R. v. Moore, 2012 NWTSC 36

S-1-CR-2010-000218

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- V -

RORY QUENTIN MOORE

Transcript of the Reasons for Judgment delivered by The Honourable Justice V. A. Schuler, in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 10th day of April, 2012.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. J. Andrews: Agent for Counsel D. Vaillancourt on

behalf of the Crown

Mr. S. Shabala: Agent for Counsel J. Chadi on behalf

of the Accused

Charges under s. 5(2) CDSA C.C. x 2

1 (REASONS FOR JUDGMENT)

THE COURT: Rory Quentin Moore is charged under the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act with two counts of possession for the purpose of trafficking, one in relation to cocaine, and one in relation to marihuana, arising from circumstances that took place in June 2010.

Many of the facts were admitted by the defence for purposes of the trial, including that any person who possessed the marihuana and cocaine seized from the vehicle at the time in question possessed them for the purpose of trafficking. It was admitted that 2,912 grams of marihuana were found in a tire in the bed of the truck, and 268 grams of cocaine inside the back seat of the truck. The total value is said in the Agreed Statement of Facts to be between \$40,000 and \$90,000, depending on how the drugs are sold.

The issue I have to decide is whether the Crown has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Moore was in possession of the drugs. The definition of "possession" for purposes of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act is the definition in the Criminal Code, and that definition has been held to require knowledge, consent, and some measure of control over the

subject matter, in this case the drugs. That is set out in the case R. v. Terrence, [1983] 1

S.C.R. 357. It is also clear that mere passive acquiescence or indifference does not amount to consent or control.

Apart from the admitted fact that Moore was a passenger in the vehicle in which the drugs were located, the only evidence about Moore's involvement comes from Mr. Livingstone. He is separately charged and awaiting trial. He testified that he has not been promised anything for giving evidence in Moore's trial.

He was a difficult witness in many ways. He tended to ramble, and he did not always focus on, or answer, the question asked. He has only a grade 3 education, has been a drug addict pretty well his whole life and an alcoholic, so he has a number of challenges.

Livingstone testified that his cousin, Yews, is a drug dealer with gang connections.

Livingstone says that he has been used by Yews in the past as a mule, and that Yews tells him what to do and threatens to beat him up or have him beaten up if he does not do it.

Livingstone himself, as I said, is an admitted drug addict. He said that at the time these events happened he was using crystal meth

for pain. He also admitted, after some waffling, that Yews gave him crystal meth while they were at the farm near Abbotsford, B.C., where most of the events in question took place.

Livingstone met Moore through Yews about a year or more before their trip to B.C.

Livingstone and Moore often lived in a house in Yellowknife that was owned by Yews or another associate of his named Paul. Livingstone said that there was no drug dealing going on out of the house. He said that Moore had sold him some crack, and Moore had also told him at one time that Yews told Moore not to give Livingstone any drugs. Livingstone said that his intuition tells him that Moore deals in drugs because "they" (and it was not completely clear who "they" are) are always making him drive him around. Livingstone gave no examples of what happened on such drives. He also said "they" bully him, but Moore doesn't.

Yews has a clothing store in Yellowknife and Livingstone said that he and Moore have moved clothes and other things for the store and had made a trip to B.C. once before to do that. He said that it is his conclusion that Yews would use the trips to deal drugs.

Livingstone described how in June 2010 Yews called him in Yellowknife and initially spoke to

Moore and then to Livingstone. Yews told
Livingstone to bring Yews' truck back to B.C.

That truck is the Ford F-150 from which the drugs
were later seized.

Before this trip to B.C., according to
Livingstone, Yews told Livingstone that he had
done his taxes for him and that Moore would give
him the money. For some reason he didn't
explain, Livingstone refused that offer, even
though he seems to have had very little money.
According to Livingstone, Moore was stressed out
and said he could not leave for B.C. without
\$1,000, which he did not have, so Livingstone
gave him all the money he had, which was \$500.
Livingstone testified that Moore did not say why
he wanted the money, but Livingstone thinks he
knows why but does not want to get into it, so he
was not forthcoming about that.

According to Livingstone, the gas for the trip between Yellowknife and B.C. costs about \$500. Since Moore paid the expenses on the way down and back, I suppose it is possible that is what he wanted the money for, in which case why not just leave the money with Livingstone since he was doing the driving. I found this part of Livingstone's evidence very unclear and I am not sure what to make of it.

Livingstone did the driving to B.C. He says that there was no discussion between him and Moore about what they were going to do there. At one point when he was asked about that, he said "we could have maybe talked about drugs", which is fairly typical of how vague his answers often were.

Livingstone said that he spent the three weeks they were in B.C. at Yews' farm, working night and day, fixing trucks and lawn mowers and hauling scrap for Yews. He was not able to say very much about what Moore did, just that he did not see either Moore or Yews very much and that they, in other words Yews and Moore, hung out together. He said that for the entire three weeks, he and Moore wanted to come back to Yellowknife but that Moore said "no, we can't." Livingstone said about that, and my recording of his words were "I'm assuming I knew what was going on which I knew what was going on." Again, very vague. He said that for three weeks off and on he stayed there. He did not say where else he stayed, yet he also said that he could not leave the farm because he had no gas and no money.

The Ford truck that Livingstone had driven down to B.C. actually belonged to Yews' girlfriend. Livingstone testified that some time

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

while they were in B.C., the truck was put into his name because Yews has a hard time getting insurance. Livingstone had his own truck, which was being kept on Yews' property and which Livingstone obtained insurance for with proceeds from welfare payments that he obtained while in B.C., intending, he said, to drive that truck back to Yellowknife. Livingstone testified that a day or so before the day he and Moore left B.C., Yews told Livingstone, "You're going to be mad, I need you to take my truck back but I'll pay you." The truck he was referring to was the Ford F-150. There was no evidence as to whether Moore was present for that conversation.

The next day, Livingstone saw Yews and Moore at the Ford F-150. His evidence on this was very vague. At various times in his testimony he said that he was "pretty sure" they were trying to put something inside the bed liner; that he was "pretty sure" Moore was standing beside the truck when he, Livingstone, pulled up; that "I did and I didn't see something there"; that he can't say a hundred percent if he saw something; and at one point he said that he was not really paying attention.

He said he asked them what they were doing but he could not remember what they replied,

although in cross-examination he said that Yews said "We're trying to put something in here."

The police found nothing in the bed liner, and Livingstone was not able to say whether anything was carried away from the truck by either Yews or Moore. I cannot be satisfied on Livingstone's evidence what, if anything, Yews and Moore were doing in relation to the bed liner.

Livingstone said that Yews and Moore then went into the garage in which there was a table and a bench and a lot of other items. Yews was trying to seal something with a sealing machine that was located on the table. Yews was wearing gloves. Livingstone saw various bags that he was sure contained drugs, but he was not very clear as to whether he saw anything in the raw or just saw packages of what he observed to be marihuana being sealed. He testified that Moore was standing at the side of the table on which the machine used for the sealing was located, but that Moore was not using the machine or packaging anything.

Livingstone testified in-chief that Moore was wearing gloves while at the table. In cross-examination, he testified that Moore was wearing one glove. When he was referred to his evidence at the preliminary inquiry where he said

that he did not see Moore at any point wearing gloves, Livingstone said that he was probably thinking of later when he saw Moore at the camper, so he was inconsistent as to whether Moore wore gloves.

Livingstone then took it upon himself to hide the packages of what he believed was, and we know from the seizure, was marihuana in a tire that he got from outside the garage. He testified that no one told him to do it, that it was all his idea because Yews had been threatening him and putting him in this position. He said that Yews handed him the packages and he stuffed them in the tire. Livingstone then took the tire outside and reassembled it and put air in it. He then put the tire in the back of the truck and loaded more tires in there. Moore was not involved in that. He said Moore did help put some tools that Yews wanted them to take to Yellowknife, in the back of the truck.

Next, Livingstone testified he took the package that he believed contained cocaine, and that was later found to be cocaine, and on his own initiative took the rear seat out of the truck and into a nearby camper or RV. He testified that he told Moore to go and grab it or go and get the stuff or the package, and that

2.5

Moore brought it to him and then left. He did
not see where Moore got the package from. When
he was referred to his evidence at the
preliminary inquiry, he did not adopt what he had
said there; and what he had said there was
unclear as to whether he was saying that Yews
gave him the package, or that Yews gave Moore the
package and that Moore then passed it to him.
When he was referred to his evidence at the
preliminary inquiry that he felt from the vibes
that Moore did not like any of this, Livingstone
said he cannot now remember that, but he thinks
that it is correct.

Livingstone hid the package of cocaine in the seat and put the seat back in the truck. He was not able to say exactly where Moore was at that time.

At some point during all of this, according to Livingstone, Yews said, "If you get caught, don't say anything because", and he named someone, "will shoot you." It was not clear whether Moore was present when Yews said that.

Livingstone and Moore left in the F-150 to head back to Yellowknife not long after that, maybe three hours later, somewhere between 9 and 11 p.m. Livingstone testified that no one said anything to him about what to do with the drugs

on arrival in Yellowknife. As far as he was concerned, he was going back to the house that he had been living in, in other words, the house owned by Yews or this Paul person. They drove straight through. Moore paid for gas and bought them something to eat. Livingstone had no money. He said that Yews had not given him any despite saying that he would.

Livingstone did the driving except for when Moore drove a bit while Livingstone napped.

While Livingstone was driving, they were stopped for speeding in Alberta. Livingstone described Moore as sitting back as if nervous when they were stopped, and afterwards Moore asked Livingstone why he had told the officer they were going to Yellowknife. When they saw the police pull up outside Yellowknife, Livingstone told Moore "Here we go", and Moore replied "Your cousin's done a lot of bad stuff and the cops really want him."

That is essentially Livingstone's evidence.

As to Livingstone as a witness, I agree with Crown counsel that Livingstone appeared to be sincere. The question is whether he is reliable. He is a drug addict. He said he has been using drugs since the age of ten. He is an alcoholic. He claimed that his memory may be better now than

it was at the time of the preliminary inquiry about 18 months ago, because he has had two years since the date all this happened to think about everything.

He was vague on a number of points, as I have already given examples of.

The drugs were found hidden in the vehicle as he described, and so there is some truth in what he testified.

The fact that he described himself and Yews as being much more involved than Moore, suggests that he was not deliberately exaggerating Moore's role in all of this. At the same time, some of the language that he used and that I have referred to, suggests that Livingstone himself may be making some assumptions about Moore's role.

I also have to question whether Livingstone was downplaying his own role in what happened.

His saying that he just acts as Yews' mule and does whatever Yews says seems inconsistent with his evidence that he came up with and acted on the idea where to hide the drugs. It also seems inconsistent with his testimony, that even when Yews expressed concern that the cocaine in the seat would be found, Yews just went along with Livingstone hiding it there.

I do bear in mind that there is no corroboration of Livingstone's evidence and that I should be careful about accepting his evidence in light of the problems that I have identified.

What I do accept from Mr. Livingstone's evidence, and what he did not waiver on, is that Mr. Moore was present near the table while Yews was sealing up the packages of drugs in the garage, and was present and in and around the garage while the drugs were being hidden and loaded into the truck. I am not satisfied, however, that Moore was wearing any gloves because of the contradictions about that, so I cannot conclude that he must have handled or intended to handle any of the drugs.

When I consider the contradictions between Livingstone's evidence at trial and what he said at the preliminary inquiry, I cannot be completely satisfied whether Moore went and got the package of cocaine and handed it to Livingstone, or whether Yews handed it to Moore, who passed it to Livingstone, or whether Yews himself handed it to Livingstone and Moore was nearby.

I am satisfied that Moore must have seen what Yews was doing in the garage. And whether or not Moore could see any raw drugs, I am

satisfied that he must have known or, at the very least, suspected and turned a blind eye to the fact that the packages contained drugs. I do not know what else he could have thought was being packaged in such a manner.

Did Moore know that the drugs had been placed in the vehicle? It was not clear from Livingstone's evidence exactly where Moore was when Livingstone put the marihuana in the tire, and it was not clear whether Moore saw what Livingstone was doing to the back seat of the truck. It is very odd that, according to Livingstone, there was no conversation about any of this, no questioning by Moore about what was going on. In light of Livingstone's evidence that Yews also threatened Moore, I suppose it is possible that Moore was afraid to say anything. The fact that the packaging was going on and then very shortly afterward they were on their way back to Yellowknife after three weeks of being at Yews' indicates to me that Moore must have, at the very least, known that there may be drugs in the truck and turned a blind eye to it.

As for Moore saying to Livingstone, "Why did you tell them that we're going to Yellowknife?" after they'd been stopped by the police in Alberta, that indicates that he was concerned

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that they would be stopped by the police again and, therefore, that he knew the drugs were in the vehicle.

As for the remark, when they saw the police outside Yellowknife, about Livingstone's cousin having done a lot of bad things and the police wanting him, that suggests that Moore knew or was, at the very least, concerned that the drugs were in the vehicle. Certainly, that remark indicates that Moore was aware of Yews' drug activities, and that strengthens the evidence that he knew what was going on in the garage.

On all the evidence, I am satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that Moore actually knew or was wilfully blind to the fact that the drugs were somewhere in the vehicle.

I turn now to the issue of control. Even with knowledge about the drugs, is there any evidence that Moore had some measure of control over the drugs?

Livingstone did not see Moore handle any of the packages in the garage. I am not satisfied, as I said, that Moore was wearing gloves or that he handed the cocaine to Livingstone. Yews was the one telling Livingstone that he had to do what he said. Livingstone was the one who had the idea where to hide the drugs and who actually

hid them. Moore did not help to hide the drugs, according to Livingstone's evidence. There is also the evidence that Livingstone had the impression that Moore did not like what was happening, which suggests that he was not willingly going along with what was happening. I do not put a lot of weight on that evidence. It was said by Livingstone that this came from the vibes that he felt, so it was not very weighty evidence.

There was no evidence that Moore had any involvement in changing the registration of the truck into Livingstone's name. According to Livingstone's evidence, Moore had no involvement in loading the truck except after the tires had been put in it, when he helped put in some tools owned by Yews.

Moore drove the truck only briefly while
Livingstone napped. In my view, that does not
amount to control over the drugs. He paid for
the gas and their stop for food. Livingstone
said he had no money, and there is no evidence as
to where Moore got the money so I suppose that
raises the possibility that it came from Yews,
but there is no evidence of that.

Moore had a girlfriend and child in Yellowknife and, according to Livingstone, wanted

to get back to Yellowknife. But he had no money, so this may have been his only way to get back.

The truck was in Livingstone's name and actually owned by Yews' girlfriend, so Moore had no right of control over the truck. There is no evidence that Moore directed where the truck was to go or directed Livingstone in any way.

It was Livingstone who did the talking to the police officer when they were stopped in Alberta. According to Livingstone, he may have said to Moore, "Be calm, be cool" which, if anything, suggests that Livingstone was the experienced one giving directions.

I have considered whether the fact that

Moore drove briefly and paid for gas and food is

evidence that he had control, but I have

concluded that on its own, without more, it is

not, nor do any of the comments made by Moore to

Livingstone in the vehicle (which I have referred

to above) amount to evidence that he had control.

Although the whole thing is very suspicious, there is no evidence from which I can say that Moore had any control over the drugs, that he had any ability, for example, to agree or direct that they be put in the truck in the first place, no evidence that he did in fact give any directions about that, no evidence that he had the ability

1	to direct that they not be put in the truck or to
2	tell Livingstone to remove the drugs from the
3	vehicle once they were on the road or to take
4	them to a particular place, and no evidence that
5	he did any of those things.

On the whole, Livingstone's evidence lacks certainty. It lacks clear facts that would permit me to determine whether Moore played an active role in Yews' plan to transport the drugs to Yellowknife, or whether his role was passive acquiescence with his own goal being just to get back to Yellowknife. While I might go so far as to say that probably Moore was in on the deal, that is not the test, and nor is guilt by association. The test is whether the Crown has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that he was in possession of the drugs as "possession" is legally defined, and at the end of the day the evidence does not reach that standard. Therefore, I must find Mr. Moore not guilty and an acquittal will be entered.

22 Anything further, counsel?

MS. ANDREWS: No.

24 MR. SHABALA: Nothing, Your Honour, thank

25 you.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26 THE COURT: Thank you very much. We'll

27 close court.

1	
2	
3	Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
4	to Rule 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules of Court.
5	Supreme court nates of court.
6	
7	Annette Wright, RPR, CSR(A) Court Reporter
8	Could Reporter
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	