considerations that govern sentencing courts when sentencing people for sexual assault as of the same level of seriousness as this one.

The prevalence of sexual assaults in this jurisdiction was something that was commented on in R. v. Minoza, 2013 NWTSC 78 at paragraphs 27 to 29. I will not read those paragraphs out loud now, but they comment on the prevalence of this type of crime and the importance that this prevalence not lead to a desensitization of the courts or of the communities about how serious these offences are. The prevalence of these crimes is one of the reasons why it continues to be important for the courts to impose sentences that denounce this conduct.

Those comments were made in the context of sexual assaults of sleeping or passed out victims; but they are also applicable to sexual

assaults of victims who are not passed out or sleeping, because those types of sexual assaults are also very prevalent in this jurisdiction.

In Minoza, a sentence of three years and two months of jail was imposed. The accused had no record and the victim was an adult, but the accused was convicted after trial and the victim had been assaulted in her own home, in her own bed, and she was sleeping. Therefore, particularly vulnerable. There were no mitigating factors in the Minoza case and there were important aggravating factors.

The second case that was referred to was R.

v. Cli, 2014 NWTSC 69. In that case, the victim

was also an adult. She was sleeping in a tent

when she was sexually assaulted. Mr. Cli was her

friend. He had waived his preliminary hearing

and had pleaded guilty. He had a record with a

few convictions for crimes against people but no

convictions for crimes of a sexual nature. In

that case, a joint submission was presented that

a sentence of two years less a day would be a fit

sentence, and this joint submission was accepted

by the Court.

Another case referred to was R. v.

Laliberte, 2013 NWTSC 70. In that case, a

sentence of two and a half years' imprisonment

2.4

was imposed after the accused pleaded guilty to having touched a person under 16 years of age for a sexual purpose. The offence involved an act of full intercourse with a teenager who was sleeping in her home at the time. In that case, counsel had also presented a joint submission that was accepted by the Court. The guilty plea in that case was treated as a significant mitigating factor.

Ultimately, each case must be decided on its own circumstances, weighing and balancing the applicable factors. Mr. Romie is still very young and there are a lot of positive things that can be said about him. Under the circumstances, I would see little point in imposing a sentence that would result in him being sent to a penitentiary in southern Canada to serve his sentence. I think making sure that he can serve his sentence in the Northwest Territories is something that will ensure that the need for deterrence and denunciation is addressed while also supporting his rehabilitation, because if he remains in the North, he will have easier access to his support network, his family, and, in particular, his grandmother for whom it would be far more difficult to travel to visit him if Mr. Romie was sent to southern Canada.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Based on what I was told during the sentencing hearing, Mr. Romie has spent a total of 35 days in custody. But he had been released on process on this matter. He found himself in custody and released a number of times according to the endorsements on the Territorial Court file, or I should say there were warrants issued for his arrest on a few occasions because he was not in court.

The provision of the Criminal Code that was referred to this morning by Crown counsel,

Section 719(3.1), takes away the Court's discretion to give enhanced credit for remand time in certain circumstances. But even when that provision is not specifically engaged, the Court is not forced to give enhanced credit for time spent in pre-trial custody. It depends on the circumstances of each case. Here, considering the overall circumstances and the fact that Mr. Romie was released on process originally and now finds himself in custody as a result of being charged with other offences, I am not inclined to grant him enhanced credit for the time he has spent in custody to date.

The Crown has asked for a number of ancillary orders and I will deal with those first.

1	There will be a DNA order as this is a
2	primary designated offence. There will be an
3	order pursuant to the Sexual Offender Information
4	Registry Act for a period of 20 years, again
5	because this is an offence for which such an
6	order must be made. There will be a firearms
7	prohibition order pursuant to Section 109 of the
8	Criminal Code. But given what I have heard about
9	Mr. Romie's traditional activities on the land,
10	the fact that no weapon was used in the
11	commission of this offence, and the fact that
12	there was no violence other than the force
13	inherent in the act itself, my order will include
14	the endorsement pursuant to Section 113 that will
15	give the Registrar of Firearms permission to
16	allow Mr. Romie to be in the possession of
17	firearms under specific conditions to be decided
18	by that officer, the main intention here being to
19	ensure that when he is released, he can continue
20	with his traditional activities on the land,
21	which, in this jurisdiction, often require having
22	a firearm for hunting, obviously, but also for a
23	person's own protection given where we live.
24	Because of the date of this offence, I have
25	the discretion not to impose a victim of crime
26	surcharge and I am going to exercise that
27	discretion given that I am imposing a significant

jail term today. I am satisfied that imposing a
surcharge would result in hardship.

I will also order that any exhibits seized as part of this investigation be returned to their rightful owner, if that is appropriate; otherwise, they can be destroyed; but of course only once the appeal period has expired.

Stand up, please, Mr. Romie. Mr. Romie, I am going to impose a sentence at the very low end of the range that counsel have suggested. I agree with your lawyer that I should keep you in the Territories to serve your sentence. If you had not had any time in custody, I would have imposed a sentence of two years and 35 days. For the 35 days that you have spent in custody, I am going to give you credit for 36 days, which means the jail term I am imposing today is two years less one day, and that means the sentence will be served here in the Northwest Territories and not in southern Canada. Your family will be able to come visit you and you will have better access to the people who can support you. You can sit down.

Madam Clerk, please ensure that the Warrant of Committal reflects the amount of remand time, the credit given, the sentence that would have been imposed but for the remand time, and the

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1		sentence that I am imposing because that is
2		required under the Criminal Code.
3		Is there anything I have overlooked from the
4		Crown's perspective?
5	MR.	GODFREY: Just one thing, Your Honour.
6		With respect to the firearms order, I didn't hear
7		a duration.
8	THE	COURT: It will commence today and
9		expire ten years after his release.
10	MR.	GODFREY: Thank you.
11	THE	COURT: Because Mr. Romie is in
12		custody, I assume he is not in possession of any
13		firearms, but the order will say that they are to
14		be surrendered forthwith just to complete that
15		part. Anything else, Mr. Godfrey?
16	MR.	GODFREY: No. Thank you.
17	THE	COURT: Anything from your
18		perspective, Mr. Martin?
19	MR.	MARTIN: No thank you.
20	THE	COURT: All right. Thank you,
21		counsel, for your work in resolving this case.
22		Close court.
23		
24		Certified Pursuant to Rule 723 of the Rules of Court
25		
26		Jane Romanowich, CSR(A)
27		Court Reporter