R. v. THORN, 2013 NWTSC 8 S-1-CR-2012-000079

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- A -

HERMAN PETER THORN

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence held before The Honourable Justice K. Shaner, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on 21st day of

APPEARANCES:

January, A.D., 2013

J. Porter, Ms.: Counsel for the Crown

M. Martin, Esq.: Counsel for the Defence

Charges under Sections 268, 270(1)(A), 129(A) Criminal Code of Canada

1	THE	COURT:		Goo	d aftern	ioon.		
2		Mr.	Thorn,	would	you like	to joir	n your	
3		lawyer.						
4		Ms.	Porter	and Mr	. Martin	, before	e I impo	se
5		sentence,	I did	want t	o clarif	y one th	ning. W	<i>l</i> ith
6		respect t	to the m	nandato	ry firea	rms proh	nibitior	١,
7		correct m	ne if yo	ou feel	I am wr	ong, but	t the	
8		Criminal	Code se	eems to	say tha	it unless	s it is	a
9		first cor	nviction	for t	hat offe	ence, it	has got	to.
10		be a life	etime pr	cohibit	ion. We	ere you l	looking	for
11		the lifet	time pro	hibiti	on, beca	use I do	o notice	:
12		that then	re is an	nother	268 conv	riction o	on	
13		Mr. Thorr	n's crim	ninal r	ecord?			
14	MS.	PORTER:		You	r Honour	, I beli	ieve the	<u>:</u>
15		Crown wou	ıld have	e to gi	ve notic	e of its	s intent	ion
15 16		to rely o		_				
			on that	previo	us convi	ction ir	n order	to
16		to rely o	on that greate	previo	us convi lty, but	ction in	n order	to
16 17		to rely of seek that moment ju	on that greate	previo	us convi lty, but with my	ction in	n order ould hav	to re a
16 17 18		to rely of seek that moment ju	on that c greate ust to c	previo	us convi lty, but with my ppears f	ction in if I con collead	n order ould hav gue. annotat	to re a
16 17 18 19		to rely of seek that moment ju	on that greate ast to c Honour by of Tr	previous pre	us convilty, but with my ppears f	ction in if I con collead from the collection the pro-	n order ould hav gue. annotat osecutor	to re a
16 17 18 19 20		to rely of seek that moment ju	on that greate st to c Honour y of Tr notice	previous pre	us convilty, but with my ppears for 's, that intent	ction in if I control if I cont	n order ould hav gue. annotat osecutor seek a	to re a
16 17 18 19 20 21		to rely of seek that moment juty Your in my copy must give	on that c greate ist to c Honour by of Tr e notice	previous pre	us convilty, but with my ppears for that intent hibition	ction in if I control if I cont	n order ould have gue. annotate osecutor seek a	to re a
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		to rely of seek that moment jung Your in my copy must give mandatory	on that greate st to c Honour y of Tr notice Tifeti And giv	previous pre	us convilty, but with my ppears for that intent the Cr	ction in in it is continued in the property of	n order ould have gue. annotate osecutor seek a Section this case	to re a sions
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		to rely of seek that moment juth Your in my commust give mandatory 109(3).	on that greate st to c Honour y of Tr notice And give	previous pre	us convilty, but with my ppears f's, that s intent hibition t the Cr don't b	ction in in it is if I control in the production to so under Strown in the production to so under Strown in the pelieve to the production to so under Strown in the pelieve to the production to so the pelieve to the production to so the pelieve to	n order ould have gue. annotate osecutor seek a Section this case that the	to re a rions

Ţ	give reasons for sentence and to impose sentence.
2	This morning Mr. Thorn pled guilty to one
3	count of aggravated assault and one count of
4	assaulting a peace officer. Convictions were
5	entered, and the Crown withdrew Count 3 on the
6	indictment, which was a charge of resisting
7	arrest.
8	I heard joint submissions from the Crown and
9	defence with respect to an appropriate sentence
10	for Mr. Thorn, as well as submissions from
11	Mr. Thorn himself. The joint submission calls
12	for sentences of five years' incarceration for
13	aggravated assault, followed by six months'
14	incarceration for assault on the police officer.
15	The total time would be reduced by the time that
16	Mr. Thorn has already spent in remand awaiting
17	his trial, which defence counsel indicated is
18	234 days, but I will indicate in my reasons that
19	that may be off by one day, and I will let you
20	clarify that.
21	In addition to five-and-a-half years'
22	incarceration, there would be a mandatory
23	firearms prohibition to run ten years from the
24	date that Mr. Thorn is released, and an order

25	that authorizes taking bodily substances from
26	Mr. Thorn for DNA analysis.
27	I accept the joint submission, and I agree

1	that the amount of time sought for incarceration,
2	as well as the two other orders, are appropriate
3	in the circumstances.
4	The circumstances of both offences were read
5	into the record this morning by way of an Agreed
6	Statement of Facts, so I do not see the need to
7	go into them in detail. I will say, however,
8	that both of these offences are very serious and
9	resulted in injuries to both victims.
10	Mr. Thorn is 43 years old. He is
11	Aboriginal. He has a wife and two children. He
12	grew up in the Fort Qu'Appelle area of
13	Saskatchewan, and he was the third generation of
14	his family to attend residential school.
15	Although few details were given about his
16	experience there, he indicated through his
17	counsel that it was indeed a very difficult time
18	for him. Counsel also indicated that both of
19	Mr. Thorn's parents used alcohol frequently, and
20	when he was 10 Mr. Thorn's mother was killed by
21	his father. Mr. Thorn has had problems with

22	alcohol and drugs, and he considers himself to be
23	in recovery at present.
24	He has an extensive criminal record, dating
25	back to 1985 when he was a youth. It is largely
26	uninterrupted, and it contains many, many
2.7	convictions for violent offences, ranging from

1	simple assault to manslaughter. It also includes
2	convictions for theft, assaulting a peace
3	officer, and possession of weapons at various
4	times

I have taken Mr. Thorn's Aboriginal heritage into account in considering the appropriateness of the submission, but in the circumstances there is no alternative to incarceration. Five years of imprisonment is a long time, but given the objectives in the Criminal Code of sentencing, particularly denunciation and deterrence and as the Crown pointed out, public safety, as well as the principle of proportionality, it is warranted in these circumstances. There are a number of highly aggravating factors and other things that make it necessary to impose a term of incarceration of the length proposed.

The attack resulted in a disfiguring facial

19	injury to Mr. Saliga. These were both painful,
20	and the facial injury, while largely healed, is
21	still visible and causes pain and stress to
22	Mr. Saliga. The attack was unprovoked, it was
23	extremely violent, and it came out of the blue.
24	More disturbing was the continuous nature of the
25	attack, after Mr. Saliga attempted to remove
26	himself from the situation and seek safety. But
27	for the location and the actions of the police, I

11

12

13

14

15

1	have no doubt that the injuries to Mr. Saliga
2	would have been far, far worse.
3	Mr. Saliga has been profoundly affected by
4	this as he related in his victim impact
5	statements. He has been affected
6	psychologically, socially, and he has been
7	affected financially in having to take time off
8	work.
9	I agree that Mr. Thorn's criminal record
10	coupled with the circumstances of the offence

As I alluded to earlier, the proposed sentence is consistent with the principle of

of objectives in this case.

here make it necessary to place the objective of

separating him from society very high on the list

16	proportionality. Mr. Thorn bears a very high
17	degree of personal responsibility. His previous
18	record and the facts given show that he knows
19	what happens when he drinks, he knows that he is
20	without control, and yet he was drinking and he
21	engaged in this very violent act.
22	Mr. Thorn's guilty plea, which I consider to
23	be given, in the circumstances, at a very early
24	time, is mitigating. And it is also very
25	mitigating that he stood up, said he was sorry,
26	and expressed remorse here this morning.
27	I am also pleased to learn that while you

•

have been awaiting trial, Mr. Thorn, you have used your time productively, particularly with respect to your alcohol addiction and taking a leadership role with the Alcoholics Anonymous organization at NSCC. I note that you admitted here today that you need to continue to deal with your alcohol addiction.

As Crown counsel pointed out and as the evidence from the cases that were filed, aggravated assault carries with it a potential penalty of 14 years' imprisonment, so the range of sentence is very broad. This no doubt reflects the fact that sentencing is a process

centered on individual circumstances, and it also reflects that aggravated assaults can take a variety of forms. As I indicated earlier in the circumstances, I think that five years for the aggravated assault is appropriate.

With respect to the six months of time to be served subsequent to that for assaulting Corporal Paddock, I agree that in the circumstances it is appropriate. Given the direction in the Criminal Code with respect to an assault on a peace officer that deterrence and denunciation be primary objectives of sentencing, one could perhaps say that what is proposed is a bit on the light side. But it will run following a period

Official Court Reporters

of five years, and so adding six months to the

period of incarceration of five years is, in my

view, consistent with the totality principle, and

adding anything else might be inconsistent with

that.

Mr. Thorn, can you please stand up.

I sentence you to five years for the offence of aggravated assault, followed by six months to be served consecutively for the assault on a

10	peace officer. This will be reduced by the
11	amount of time you have already spent awaiting
12	trial in pretrial custody. There will be an
13	order prohibiting you from possessing a firearm
14	or other weapon listed in Section 109 of the
15	Criminal Code, and that order will run for ten
16	years from the date you are released. There will
17	also be an order permitting the taking of bodily
18	substances from you for the purposes of
19	conducting DNA analysis.
20	Mr. Thorn, you will be incarcerated for a
21	lengthy period of time. During that time,
22	wherever you are, there will no doubt be programs
23	and services available to you to help you to deal
24	with the problems that you have encountered to
25	date. Please, please avail yourself of those
26	programs. You are the only person who can change

your behaviour. You are only 43 years old. It

1	is not too late to change, it has been done, but
2	you are the only person who can do that. Use
3	those resources that are provided to you, and use
4	them wisely. You can sit down.
5	Is there anything else on this matter?

6 MS. PORTER: No, Your Honour. I believe

7	that's everyth	ning.
8	THE COURT:	Mr. Martin?
9	MR. MARTIN:	With respect to the victim of
10	crime surchard	ge.
11	THE COURT:	Yes, that will be waived.
12	MR. MARTIN:	Thank you.
13	And defer	nce is certainly agreeable with
14	the 235 days	credit proposed.
15	THE COURT:	I will ask the clerk to make a
16	note that it	is 235 days and he should be given
17	credit.	
18	Thank you	u very much, Ms. Porter, Mr. Martin.
19	Good luck to	you, Mr. Thorn. You can follow the
20	officer.	
21		
22	PROCEEDINGS CONCLU	JDED
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

2

3	
4	
5	I, the undersigned, hereby certify
6	that the foregoing pages are a complete and
7	accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down
8	by me in shorthand and transcribed from my
9	shorthand notes to the best of my skill and
10	ability.
11	Dated at the City of Edmonton,
12	Province of Alberta, this 1st day of February,
13	2013.
14	
15	
16	
18	 -
19	Christine Jacobson
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	