R. v. Petten, 2014 NWTSC 38 S-1-CR-2013-000102

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

JOSHUA LUKE PETTEN

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice K. Shaner, in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 9th day of May, 2014.

## APPEARANCES:

Mr. B. Demone: Counsel on behalf of the Crown

Counsel on behalf of the Accused Mr. T. Boyd:

Charge under s. 95 C.C.

THE COURT: Joshua Luke Petten entered a

guilty plea to a charge of possession of a loaded

restricted firearm, specifically, a Colt .45

calibre, semi-automatic handgun (which I will

refer to in these reasons as "the gun") contrary

to section 95 of the Criminal Code. Today I am

going to impose a sentence for that.

On Tuesday, the Crown and defence presented a joint submission that Mr. Petten be sentenced to a term of incarceration of three years and three months less the time he spent on remand awaiting disposition of this matter. They also agreed that Mr. Petten should receive enhanced credit for this time.

As I indicated on Tuesday, I am in agreement with the length of the sentence that is proposed in the joint submission as well as with the enhanced credit. It bears mentioning, however, that the Court is not a rubber stamp bound to accept joint submissions on sentencing, and I know that counsel is well aware of that.

Moreover, even if the Court does accept a joint submission, it is incumbent on the sentencing judge to give reasons for any sentence that is imposed. It is only in this way that both the offender and the public have an opportunity to gain insight into why a particular sentence is

imposed and what the goals of that sentence are.

This is, in my view, an integral part of the

criminal justice process.

It is always useful to begin a sentencing decision by examining the goals and objectives of sentencing, as well as the principles that guide their application.

The goals and objectives of sentencing in our justice system were incorporated into the Criminal Code some time ago. They are:

denunciation; specific and general deterrence; separation of offenders from society, where necessary, for the purpose of public protection; rehabilitation; reparation; and to promote a sense of responsibility in offenders, and acknowledgement of the harm that they have done to victims and to the community. The emphasis that is placed on any one or more of these goals and objectives will vary, depending on the type of the offence, the circumstances of the offence, and the individual offender.

There are certain principles that guide the Court in applying these objectives. These are: proportionality, in that the punishment must reflect the seriousness of the crime and the moral blameworthiness of the offender; the principle of restraint; and, of course, the

1 principle of parity.

2 And finally, judges must consider
3 aggravating and mitigating circumstances and
4 increase or reduce a sentence accordingly.

The goals and objectives, and the principles, must be applied even where, as here, a statutorily prescribed minimum sentence is applicable, albeit in a manner that accommodates those parameters.

The facts of this case were read in by Crown counsel earlier in these proceedings and so they need not be repeated verbatim; however, a brief summary is required in order to give some context to these reasons.

In the early morning hours of March 10th, 2013, Mr. Petten and another individual, who was a youth, headed to downtown Yellowknife. Before they left, Mr. Petten handed the youth a gun, which he, the youth, placed in the waistband of his pants. The gun was a restricted firearm and it was loaded. Neither Mr. Petten nor the youth had a licence or permit for the gun.

The two eventually wound up at the Raven

Pub. Mr. Petten, who had earlier ingested

steroids, cocaine, and alcohol, got into

arguments first with bar staff, and then with the

manager. During the argument with the manager,

Mr. Petten stated: "You don't know who I am. If you're talking to me, you're talking to the Nomads." Apparently, the "Nomads" is a criminal organization or gang.

Mr. Petten challenged the manager to fight outside. As he and the youth walked towards the exit, the manager observed the youth pass Mr. Petten the gun. Once Mr. Petten and the youth were outside, the manager closed and locked the door. Mr. Petten pounded on the door and a witness heard him yell, "You want some heat? You want some heat?" The witness told him to go, and eventually Mr. Petten and the youth left and caught a cab.

However, they had the taxi driver return to the Raven Pub whereupon Mr. Petten alit from the vehicle and pounded on the door of the Raven once again, yelling for staff to come out. No one did, and Mr. Petten returned to where the taxi was waiting. The witness who had earlier told him to go, heard him say "Who wants to get shot?" before the youth pulled him into the taxi and the taxi drove off.

Mr. Petten was sitting in the front passenger seat of the taxi. He produced the gun, held it to his own head and asked the driver "If I shoot myself will you miss me?" The driver

managed to calm Mr. Petten down and convinced him to hand the gun over to him, which the driver then handed to the youth in the back seat. The youth then placed the gun under the seat.

A short time later, the RCMP arrested Mr.

Petten and the youth in what is termed a "high risk takedown". Mr. Petten subsequently gave two false names and a false date of birth to the RCMP, and he maintained those false identities for several hours before finally revealing his real identity.

It is very clear from these facts that what Mr. Petten and the other individual created was a situation that was extremely dangerous to a number of innocent bystanders, to the police who were involved, as well as to themselves.

The Court had the benefit of a presentence report respecting Mr. Petten, as well as information provided by his lawyer about his background. Mr. Petten is a young man, 21 at the time of the offence and 22 now. He grew up in Langley, British Columbia, and he completed grade 10. He has a limited employment history, although that is not surprising given his relatively young age.

From the presentence report, it appears that he was bullied as a child about being overweight.

This in turn led to serious self-esteem issues,

depression and anxiety, for which Mr. Petten was

treated.

His parents are divorced. His father is an alcoholic and his mother suffers from mental health issues. They reported to the author of the presentence report that this had an impact on their ability to parent Mr. Petten and to deal with his emotional issues effectively.

Both the Crown and the defence submitted that the difficulties in Mr. Petten's past should be considered mitigating, and I accept this. It is important to note, however, that this does not excuse Mr. Petten's conduct on that night. There is no excuse for it. It does, however, give the Court some insight into what kind of person he is and how his background may have led him to exercise such terrible judgment that night.

According to the presentence report, Mr.

Petten has a history of cocaine and marihuana use and he also uses steroids frequently. Recently, it is reported that he struggled with the impact of stopping steroids abruptly due to his remand for this offence.

Mr. Petten has a criminal record, although it is very short, and he has no previous convictions involving firearms. I do not find

that the criminal record is an aggravating factor
in this proceeding.

The author of the presentence report reported that Mr. Petten is affiliated with organized crime in B.C.'s lower mainland, although Mr. Petten himself denies this. The author also had information from British Columbia justice files that Mr. Petten is quick to resort to violence in response to certain situations, something that Mr. Petten admitted. It is also reported that Mr. Petten appears to have a narrow range of options for himself when he needs to solve problems.

Mr. Petten has an interest in fitness, health and nutrition and has plans to enter this field on a professional basis in the future. He has taken training in connection with this.

Unfortunately, it is evident from the presentence report that Mr. Petten, at least at the time he was talking with the author, had little insight into the seriousness of his actions and why he would be facing such a stiff penalty.

Possession of a loaded restricted firearm is, Mr. Petten, a very serious offence, and indeed Parliament considers it serious enough to attract a minimum penalty of three years for a

1	first offence, and five years for a second or	
2	subsequent offence. The maximum penalty is ten	
3	years.	
4	The rationale for the seriousness with which	
5	this offence is treated is obvious - guns do	
6	harm. They are dangerous. They maim people.	
7	They kill people. And that can happen in a	
8	matter of seconds. The Alberta Court of Appeal	
9	in The Queen and Chin stated at paragraph 10:	
10	Mere possession of loaded firearms is inherently dangerous. When such	
11	weapons are allowed in the community, death and serious injury are literally at hand, only an impulse and trigger-pull away.	
12		
13	impulse and crigger pair away.	
14	The Court went on to state at paragraph 12:	
15	These crimes present a particularly serious threat to the safety and	
16	security of the community. Like drug trafficking, they require forethought and planning. The weapon must be obtained, which is in	
17		
18	itself a serious offence. Keeping it loaded or with ammunition nearby	
19	means it is to be used for more than intimidation. Simply put, carrying	
20	a loaded restricted or prohibited firearm is an extremely dangerous	
21	act for which there is absolutely no justification.	
22	Justification.	
23	And that is why the penalty is so stiff.	
24	Notwithstanding the apparent lack of	
25	understanding as to why the consequences are so	
26	grave however, it is telling that Mr. Petten	
27	pleaded guilty and thus accepted responsibility	

for his actions. He also apologized in court on Tuesday, and he specifically apologized to one of the witnesses who was at the scene. That, in my view, suggests that he has at least some insight into how serious this was and just how wrong things could have gone.

The guilty plea is also a mitigating factor. Although it is not what would be considered an early guilty plea, coming after the preliminary inquiry, Mr. Petten's plea did nevertheless come before a trial had to be organized. This case, according to the Crown, would have been long and relatively complex, requiring a great number of court and state resources. Mr. Petten deserves some credit for pleading guilty in the circumstances.

Given what I have heard about Mr. Petten's background and the circumstances of the offence, and having regard to the goals and objectives and principles of sentencing, it is my view that the three years and three months proposed by the parties is a fit and proper sentence. The ancillary orders proposed by the Crown are also justified.

Before formally imposing sentence, I am going to deal with the amount of credit Mr.

Petten will receive for the time spent on remand.

1	There appeared to be a general consensus
2	between counsel that enhanced credit should be
3	granted save and except for the 11 days which Mr.
4	Petten would have lost for behaviourial
5	difficulties, and that credit should have been
6	granted at a rate of 1.5 days for each day spent
7	in remand. The amount of that credit works out
8	to 21 months.
9	Having regard to the recent Supreme Court of

Having regard to the recent Supreme Court of Canada decision in The Queen and Summers respecting enhanced credit, as well as the submissions of Mr. Boyd, I am convinced that the circumstances are present that justify granting enhanced credit. And so Mr. Petten will receive 21 months credit.

Mr. Petten, can you please stand up.

Mr. Petten, for the offence of possession of a loaded restricted firearm, you are sentenced to a period of incarceration of three years and three months. You will receive credit of 21 months for the time you have spent in custody already, and so you will be required to serve 18 months.

You can sit down.

25 There will also be an order for bodily
26 fluids to be taken from you for DNA analysis, and
27 an order prohibiting you from possessing a

- 1 firearm or other weapon listed in section 109 of
- 2 the Criminal Code and this will be in effect for
- 3 20 years from the date of your release.
- Do you understand, Mr. Petten?
- 5 THE ACCUSED: Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Petten, please use your
- 7 time wisely. Use the time that you are
- 8 incarcerated and the services available to you
- 9 there, which are readily available, to lay the
- 10 groundwork that will enable you to make positive
- and lasting changes to your lifestyle, that will
- 12 enable you to respond to situations of conflict
- 13 more positively, and that will enable you to
- 14 enhance your self-esteem and your sense of
- 15 self-worth. Take advantage of what is offered to
- 16 you. You are a young man, you have many years
- 17 ahead of you. You can put this behind you and
- 18 move forward, or you can choose to spend more
- 19 time in the criminal justice system. It is all
- up to you.
- 21 Mr. Petten, I do wish you the best.
- Counsel, is there anything else?
- 23 MR. WALSH: I believe that's everything
- from my perspective.
- THE COURT: Mr. Boyd?
- 26 MR. BOYD: Nothing from defence, Your
- Honour, thank you.

1	THE COURT:	Thank you very much.
2		
3		Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
4		to Rule 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules of Court.
5		Supreme Court Rules of Court.
6		
7		Annette Wright, RPR, CSR(A) Court Reporter
8		Court Reporter
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		