R. v. Kenny, 2014 NWTSC 3

S-1-CR-2013-000080

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

KEVIN KENNY

Transcript of Reasons for Sentence delivered by The

Honourable Justice S. H. Smallwood, in Yellowknife, in the

Northwest Territories, on the 2nd day of October, 2013.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. M. Lecorre: Counsel on behalf of the Crown

Mr. S. Petitpas: Counsel on behalf of the Accused

Charge under s. 268 C.C.

1	THE	COURT: Kevin Kenny has entered a
2		guilty plea to an aggravated assault committed on
3		April 21st, 2013. It is now my task to sentence
4		him for this offence.
5		Both counsel for the Crown and the accused

Both counsel for the Crown and the accused have proposed a joint submission on sentence requesting that I impose a period of imprisonment of anywhere from 20 months to two years less a day, to be followed by two years of probation.

Mr. Kenny has been in custody since the offence and counsel differ on the amount of credit that I should give for his presentence custody. Crown counsel says that I should give no more than one to one credit, whereas counsel for Mr. Kenny says that I should exercise my discretion and grant one and a half to one credit.

The facts of the offence are as detailed in Exhibit S1, an Agreed Statement of Facts.

Briefly, on the evening of April 21st, 2013,

Kirsten Yakeleya and her boyfriend Tyler

Etchinelle were at Ms. Yakeleya's residence in Tulita, Northwest Territories. At approximately 11:15 p.m., Mr. Kenny knocked at the door and Ms. Yakeleya answered it. Mr. Kenny asked to speak to her brother, to which she responded that he was not home and closed the door. About five

1	minutes later, Mr. Kenny knocked again and
2	Ms. Yakeleya again answered the door. This time
3	Mr. Kenny said that he wanted to return some DVDs
4	that he had borrowed, and when she opened the
5	door he forced his way into the house. Mr. Kenny
6	produced a small knife and stabbed Ms. Yakeleya
7	two times in the shoulder. Ms. Yakeleya fled,
8	screaming, to a bedroom, where Mr. Etchinelle was
9	located. Mr. Kenny followed her and forced his
10	way into the bedroom. Mr. Kenny threw objects at
11	the victims and yelled at Ms. Yakeleya that she
12	had ruined his life. He lunged at Mr. Etchinelle
13	and stabbed him several times. The victims
14	struggled with Mr. Kenny and were able to get him
15	into the living room. During the struggle, Mr.
16	Kenny stabbed Mr. Etchinelle several more times.
17	Mr. Etchinelle managed to get the knife away from
18	Mr. Kenny and the victims then fled the
19	residence.
20	Mr. Etchinelle was stabbed multiple times:
21	three stab wounds to his abdomen/chest area, four
22	stab wounds to the top and rear of his head, and

three stab wounds to his abdomen/chest area, four stab wounds to the top and rear of his head, and stab wounds to his left arm and thigh. The most serious injury was a wound to the abdomen, which caused Mr. Etchinelle's intestines to protrude.

Ms. Yakeleya received two stab wounds to the left shoulder.

1	Victim impact statements were filed by the
2	victims as well as Mr. Etchinelle's mother. It
3	is clear that the assault committed by Mr. Kenny
4	has had a significant impact on them. They speak
5	of the bewilderment about why this happened to
6	them, their fear during the assault, and the
7	aftermath and how they are dealing with it. Mr.
8	Etchinelle, who suffered the most serious
9	injuries, wrote of the fear that he had that he
10	would lose his girlfriend, how he tried to defend
11	her, and the worry that he feels about if he had
12	not been there to defend her. He also wrote
13	about how he no longer feels safe in his
14	hometown.
15	Mr. Etchinelle's mother wrote about how she
16	felt when she heard about the attack on her son
17	and his girlfriend and her continuing fear about
18	something happening, that she always has to make
19	sure that the doors are locked, which is
20	something that she had not done in the past.
21	Ms. Yakeleya wrote about her fear since the
22	assault. She says that she is scared all the
23	time, afraid to open doors, and does not want to
24	be alone. She has been unable to work at the job
25	that she loved. She is also afraid of Mr.

I lost everything, my security, my

Kenny's family and crowds. She states:

26

1	health, my freedom. I had a life
2	<pre>planned but now, it doesn't mean anything. If I had my way, I would hide from the world.</pre>
3	nide from the world.
4	She also writes about her fear about when
5	Mr. Kenny will return to Tulita and says that she
6	will leave her hometown if he does.
7	Aside from the physical injuries, it is
8	clear that Mr. Kenny's actions have had a
9	psychological impact on the victims, and that is
10	unfortunate because the physical injuries will
11	likely heal but it may be that the psychological
12	injuries never will.
13	The sentencing principles that are
14	applicable in a crime of serious personal
15	violence as stated in R. v. Sarasin, 2013 NWTSC
16	46, are deterrence, both specific and general.
17	Other sentencing objectives that are applicable
18	are denunciation; where necessary, separation of
19	an offender from society; rehabilitation;
20	reparation for harm done to victims; promotion of
21	a sense of responsibility; and an acknowledgement
22	of harm done to victims. Those are all
23	sentencing principles referred to in the Criminal
24	Code and they are all applicable to some extent
25	in this case.
26	Crown counsel provided two cases which are

similar in the sense that they involve stabbings,

but there are also several differences in those cases. The cases are useful in that they help establish the appropriate range of sentence and refer to the sentencing principles which are applicable in cases like this.

In R. v. Pascal, 2012 NWTSC 40, the offender had entered a guilty plea to aggravated assault and uttering threats. The offender was aboriginal, 40 years old, and had been consuming alcohol with the victim and others. The offender was highly intoxicated and grabbed a knife and stabbed the victim in the chest. He was upset because he viewed the victim as being responsible for the death of his daughter a few years before. The offender's guilty plea happened after the preliminary inquiry but before trial. He had a criminal record which included prior convictions for violence, but there had been no convictions overall since 2003. The sentence that was imposed by the court was 19 and a half months, and the offender received credit for his remand time of approximately four months.

The other case that was provided was that of R. v. Sarasin where the offender plead guilty to aggravated assault. The offender in that case was aboriginal, 25 years old, and was under the influence of alcohol and crack cocaine when he

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	and a group of people had met the victim, who he
2	did not know, on the street. An argument had
3	ensued between the victim and the offender and it
4	escalated to a physical confrontation. The
5	offender then stabbed the victim in the stomach.
6	In that case the offender had a significant
7	criminal record, with one prior assault
8	conviction. He had just been released from jail
9	the day before and was on probation. The Court
10	noted that the offender had a difficult
11	upbringing and had problems with alcohol and drug
12	abuse, but it also noted the offender's young age
13	and his remorse. Justice Vertes noted at
14	paragraph 19:
15	
16	Jurisprudence from this jurisdiction has indicated that there is a wide
17	range of potential sentences for a stabbing where someone is wounded.
18	Some of the cases referred to me have indicated a range from 30
19	months to five years.
20	
21	The offender in Sarasin was sentenced to 30
22	months and given 15 months credit for time
23	served. He was also placed on probation for two
24	years.
25	I agree that there is a wide range of
26	potential sentences for a stabbing where someone
27	is wounded. Sentences have been imposed of five

years. Others like Pascal are at the lower end of the range. Certainly where a sentence is going to fall within that range is going to depend on the circumstances of the offence and the individual offender.

There are a number of factors, both aggravating and mitigating, to consider in determining an appropriate sentence in this case.

Mr. Kenny is 19 years old and does not have a criminal record. That is to his credit that he has not previously gotten into trouble.

The presentence report and the letters filed on his behalf indicate that this assault was totally out of character for Mr. Kenny. The RCMP reported that they had no prior involvement with him before this incident. His teachers at the Chief Albert Wright School in Tulita, which Mr. Kenny was attending prior to his arrest, expressed their shock and surprise that Mr. Kenny committed this offence.

Mr. Kenny has entered a guilty plea and it should be considered an early guilty plea. He was arrested on April 21st, 2013, and sent for a psychiatric assessment shortly after. Following the assessment on his return to Territorial Court, he elected trial by Supreme Court judge alone, did not request a preliminary inquiry, and

consented to his continued detention. Once in Supreme Court, arrangements were made for Mr.

Kenny to enter his plea and be sentenced on this matter, so he should receive full credit for his guilty plea.

Mr. Kenny has demonstrated his remorse as well for this offence and that is also to his credit. In discussing this offence with the writer of the presentence report, on several occasions he indicated his regret for what occurred. As well, in court today he also indicated his regret for what occurred.

In terms of the circumstances of the offence, an aggravated assault is a serious offence, one punishable by up to 14 years' imprisonment. When it comes to stabbings, the difference between a minor injury and a very serious injury, and that includes stabbings that have resulted in death, is often a matter of centimeters, sometimes even less than that, and almost always is simply a matter of luck. So Mr. Kenny is lucky, very lucky, considering the number of times that he stabbed the victims, that there were not more serious injuries and that he is not facing more serious charges today.

The assault itself was an unprovoked attack with a knife on two victims. Mr. Kenny went to

the Yakeleya house with the aim he said of returning DVDs and then forced his way into the residence and stabbed Ms. Yakeleya twice. When she fled, he pursued her and proceeded to stab Mr. Etchinelle several times. The assault itself only ended when the victims struggled with Mr. Kenny and got the knife away from him and then were able to flee the residence. And as I have stated, the victims have suffered both physical and psychological injuries as a result of Mr. Kenny's actions.

An explanation for why this offence occurred is difficult to determine. Unlike in Pascal and Sarasin, Mr. Kenny was not under the influence of drugs or alcohol - indeed I have heard that he rarely consumed drugs or alcohol - and hung out generally with a crowd that did not pursue these types of activities.

Mr. Kenny, in the presentence report and in the psychiatric assessment report, does not really provide an explanation for what occurred. Part of this is attributable to his lack of a concrete memory for what occurred. It seems that stress and anger may have played a part in causing Mr. Kenny to flip out, so this causes me some concern. While motive is often not relevant in a criminal case, there is nonetheless often an

explanation or partial explanation, whether it is intoxication, an argument that got out of hand, or another explanation, for why an offence occurred. That a serious offence like this - an attack with a knife on two unsuspecting victims - has occurred by someone for whom this type of behaviour is clearly out of character and there is no explanation causes me concern with respect to the safety of the victims and other members of the community.

There are indications in both the presentence report and the psychiatric assessment report that Mr. Kenny has heard auditory hallucinations in the past. He has also reported experiencing blackouts which are not related to alcohol or drug consumption. While Mr. Kenny apparently as a result of the psychiatric assessment process is now taking an antipsychotic drug, there is no indication that his mental state contributed to this offence occurring. So while Mr. Kenny's mental condition is not one where he is not criminally responsible, his mental health is still of concern and it is a factor in this offence.

Public safety and the safety of the victims has to be taken into account in sentencing Mr.

Kenny and ensuring that he can be rehabilitated

and so that this type of offence does not occur again.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Mr. Kenny is an aboriginal person and section 718.2(e) of the Criminal Code must be considered "where all available sanctions other than imprisonment that are reasonable in the circumstances should be considered for all offenders particularly taking into account the circumstances of aboriginal offenders." The Supreme Court of Canada has given direction to courts in their interpretation of this section in the cases of Gladue and Ipeelee. I have considered the principles set out in those cases and the requirement to consider the unique, systemic or background factors which may have played a part in bringing Mr. Kenny before the courts and the types of sentencing procedures and sanctions which may be appropriate in the circumstances because of his aboriginal background.

In this case there is a presentence report that I have the benefit of and counsel's submissions which delve into Mr. Kenny's background as an aboriginal person and some of these unique systemic or background factors which may have affected Mr. Kenny.

The information I have is that Mr. Kenny's

parents attended residential school and were negatively affected by it. They abused alcohol while Mr. Kenny was a child and that appears to have had a negative impact on Mr. Kenny. To his credit, he has decided that he does not want to follow in his parents' footsteps and does not want to abuse alcohol.

Otherwise, it appears that Mr. Kenny's family is a close and supportive one. While he does not regularly partake of traditional activities and does not live a traditional lifestyle, it appears that he also participates in cultural and community activities, some of which are aboriginal based in the community of Tulita. So I have taken into account those factors in determining sentence.

With respect to pre-trial custody, the standard according to the Criminal Code is that credit is imposed on a one to one basis. Section 719(3.1) states that if the circumstances justify it, I can grant up to one and a half to one credit for remand time.

Mr. Kenny has been in custody since his arrest on April 21st, 2013. The warrant of committal indicates that he consented to his detention, reserving his right to a show cause.

A review of the file indicates that Mr. Kenny has

1	not sought his release.
2	The issue of what circumstances justify an
3	increase of up to one and a half days credit for
4	each day in custody has been previously
5	considered by this Court. In the case of R. v.
6	Green, 2013 NWTSC 20, at paragraph 77, the Court
7	stated.
8	
9	the proper interpretation of this provision is that the
10	circumstances that can justify enhanced credit do not have to be
11	exceptional or occur only in rare situations. They do, however, have
12	to be applicable to the specific accused who is before the court.
13	accused who is before the court.
14	It has also been determined that enhanced
15	credit is not automatic and the onus is on the
16	person being sentenced to show on a balance of
17	probabilities that the circumstances justify

credit is not automatic and the onus is on the person being sentenced to show on a balance of probabilities that the circumstances justify enhanced credit being given. In this case counsel for Mr. Kenny has filed a letter from John Nahanni, who is the Deputy Warden of Programs and Sentence Administration at the North Slave Correctional Centre. He noted the following: Mr. Kenny's behaviour has met expectations, and there are no bad behaviour reports on his file. Mr. Kenny has been housed in general population, similar to other sentenced and remanded inmates. He's also been in a single

cell. Mr. Kenny has not been employed within the institution and is a general cleaner.

In terms of programing, I am advised by counsel for Mr. Kenny that he has not taken any programing. It is not clear to me, based on the record before me, what programs Mr. Kenny would have been able to take, although based on prior experience it seems that there is some programing available and educational upgrading that is also available to remand prisoners. So there is some information about Mr. Kenny's remand time but nothing in particular which speaks to whether he would have taken programs or earned remission had he been a serving prisoner. I expect, given the lack of problems with Mr. Kenny while he was on remand, that he would have earned remission.

The issue of remission is a relevant consideration in determining whether remand time should be granted on an enhanced basis. Again, it is not automatic and is subject to discretion based upon the specific circumstances of each case.

I also note that of his time in custody, Mr.

Kenny would have also had time at the Alberta

Hospital undergoing the psychiatric assessment.

According to the assessment report, he was

admitted to Alberta Hospital on May 24th, 2013,

and the report was dated July 9th, 2013. So Mr.

Kenny could have spent up to a month and a half

at the Alberta Hospital, although the exact time

that he was there is not clear.

The assessment at the Alberta Hospital was an in-custody assessment and the report details that he was cooperative and pleasant while dealing with staff, but I do not have any information about the circumstances of Mr.

Kenny's custody while undergoing the assessment.

Mr. Kenny has spent about 163 days in custody as of yesterday, which is about five months and one and a half weeks. In the circumstances, I am not satisfied that Mr. Kenny should receive enhanced credit.

Taking all of the circumstances into account for his time into custody on this charge, I am giving Mr. Kenny credit at the basis of one-to-one which for the purposes of his credit I will credit at five and a half months of remand time.

With respect to the ancillary orders that have been requested by the Crown, there will be a firearms prohibition order pursuant to section 109 of the Criminal Code. It will begin today and end ten days [sic] after the release from imprisonment of Mr. Kenny.

As well, the aggravated assault is a primary designated offence so there will be a DNA order.

And also, given the sentence I am about to impose and given Mr. Kenny's lack of employment history, I am going to waive the victim of crime surcharge as I am satisfied that it would impose undue hardship on Mr. Kenny.

Can you stand up please, Mr. Kenny.

Taking into account the joint submission that has been presented by counsel, if it were not for your youth and your lack of criminal record and your early guilty plea I would have some difficulty in going along with it. But given those circumstances and the number of circumstances that are in your favour, I am going to go along with the joint submission made by your counsel and the Crown. So for the offence of aggravated assault, I sentence you to a period of imprisonment of 23 months. I am giving you credit of five and a half months for your pre-trial custody, which leaves a sentence of 17 and a half months to be served.

Once you are released from jail you will be subject to a probation order for a period of two years. It will have the following statutory conditions, which are: You are to keep the peace and be of good behaviour, basically stay out of

trouble; to appear before the court when required to do so by the court; to notify the court or the probation officer in advance of any change of name or address; and promptly notify the court or the probation officer of any change of employment or occupation.

In addition to those required conditions I am also imposing some optional conditions. They are optional in the sense that I have the option to impose them, but you are still required to abide by them so they are not optional for you.

You are to report to your probation officer within five days of your release and thereafter as directed.

You are to take counselling as directed.

You are to have no contact with Tyler

Etchinelle or Kirsten Yakeleya.

You are not to go to the residence or place of employment, wherever that may be, of Tyler Etchinelle or Kirsten Yakeleya.

You are to advise your probation officer in person or by telephone if you stop taking the medication that has been prescribed for you and you are to do so within 24 hours if you stop taking that medication. So if you stop taking your medication, within 24 hours you need to let your probation officer know.

1		Do you under	estand the conditions, Mr. Kenny?
2	THE	ACCUSED:	Yes.
3	THE	COURT:	Thank you, you may sit down.
4		All right, o	counsel, is there anything else
5		we need to deal w	vith?
6	MR.	LECORRE:	Your Honour, just to clarify
7		one detail. The	section 109 order will expire
8		ten "years" as op	pposed to ten "days"?
9	THE	COURT:	I'm sorry, it was supposed to
10		be ten years. It	should be ten years, yes.
11	MR.	LECORRE:	Thank you, Your Honour.
12		Nothing furt	ther from the Crown.
13	THE	COURT:	Thank you.
14		Mr. Petitpas	3?
15	MR.	PETITPAS:	No, Your Honour, nothing from
16		the defence. That	ank you.
17	THE	COURT:	Thank you.
18			
19			
20			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
21			to Rule 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules of Court.
22			supreme doubt hares of cours.
23			
24			Annette Wright, RPR, CSR(A) Court Reporter
25			- 3420 1.0p01001
26			
27			