R. v. Comber, 2013 NWTSC 28

S-1-CR2013000008

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

BETTY LOU COMBER

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable

Justice S. H. Smallwood, at Yellowknife in the Northwest

Territories, on May 29th A.D., 2013.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. W. Miller: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. C. Wawzonek: Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 334(a) Criminal Code of Canada

Betty Lou Comber has entered 1 THE COURT: a guilty plea to a charge of theft over \$5000 2 contrary to Section 334(a) of the Criminal 3 Code. The facts are as detailed in the agreed 5 statement of facts which were filed this morning, and I will just briefly summarize 7 them. Ms. Comber worked at Walmart here in 9 Yellowknife for approximately six years. worked in the cash office and had access to 10 large amounts of cash. Starting in 2008, she 11 printed off receipts and had refunds issued to 12 13 her. She was also seen on video surveillance concealing money while counting it. Video 14 surveillance from February to June 2012 showed 15 the accused taking almost \$8000 in cash from 16 17 the store. On June 16th, 2012, Ms. Comber was 18 observed by the loss prevention manager concealing cash in her sweater. When she left 19 20 the store with the money in her sweater, she 21 was stopped by a store employee and arrested. 22 \$320 in cash was found on her. She spoke to the loss prevention manager and admitted that 23 24 she had taken money for approximately three 25 years by making the cash till short and running receipts as refunds to make up the 26 extra money. She also admitted not entering 27

all of the deposit money and keeping the extra 1 money. She did not know how much she took but 2 she guessed that she might have taken \$30,000. 3 They were unable to ascertain the exact amount of money taken by Ms. Comber but it was at least \$5000. A solicitor for Walmart demanded 6 the repayment of \$30,310.75 on behalf of Walmart and Ms. Comber repaid that amount and 9 refinanced her home to do so. 10 Ms. Comber is 45 years old. She is married, has two children and a grandchild, as 11 well her niece also lives with her. 12 13 She has a prior criminal record for theft

She has a prior criminal record for theft over from 1989. At that time she received a sentence of four months incarceration followed by three years probation and was required to pay restitution of \$7000.

She has been employed for the past 13 years and worked a second job for Walmart for the past six years until these charges arose. She has maintained her employment with her current employer although after she advised them about this charge, they conducted an investigation to determine whether there were any concerns about similar activity occurring with her employment there. The investigation determined that there were none but she was

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

put into another position where she was no
longer responsible for payroll.

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Ms. Comber's explanation offered through counsel relate circumstances of financial hardship and a personal history which precipitated these events. I accept the explanations offered by her counsel and by Ms. Comber herself though at the same time they are somewhat baffling. Ms. Comber was employed with two jobs. She was able to refinance her home to pay back the money. And there appears to be somewhat of a rush, an "adrenalin rush" as described in the pre-sentence report, in doing these activities. So this is not a situation where there is any evidence that Ms. Comber was facing crushing debt, a severe drug or gambling problem which has been present in other cases before this Court.

It is unfortunate that Ms. Comber chose to risk her job, her freedom, and her reputation for money. But the personal circumstances that Ms. Comber's counsel related demonstrate a woman who did have issues, ones which impacted upon her in many areas, and it is to her credit that she has taken actions to address those issues and to deal with her family situation. It is important to note

that she has the continued support of her
family.

Counsel have presented a joint submission to the Court on sentence, requesting a 12 month conditional sentence order be imposed. Crown counsel, in addition, suggests that 12 months of probation should follow the conditional sentence order. During submissions this morning, I expressed some concern, not with the conditional sentence aspect but with the length of the conditional sentence. My concern was whether or not 12 months was appropriate given a few factors that concerned me: The lengthy period of time over which Ms. Comber committed this offence, her prior related record which albeit is dated, the amount of money which Ms. Comber took from her employer.

Counsel made further submissions regarding the appropriate length of sentence and, after consideration, I have decided to go along with the 12 month conditional sentence joint submission. And, really, there are two factors that cause me to do so: Ms. Comber's early acceptance of responsibility which was demonstrated by her guilty plea and her paying back the money in August 2012. And secondly,

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

that she has paid the full amount of money
requested by Walmart without question, even
though the Crown fairly conceded that the
exact amount of money was not ascertainable
and that the Crown could not have likely
proven the full \$30,000 if the matter went to
trial.

I have read all of the cases presented by both Crown and defence and I don't intend to review them in this decision but I thank counsel for providing them. They were helpful in providing a range of sentences that have been imposed for similar offences in the past. And all cases, of course, must be dealt with on their own facts and dealing with the individual offender.

There are significant mitigating factors.

Ms. Comber has entered a guilty plea and signaled her intention to do so at an early opportunity. A guilty plea has been considered as an expression of remorse and an acceptance of responsibility and when Ms.

Comber addressed the court earlier, it was clear that she is remorseful, that she accepts responsibility. While talking about the issues that she was facing, she didn't use

them to excuse her actions but accepted that

- 1 she was responsible for her actions and that she hopes to become a better person. 2 She has also paid back the \$30,000 which, 3 as previously noted, is something that the Crown likely would not have been able to prove that full amount and she did so at a very 6 early point in this proceeding. Ms. Comber, as I mentioned, has a criminal 9 record. It is related but it is also dated so 10 it is really of little significance in the final analysis. 11 There are aggravating factors as well. 12 The amount of \$30,000 is a significant amount. 13 14 Again this is somewhat with a caveat that this amount is not ascertainable but it is also 15 significant that Ms. Comber paid that money 16 without question to Walmart so there is some 17 18 acceptance by her that that is an accurate 19 amount of money. As well, it is aggravating that this 20 offence occurred over a significant period of 21 22
- offence occurred over a significant period of
 time. This wasn't a one-time or two-time
 event that happened but it happened on
 numerous times over several years.

 It is, as well, aggravating that this is a

25 It is, as well, aggravating that this is a 26 breach of trust situation. Offences where an 27 individual steals from their employer have been referred to as breach of trust offences

because they involve an employee who has been

trusted by their employer to treat the money

and/or goods that they are responsible for in

an appropriate manner and not take them for

their own benefit.

Now, this is not a situation like the case that was provided of Sanguez where the theft by the employee resulted in the closure of the business. It may be that Walmart is a big corporation who can likely absorb this loss, that does not make it any less of a breach of trust. Businesses, whether big or small, rely on their employees for their businesses to operate and entrust them to do so. So violations of that trust are taken seriously.

Prior to the conditional sentence regime, thefts like this would result in jail often. The amendments to the Criminal Code that occurred in November 2012 may yet result in imprisonment being imposed for these types of offences.

So in sentencing individuals guilty of thefts in these circumstances, as the cases demonstrate, deterrence is one of the primary considerations. Rehabilitation is as well a focus, particularly for an offender in Ms.

1	Comber's position. Deterrence means that
2	other individuals, when hearing of this, would
3	be deterred from committing this type of
4	offence and that Ms. Comber herself is
5	specifically deterred from committing this
6	type of offence again. And this is why
7	conditional sentences have been imposed in
8	these situations. The offenders are often
9	individuals like Ms. Comber who are otherwise
10	of good character and the offence, committed
11	for whatever reason, is out of character.
12	The punitive aspects of a conditional
13	sentence also meet the deterrence principles.
14	The sentences are not intended to be easy.
15	There are expected to be restrictions on
16	liberty, movement, which make a conditional
17	sentence a unique punishment which can be
18	appropriate for this type of offence and
19	offender.
20	In looking at the requirements of
21	Section 742.1, as it was prior to November
22	2012, there are four elements which the court
23	must be satisfied before imposing a
24	conditional sentence. The first is that the
25	person is convicted of an offence prosecuted
26	by way of indictment for which the maximum
27	term of imprisonment is ten years or more and

it is not punishable by a minimum term of 1 imprisonment. Also, the court has to be 2 3 imposing a sentence of less than two years imprisonment. Thirdly, that the service of the sentence in the community would not endanger the safety of the community. And 6 fourth, that it would be consistent with the fundamental purpose and principles of 9 sentencing that are set out in the Criminal 10 Code. I am satisfied that all of these 11 conditions have been met. This is not a situation where the court 12 would impose a sentence of more than two 13 14 years. The accused's personal circumstances and the circumstances of the offence satisfy 15 me that the safety of the community would not 16 be endangered by serving a sentence in the 17 community. A conditional sentence in this 18 circumstance is consistent with the 19 fundamental purpose and principles of 20 21 sentencing as you can still meet the 22 sentencing objectives with a conditional 23 sentence. I am required, pursuant to Section 742.2, 24 to consider whether an order under Section 109 25 26 or 110 is applicable. The Crown has not

27

sought this order and based upon the

circumstances of the offence, I am satisfied
that one is not required.

Can you stand up please, Ms. Comber.

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Ms. Comber, I'm sentencing you to 12 months imprisonment to be served in the community. The order will have a number of conditions. There are a number of conditions which are required under the Criminal Code, and they are to keep the peace and be of good behavior; to appear before the court when required to do so by the court; to report to your conditional sentence supervisor within two working days of today and thereafter when required by the supervisor; you are to remain within the Northwest Territories unless written permission to go outside the Northwest Territories is obtained from the court or your supervisor. You are to notify the court or your supervisor in advance if you are going to change your name or your address and you have to promptly notify the court or your supervisor if you change your employment or your occupation.

In addition, I am imposing the following additional conditions: You are to complete 150 hours of community service work at the direction of your supervisor at a rate of at

1	least 12 hours per month. You are not to be
2	employed in a position where you are
3	responsible for dealing with money on a
4	regular basis, and that's as much for your own
5	protection as the public's. For the first
6	nine months of the order you are to be indoors
7	at your residence 24 hours a day with the
8	following exceptions: To go directly to,
9	attend at, and directly home from work; to
10	complete your community service as directed by
11	your supervisor; to obtain emergency medical
12	attention for yourself or a member of your
13	immediate family; to attend counselling as
14	directed by your supervisor; to attend court
15	or meetings with your supervisor; and for one
16	period a week, of not more than three hours,
17	to obtain groceries and to attend to other
18	personal items. You will as well also
19	cooperate fully with random checks by
20	telephone and in person by your supervisor or
21	the police to verify compliance with this
22	order. What that means is that you will
23	present yourself at the door of your residence
24	when requested by the supervisor or the
25	police, if they come to your house, and you
26	will speak to them on the telephone, either
27	the supervisor or the police, if they call

your house wanting to check that you are
there. You will also advise your supervisor
of your hours of work. Now, if you work
regular hours, that's fine, but if you work on
a shift schedule or a schedule where it
changes regularly, you will advise your
supervisor of your work schedule in advance.

In addition, a copy of the order, when it is completed by the clerk, will be given to you and explained to you and you will have to sign that.

If you have difficulties with the conditions, you or your supervisor can apply to the Court to change the condition. That is something that you should discuss with your supervisor if there are problems that arise and then you can decide whether that is something that you want to pursue. As well, I have to advise you that if you breach the order, you can be arrested and a breach hearing held. Because this is a conditional sentence of imprisonment, if you breach and are found to have committed the breach, the court can, and often does, order that an offender remain in jail and serve some or all of the sentence.

Do you understand the conditions, Ms.

- 1 Comber? THE ACCUSED: Yes, I do. There will also be a 12 3 THE COURT: month probation order which will follow the Δ conditional sentence order. There will be the 6 statutory conditions, which again are conditions required by the Criminal Code, and they are to keep the peace and be of good 9 behavior, to appear before the court when 10 required to do so by the court, to notify the court or the probation officer in advance of 11 any change of name or address, and promptly 12 notify the court or the probation officer of 13 14 any change in employment or occupation. I am going to add two optional conditions: 15 That you are to report to your probation 16 17 officer within seven days of the expiry of your conditional sentence order and thereafter 18 as directed. Sometimes your probation officer 19 is the same person as the conditional sentence 20 21 supervisor but before your conditional 22 sentence expires you need to confirm that or 23 check on that with your probation officer or your conditional sentence supervisor. As 24
- You can sit down, Ms. Comber.

counselling as directed.

25

26

well, the second condition will to be take

- THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Now, the one thing which was
- not addressed in submissions was the victim 3
- surcharge. I don't know if counsel want to
- make submissions on that.
- MS. WAWZONEK: Your Honour, I am not in a 6
- position to make any application for hardship
- in the circumstances.
- THE COURT: 9 There will be a \$100 victim
- 10 of crime surcharge which will as well be
- 11 payable.
- MS. WAWZONEK: If I could ask for one month 12
- time to pay, please. 13
- 14 THE COURT: Does Crown have any comment
- on that? 15
- MS. MILLER: No, thank you, Your Honour. 16
- 17 THE COURT: Okay, so one month to pay.
- And Mr. Clerk, do you want Ms. Comber to 18
- attend at a certain time at the clerk's office 19
- so you have time to complete the paperwork. 20
- THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honour, it would 21
- 22 be half an hour to an hour.
- THE COURT: 23 Okay. How about then at
- four, is that... I know the office closes at
- four. 25
- 26 THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am, 4 o'clock.
- MS. WAWZONEK: Your Honour, I'm sorry for 27

- interrupting. Su-Ellen Kolback had approached 1 myself and the Crown at the end of our submissions and after the court closed asking, 3 or suggesting a specific condition. I will relate to the Court what she told us and what my friend and I might suggest if that might 6 assist the court. Ms. Kohlback said, she suggested a 9 condition that would allow an additional 10 exception to CSO -- sorry, to the house arrest 11 being "or with the written permission of her supervisor". That seemed a bit open ended and 12 13 she gave us the reason for it is that 14 apparently Ms. Comber's mother, I think, has cancer and so I guess Ms. Kohlback was aware 15 that she has to attend to medical appointments 16 17 with her mother. So I'm not sure if that 18 would be covered under emergency medical for the immediate family. Or if there is a way to 19 simply either state within that exception 20 including "your mother's medical needs". I 21 22 mean, I am certainly open to it, again I'm 23 conveying to the Court what Ms. Kohlback conveyed to us. 24
- 25 THE COURT: Well, how about then to 26 attend medical appointments with your mother
- 27 with the written permission of your

- supervisor. So that allows Ms. Kohlback to 1
- monitor that somewhat so that there is not 2
- 3 every day going to an appointment sort of
- 4 thing.
- MS. WAWZONEK: I think that answers what
- Ms. Kohlback was raising with us, Your Honour. 6
- 7 THE COURT: Is there anything else,
- 8 counsel?
- 9 MS. MILLER: Your Honour, I was not sure,
- 10 was counselling part of the conditional
- 11 sentence order? To take counselling?
- THE COURT: If I didn't state it, it was 12
- 13 intended to be. So it was under the
- 14 exceptions but it should perhaps be -- under
- the exceptions to the 24 hours a day being in 15
- the residence but there should be a separate 16
- 17 one to take counselling as directed by the
- supervisor so that it is clear. 18
- MS. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honour. 19
- 20 THE COURT: Is there anything else,
- 21 counsel?
- 22 MS. WAWZONEK: No, Your Honour.
- 23 MS. MILLER: No, Your Honour.
- 24 THE COURT: So Ms. Comber, you can
- attend at the clerk's office on the third 25
- 26 floor at 4 o'clock to sign your paperwork. We
- 27 will adjourn.

1	THE	ACCUSED:	Thank you.
2			
3			
4			
5			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
6			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules,
7			Supreme court Nures,
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			Lois Hewitt, Court Reporter
13			oddie Reporter
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			