S-1-CR2010000218

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

RORY QUENTIN MOORE

Transcript of the Decision of The Honourable Justice J.E.

Richard, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on

May 19th A.D., 2011.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. D. Vaillancourt: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. J. Chadi: Counsel for the Accused

(appearing via teleconference)

Charges under s. 5(2) Controlled Drugs and Substances Act

Official Court Reporters

This accused, Rory Quentin 1 THE COURT: 2 Moore, faces serious charges of possession of 3 cocaine for the purpose of trafficking and possession of marijuana for purposes of 5 trafficking. He is presumed innocent of these charges and he is a person with no criminal 7 record. He has been detained in custody since the date of his arrest in June, 2010, so 9 approximately 11 months. He elected trial by Judge and jury in this 10 11 Court. A preliminary inquiry was held in 12 November, 2010, following which he was 13 committed to stand trial in this court. No trial date has yet been set due to the fact 14 that the accused has requested a pre-trial 15 hearing of a Charter application he wishes to 16 17 put forward before his trial date is set. At 18 his request, three days of court time have been set aside in August to hear his Charter 19 20 application, yet he has not filed his Charter 21 application as directed by the Court. Today he makes application for interim 22 judicial release pending the trial of the 23 24 charges against him. On such an application, 25 the Rules of Court require him to file an 26 affidavit containing certain information

2.7

specified in the Rules of Court. He did

initially attempt to file an affidavit but it 1 2 was rejected by the clerk as being defective 3 in form. Even in its unfiled form, that affidavit did not contain all of the 5 information specified by the rules. For example, that affidavit did not state his 7 place of residence in the three years preceding the date of the offence charged, nor details of his employment in the three years 9 10 preceding the date of the offence charged. 11 Today he files a fresh affidavit in which 12 he provides some of the missing information. In the new affidavit, he states that during 13 most of his time in Yellowknife prior to his 14 15

he provides some of the missing information.

In the new affidavit, he states that during most of his time in Yellowknife prior to his arrest in June 2010, he resided at a specific address here. He also states, in the new affidavit, that during 2009 and 2010 here in Yellowknife, he worked for Paul McWhirter, his proposed surety, but does not provide further details of that employment.

Upon cross-examination on his new affidavit by the prosecutor this morning, he says it was mainly construction work and only on weekends.

The rules also require that where practical, the accused person is to provide an affidavit of the proposed surety disclosing

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that person's willingness to serve as a surety
and the amount for which that person is to be
held liable. No affidavit of surety
accompanied the application for judicial
release.

That particular deficiency has now been corrected. Mr. McWhirter has signed a form confirming his willingness to be a surety and his understanding of the role of a surety. In addition, Mr. McWhirter was cross-examined by the prosecutor this morning on his association with this accused and on his offers of employment and of a residence for this accused in the event that the accused obtains pre-trial release.

Although the accused is represented by capable counsel on this bail application, I am told that as at this date the accused does not have legal representation for the trial of these charges. It is, therefore, uncertain whether the pre-trial hearing scheduled for August 29th, 2011 is proceeding and, if so, for what purpose.

This is an unsatisfactory situation. The accused ought to be using his energy and his resources in preparing for his trial on these serious charges. There is no indication on

this hearing that the accused is doing so. In
my respectful view, the public may not have
confidence in the judicial system if the Court
were to grant pre-trial release to a person
facing serious charges where that person, on
the face of it, appears to be avoiding his
trial.

On the evidence before the Court on this hearing, this accused does not appear to have ties to this jurisdiction or to this community. When he and the other accused were arrested by the police, they were en route from British Columbia to Yellowknife by road and the police found the drugs hidden in the vehicle in which the two accused were driving towards Yellowknife.

The accused says he has an unnamed girlfriend who lives in Yellowknife but no name or other details are provided.

In his post-release plan, he proposes that he will live in a residence at 31 Larocque Crescent here in Yellowknife with his acquaintance Paul McWhirter, his proposed surety. The evidence indicates that he has known Mr. McWhirter for five years.

In my respectful view, the accused's ties to this jurisdiction are tenuous, at best, and

there exists a real concern that this accused
is a flight risk given the serious charges he
is facing, given the finding of the
preliminary inquiry Judge that there is a
strong prima facie case against him, and given
the likely sentence he would receive if he
were convicted.

At the two show cause hearings in

Territorial Court in July 2010 and November

2010, the accused failed to show cause why his
detention in custody pre-trial was not
justified. The show cause Judge in each case
ordered the accused detained in custody on the
primary grounds and on the tertiary grounds
set out in section 515(10) of the Criminal
Code.

On today's application, the prosecution submits that this Court ought to also order the accused's continued detention on the primary and tertiary grounds.

Dealing firstly with the primary grounds,

I repeat that in all of the circumstances of
this case, this accused is a flight risk.

However, I am satisfied that the Court can
attach appropriate conditions to his release
pending trial that will alleviate that risk.

If I were dealing with the primary grounds

only, I would consider ordering his release 1 2 upon his entering into a recognizance in the amount of \$30,000 with \$15,000 cash deposit 3 4 and a further \$15,000 without cash deposit in 5 the name of the surety Paul McWhirter and with 6 regular reporting conditions to the RCMP in 7 Yellowknife, and other appropriate conditions 8 as to residence, curfew, et cetera.

With regard to the tertiary grounds, the
Criminal Code states that the detention of an
accused in custody, pending trial, is
justified on the tertiary grounds if it is
necessary to maintain confidence in the
administration of justice having regard to all
of the circumstances, including:

- The apparent strength of the prosecution's case;
 - 2. The gravity of the offence;
- 3. The circumstances surrounding the commission of the offence;
- 21 4. The fact that the accused is liable on 22 conviction for a potentially lengthy term of 23 imprisonment.

Here, on the basis of the summary provided
to me of the Crown allegations, the summary
provided to me of the evidence given at the
preliminary inquiry, and the characterization

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

by the preliminary inquiry Judge of a strong
prima facie case against the accused, I am
satisfied that the Crown has a strong case.

That the charges against the accused are grave or serious almost goes without saying.

The illegal trafficking in drugs in recent years in this jurisdiction, in particular cocaine, has been like a scourge on northern society and the Courts have seen many cases, including many cases where the drugs are brought from British Columbia into the north for distribution and sale. As the Courts of this jurisdiction have said over and over, the illegal drug trade has wreaked havoc on the social fabric of our community year after year. And it is for this reason, among others, that the Courts of this jurisdiction have taken the position that, absent special circumstances, a conviction for such serious charges will invariably result in a substantial term of imprisonment.

The circumstances surrounding the alleged commission of the offences are fairly straightforward.

It is alleged that this accused and another accused stored or hid the cocaine and marijuana in the interior of a black Ford

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	truck which they then drove from British
2	Columbia to Yellowknife where the drugs were
3	to be delivered and then distributed and
4	retailed in this community. The police had
5	confidential information about this
6	transportation and delivery of drugs and they
7	intercepted the truck on the highway outside
8	of Yellowknife, arrested the two accused and
9	seized the truck and the drugs.
10	As I stated earlier, there is yet no trial
11	date set and that is due to the actions or
12	inactions of the accused rather than the
13	actions or inactions of the prosecution or of
14	the Court. In my view, this is a
15	"circumstance" which I ought to take into
16	account under the tertiary grounds.
17	This accused is not represented by trial
18	counsel on this application and the accused
19	has not addressed on this application his
20	failure to follow the Court's direction
21	regarding the filing of his Charter
22	application so that his application can be
23	heard and his trial scheduled.
24	On the facts before me on this

On the facts before me on this application, I find that this accused has been 25 given every opportunity to put in his full 26 answer and defence to these serious charges. 27

He has failed to do so and is avoiding trial.

I find that this accused is placing obstacles

in the path of setting a trial date. I find

that to authorize the release of this accused

until his trial, in these circumstances, could

serve to erode the public's confidence in our

justice system.

Having said all of that, however, although it may be advisable for the Court to detain him to maintain confidence in our judicial system, I am unable to say that it is necessary to detain him in order to maintain confidence in the justice system but, rather, the Court can address any of this accused's shortcomings in moving his case towards trial and the public's confidence in the justice system by separate court directions and/or court orders which will require the accused to meet certain deadlines and other requirements in preparation for trial. So for these reasons, I am unable to find that his continued detention is necessary on the tertiary grounds.

Accordingly, I order that this accused be released pending trial upon entering into a recognizance in the amount of \$30,000 comprising of \$15,000 cash deposit and a

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- further \$15,000 without cash deposit secured 1
- by the surety, Paul McWhirter.
- 3 The conditions of the recognizance will be
- as follows:
- The accused is to appear before this Court
- 6 on May 30th at 10 a.m.
- 7 MR. CHADI: Your Honour, I apologize,
- 8 but I have to interrupt. I am sorry, sir, you
- 9 are coming in and out and I am just about
- catching every second word now. Sorry to 10
- interrupt you, Your Honour. 11
- THE COURT: 12 I will start again with just
- 13 the order that I am making. I apologize to
- 14 those in the room for the level of my voice
- but this is for Mr. Chadi. 15
- I order that this accused be released upon 16
- entering into a recognizance in the amount of 17
- \$30,000, comprised of \$15,000 cash deposit and 18
- 19 a further \$15,000 without cash deposit secured
- 20 by the surety Paul McWhirter.
- 21 The conditions of the recognizance are as
- 22 follows:
- 23 The accused is to appear before this Court
- on May 30th at 10 a.m. and thereafter as 24
- required by the Court. That should read is to 25
- 26 appear in person.
- 27 Secondly, the accused is to report in

- 1 person to the RCMP detachment in Yellowknife
- on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday of each week
- 3 between the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m.
- Next, the accused is to remain within the
- 5 city of Yellowknife.
- 6 Next, the accused is to maintain a
- 7 residence at 31 Larocque Crescent in
- 8 Yellowknife and to remain in that residence
- 9 between the hours of 8 p.m. and 7 a.m. each
- 10 day.
- 11 Finally, the accused is to abstain from
- 12 communicating directly or indirectly with
- 13 Robert Livingstone.
- Now, that is the gist of the order that
- the Court is making. But before I finalize
- it, do either counsel have any other
- submissions with respect to the conditions of
- 18 the recognizance?
- 19 MS. VAILLANCOURT: Your Honour, and this was
- 20 Mr. Chadi's suggestion and I would agree,
- given the nature of the charges that there be
- 22 a weapons prohibition. This was in the
- 23 affidavit of the accused.
- 24 THE COURT: Well I didn't see that there
- 25 was any weapons involved in the circumstances
- but let me just try and check that affidavit.
- I see that as being put forward as a

- condition, Mr. Chadi. Before I leave the 1
- Crown, anything further from the Crown?
- 3 MS. VAILLANCOURT: No, Your Honour, I think the
- conditions that you have put forward are
- 5 satisfactory.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Chadi, any submissions
- on the conditions?
- MR. CHADI: I think I got most of your 8
- 9 conditions. I thought I had written them
- down. Are they the ones listed in the 10
- affidavit, Your Honour. 11
- 12 THE COURT: No, those are my own.
- 13 MR. CHADI: Okay, I leave it in your
- hands. Any conditions that this Honourable 14
- Court deems fit, this accused will abide by. 15
- THE COURT: Fine, then when counsel are 16
- preparing the recognizance, you can check with 17
- the court reporter as to the conditions the 18
- 19 Court just listed.
- 20 I am now going to add to that the accused
- 21 is to abstain from possession of any firearms.
- Yes, sir. 22 Mr. CHADI:
- 23 THE COURT: Now, before we close court,
- 24 and apart from the bail order, Mr. Moore, the
- 25 accused, is to attend in this court on May
- 26 30th at 10 a.m. in person, with or without
- 27 counsel, and whether or not you are still in

T		custody. At that time you are to provide to
2		the Court an update:
3		1. On your efforts to retain counsel;
4		2. On your readiness for the court
5		application scheduled for August 29th.
6		So if there is nothing else, Mr. Clerk.
7	COU	RT CLERK: Your Honour, shall that
8		condition as worded just now be part of the
9		recognizance?
10	THE	COURT: No, it's not part of the
11		recognizance. It'll just be in the clerk's
12		notes as a direction. And I should say for
13		the record, Mr. Moore, you understand that for
14		May 30th?
15	THE	ACCUSED: Yes, yes, Your Honour.
16	THE	COURT: Yes, fine, then we will
17		close court.
18	MR.	CHADI: Thank you very much, Your
19		Honour.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	
2	
3	Certified to be a true and
4	accurate transcript pursuant to Rules 723 and 724 of the
5	Supreme Court Rules,
6	Transcribed from the steno notes of J. MacDonald, court reporter
7	court reporter
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Lois Hewitt,
13	Court Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	