IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

LAWRENCE LLOYD BLACKDUCK

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice S. H. Smallwood, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 17th day of November, 2014.

APPEARANCES:

Counsel for the Crown Ms. J. Scott:

Mr. J. Bran: Counsel for the Accused

> (Charges under s. 267 and 264.1(1)(a) of the Criminal Code of Canada)

1	THE	COURT:	Good morning.
2	MR.	BRAN:	Good morning, Your Honour. If
3		there's no concern	s or objections, I'd ask that
4		Mr. Blackduck be al	ble to sit with me for today's
5		appearance.	
6	THE	COURT OFFICER:	No concerns, Your Honour.
7	THE	COURT:	All right. You can go ahead.
8		Lawrence Blac	kduck has entered a guilty plea
9		to assault causing	bodily harm and uttering
10		threats committed	on March 28, 2013. It is now
11		my task to sentence	e him for these offences.
12		Mr. Blackduck has	been or assault with a
13		weapon, I should s	ay.
14		Mr. Blackduck	has been in custody since the
15		date of the offence	es and both counsel for the
16		Crown and the accu	sed are suggesting a sentence
17		that is, in effect	, time served for the offences.
18		They differ in wha	t the actual sentence imposed
19		should be. The Cr	own is suggesting a sentence of
20		two to three years	' imprisonment less credit for
21		Mr. Blackduck's gu	ilty plea and granting him one
22		and a half days' c	redit for every one day in
23		custody for his pro	e-trial custody. Defence
24		counsel is suggest.	ing that an appropriate
25		sentence is one of	18 months' imprisonment less

The facts of the offence are as detailed in

credit for his pre-trial custody.

1	an Agreed Statement of Facts which was filed on
2	Monday. Briefly, at approximately 8 a.m. on
3	March 28, 2013, the accused; the victim Joseph
4	Nedlin, who was 69 years old; Ernie Wedzin; and
5	Joseph Mantla, were drinking at the victim's
6	residence in Behchoko, Northwest Territories.
7	Mr. Blackduck and the victim argued over a bottle
8	of vodka and \$40. Mr. Blackduck became angry and
9	grabbed two knives from a drawer in the kitchen.
10	He stabbed the victim one time in the arm and
11	then tried to stab the victim again a few more
12	times before knocking him to the ground and
13	kicking him in the shoulder and chest area.
14	Another person, Mr. Mantla, intervened to assist
15	the victim and then a fight ensued between
16	Mr. Blackduck and Mr. Mantla. Afterwards, the
17	accused hid the knives in the kitchen. They were
18	later seized and photographed by the police, and
19	the photos depict two kitchen knives.
20	The victim went to the health centre at
21	9 o'clock that morning for treatment of his
22	injuries. He suffered a 1.75 centimetre cut to
23	his left earlobe which required three stitches, a
24	1.5 centimetre cut to his upper lip which
25	required two stitches, and a 1.5 centimetre cut
26	to his left arm which required two stitches.
27	Those were all depicted in the photographs which

were filed as part of the Agreed Statement of

Facts. He also suffered bruising on the right

side of this chest.

The accused was arrested that day at 9:08 a.m. and was transported to the RCMP detachment. He was lodged in cells, and once in cells, yelled to Corporal Landry, "I am going to kill you guys."

So those form the facts for both offences, the assault using a weapon on Joseph Nedlin and the uttering threats to Corporal Landry to cause death.

A Victim Impact Statement was filed by the victim, Mr. Nedlin, and was read out in court.

The statement makes it clear that in addition to his physical injuries, that this offence has had an emotional impact on the victim as well. He speaks of feeling unsafe in his home and feeling more secure when out in public with others around him. As well, he is scared when someone knocks on his door until he determines who it is. He stated that he wished to have no contact with the accused.

The sentencing principles that are applicable in an assault with a weapon are deterrence, both specific and general, and denunciation. That means that the sentence must

deter Mr. Blackduck from committing this type of offence again and it must deter other individuals in the community from considering committing an offence of violence. And denunciation means sending the message that society, the people of the Northwest Territories, and the people of Behchoko, do not accept this type of conduct; they denounce it.

The separation of offenders from society and the protection of the public are also considerations as is the rehabilitation of the offender which always has to be kept in mind.

There are a number of sentencing factors, both aggravating and mitigating, to consider in determining what an appropriate sentence is.

Mr. Blackduck has entered a guilty plea. He had a preliminary inquiry and this matter was set for trial scheduled to begin next week, on November 24th. In October the Crown made an offer to resolve the matters and, on November 3rd this year, counsel for Mr. Blackduck indicated at the bail review that Mr. Blackduck wanted to resolve the matters. So he has entered a guilty plea to the assault with a weapon and utter threats charges and the more serious aggravated assault charge has been stayed.

Mr. Blackduck deserves some credit for his

guilty plea because it removed the necessity of holding a jury trial and saved the victim from having to testify about the assault. However, it cannot be considered an early guilty plea and should not receive the full credit reserved for early guilty pleas.

Mr. Blackduck is 46 years old and has an extensive criminal record. He has approximately 104 convictions starting in 1989 and continuing through to 2013. He has amassed convictions in every year in between those two dates with the exception of 1991 and 1998. He has frequently received sentences of imprisonment. He has convictions for offences of violence, approximately 15 of them. He has eight convictions for assault, two convictions for assaulting a peace officer, a conviction for sexual assault, and four convictions for uttering threats. Based on your criminal record Mr. Blackduck, I am not sure that there is anything that I can say to you that has not already been said by another judge probably on more than one occasion.

Looking at the circumstances of the offence, an assault with a weapon, particularly a knife, where someone is stabbed is a serious offence, one punishable by up to ten years' imprisonment.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

When it comes to stabbings, the difference 1 2 between a minor injury and a more serious injury, 3 and that includes stabbings that have resulted in death, is often a matter of centimetres and frequently is a matter of luck. So Mr. Blackduck 6 is lucky that there were not more serious injuries suffered by the victim. This easily could have turned out much worse.

> The assault itself occurred after an argument with the victim, after arguing over vodka and money. Mr. Blackduck introduced a knife into the situation and attacked the victim and stabbed him.

The introduction of a knife into the argument is an aggravating factor and increases the level of moral blameworthiness of the offender. The victim suffered three wounds, each of which required stitches. He also suffered emotional injuries as related in the Victim Impact Statement.

The assault on the elderly victim occurred after drinking with the victim and others prior to the offence. So like many offenders who come before the courts in this jurisdiction, the consumption -- the abuse of alcohol is part of the offence and part of the offender's background. Indeed, his abuse of alcohol, I am

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

advised, is a factor in many of the convictions on Mr. Blackduck's criminal record.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Mr. Blackduck is an aboriginal person and Section 718.2(e) of the Criminal Code must be considered where "all available sanctions other than imprisonment that are reasonable in the circumstances should be considered for all offenders, with particular attention paid to the circumstances of aboriginal offenders." These are often referred to the Gladue or Ipeelee factors based on the Supreme Court of Canada decisions. I have considered the principles set out in those cases. They require me to consider the unique systemic or background factors which might have played a part in bringing Mr. Blackduck before the courts and the types of sentencing procedures and sanctions which might be appropriate considering his aboriginal background.

In this case, I have heard that

Mr. Blackduck, who is a member of the Tlicho, was

born in Yellowknife and has lived in Yellowknife

or Behchoko for all of his life. His education

was limited and he only attended school for a

couple of years. His first language is Tlicho

and he has been making efforts to learn and

improve his English.

His family was apparently not impacted by attendance at residential schools, but that is not clear as the topic is one that was not discussed in his family.

His family lived a traditional lifestyle and lived off the land. He has participated in traditional activities such as harvesting and hunting and, also, including hand games and drum dances.

His family history with alcohol appears to be limited. He recalls his father consuming alcohol from time to time and does not recall any violence within his family. Mr. Blackduck does recall witnessing violence within the community of Behchoko as he was growing up.

Mr. Blackduck began consuming alcohol as a teenager and alcohol has played a significant part of his life. It has resulted in many convictions and he has spent a significant amount of time in jail, albeit in relatively short but frequent jail sentences. This has had other effects. While serving one sentence in jail, his sister committed suicide, and during another, his mother passed away.

With respect to pre-trial custody, the standard is credit is imposed on a one-to-one basis. Section 719(3.1) of the Criminal Code

states that if the circumstances justify it, I can grant up to one and a half days for every one day in pre-trial custody unless the accused has been detained primarily because of convictions on his record. Mr. Blackduck was detained primarily because of his record.

In R. v. Nadli, a decision of this court from earlier this year, that portion of Section 719(3.1) that relates to the detention on the basis of prior criminal convictions was declared unconstitutional and of no force and effect. I adopt the reasoning in Nadli and, in sentencing Mr. Blackduck, I am of the view that if the circumstances justify it, I can grant up to one and a half days' credit for every day in remand time.

Mr. Blackduck has been in custody since his arrest on March 28th, 2013. While in custody, in terms of programming, counsel advises that

Mr. Blackduck has taken the programs that were available to him on remand and that there were other programs that he was interested in taking that he was not eligible to take. Mr. Blackduck has taken resumé writing and an anger management program called Creating New Choices while he has been in custody. He has also attended Alcoholics Anonymous meetings on several occasions between

1	April and August of 2014. Mr. Blackduck also
2	says that he has been working on his education,
3	on improving his English and learning to read and
4	write, and he is planning on continuing his
5	education when he gets out.
6	Mr. Blackduck, I hope that you follow

Mr. Blackduck, I hope that you follow through with what you have started, because if you are going to stay out of trouble, then you will have to manage your drinking and continue to work on yourself by taking programs and continuing your education.

Mr. Blackduck has spent about 601 days in custody as of today, which is about 20 months.

If Mr. Blackduck were to receive one-and-a-half-to-one credit, that would amount to 30 months' credit for pre-trial custody.

Taking into account Mr. Blackduck's circumstances, including the loss of early release, I am satisfied that Mr. Blackduck should receive one-and-a-half-to-one credit and so I have credited him with 30 months of pre-trial custody.

Dealing first with the ancillary orders requested by the Crown, there will be a firearms prohibition order pursuant to Section 109 of the Criminal Code. It will begin today and end ten years after your release from prison. The

1		assault with a weapon is a primary designated
2		offence and there will be a DNA order.
3		Stand up please, Mr. Blackduck. In
4		considering the principles and objectives of
5		sentencing, the personal circumstances of
6		Mr. Blackduck as an aboriginal offender,
7		Mr. Blackduck's previous criminal record,
8		including his prior offences of violence, the
9		seriousness of these offences and Mr. Blackduck's
10		guilty plea, I am satisfied that an appropriate
11		sentence for the offence of assault with a weapon
12		is one of 24 months. For the offence of uttering
13		threats, I sentence you to a period of
14		imprisonment of six months to be served
15		consecutively. Therefore, your sentence is one
16		of 30 months' imprisonment, and I am giving you
17		credit of 30 months for your pre-trial custody of
18		20 months, which means that your sentence will be
19		one of time served. You can sit down.
20	THE	ACCUSED: Thank you.
21	THE	COURT: You will be subject to a
22		probation order for a period of 12 months. It
23		will have the following conditions, which are the
24		statutory conditions. So you are required by the
25		Criminal Code to keep the peace and be of good
26		behaviour, to appear before the Court when
27		required to do so by the Court, and to notify the

- 1 Court or the probation officer in advance of any 2 change of name or address and promptly notify the 3 court or the probation officer of any change of employment or occupation. In addition to those conditions which are required by the Criminal Code, there will be some additional conditions, 6 and so you are to report to your probation officer within five days of your release and 8 thereafter as directed, you are to have no 9 contact with Joseph Nedlin, and you are not to go 10 within 50 metres of Joseph Nedlin's residence. 11 12 The amendments to Section 737 dealing with the victim of crime surcharge and which make it 13 mandatory to impose the surcharge came into 14 effect on October 24th, 2013, so after 15 16 Mr. Blackduck committed these offences, and I am 17 of the view that they are prospective only, so given Mr. Blackduck's limited employment history 18
- 22 Counsel, is there anything that I have
- 23 missed?

19

20

21

MS. SCOTT: No.

surcharge.

25 THE COURT: Anything else that needs to be

and his limited financial resources, I am going

to waive the imposition of the victim of crime

- dealt with?
- 27 MR. BRAN: No. Thank you.

1	THE	COURT:	Thank you, counsel, for your
2		submissions,	and good luck, Mr. Blackduck.
3	THE	ACCUSED:	Thank you.
4			
5			
6			
7			Certified Pursuant to Rule 723 of the Rules of Court
8			of the Rules of Court
9			
10			Jane Romanowich, CSR(A)
11			Court Reporter
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			