IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

PAUL PAYOU

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice P. M. Clark, in Fort Simpson, in the Northwest Territories, on the 12th day of April, 2011.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. J. Walsh: Counsel on behalf of the Crown

Mr. T. Boyd: Counsel on behalf of the Accused

Charge under s. 271 C.C.

Ban on Publication of Complainant/Witness Pursuant to Section 486.4 of the Criminal Code

1	THE	COURT: I should probably take the
2		time to write something out but I do not think I
3		am going to in the circumstances. I am not going
4		to go on at length.

Sexual assault is a significant problem

before the courts. I think it is fair to say

that it is of epidemic proportions. I find that

sexual assault has no justification in any manner

whatsoever.

The purpose of sentencing is denunciation and deterrence; denunciation of the particular act of Mr. Payou, denunciation of Mr. Payou and others who might choose to act in the same manner as he has, and to denounce that kind of behaviour.

There is nothing that speaks to mitigation of Mr. Payou's behaviour.

By the same token, I think it is established law that it is not an aggravating factor that Mr. Payou chose to hire a lawyer and defend himself, electing judge and jury. That is not considered to be an aggravating factor either.

That said, considering that Mr. Payou chose to carry on in this way with the complainant, who was the same age as one of his daughters; the fact that this type of behaviour appears to be rampant in the Territories; the self-described

evidence by Mr. Payou that is before the courts; and taking into consideration the way he gave his evidence on the taped admissions, I have no choice but to conclude this is a major sexual assault.

Taking all of the factors - the age of the Mr. Payou, the age of the child, the circumstances, the self-admission, the jocular manner in which his evidence was given on tape, I have no hesitation in defining it as a major sexual assault.

I find it particular disquieting that he chose as one of his victims - and I do not think we can put it on the complainant in this case that she willingly was involved in this. There is only one-sided evidence in that respect. If she was acting that way, that is all the more reason why Mr. Payou should not have had anything to do with her.

I am one of the judges who is dismayed by the decision in Arcand. I do not think I know anybody who has read the whole thing. I am familiar with it and I am most familiar with the very strong dissent in the case, but nonetheless it is a Court of Appeal decision in Alberta. I do not know whether it is under appeal or not.

In considering all the factors, the age of

1		Mr. Payou, the age of the complainant, the
2		circumstances of the offence as described by Mr.
3		Payou, I think that I have to First of all, I
4		guess I am missing a step here.
5		Mr. Payou, under the Criminal Code I have to
6		give you the opportunity to speak to the court
7		with respect to the offence. I stepped beyond
8		that when I started my sentencing. Is there
9		anything you wish to say to the court?
10	THE	ACCUSED: No, I don't.
11	THE	COURT: Under the circumstances, I am
12		directing that Mr. Payou be sentenced to a period
13		of three years' incarceration to be served in
14		secure custody.
15		I have taken into consideration the fact
16		that you have four girls, one of whom has a
17		disability. I take into consideration the fact
18		that you have changed, Mr. Payou. You apparently
19		had a different sort of life as a younger man.
20		You apparently quit drinking. You tried to
21		change for the better. You slipped in a major
22		way here. But that aside, you did slip in a
23		major way and I cannot countenance what you did.
24		I am directing a three year sentence.
25		I am prepared to entertain a request that it
26		be served in a territorial prison. What is the

situation up here?

27

- 1 MR. HANSEN: It's my understanding, sir, 2 that a federal sentence of five years or more 3 means that a person will be sent south. Typically, prisoners with a sentence of less than 4 five years in the north, particularly if they are of native descent, however out of an abundance of 6 caution I would ask that an endorsement be made 7 that he serve in the Northwest Territories. 8 9 THE COURT: I do endorse that an attempt be made to have Mr. Payou serve his sentence in 10 the Northwest Territories. I think it is 11 12 particularly important that people of an 13 aboriginal background be given the opportunity to 14 remain in the area where most comfortable. 15 Mr. Payou, I am quite taken aback by your behaviour in this case. There is no 16 17 justification for anything other than a three 18 year sentence here and that is where we are at 19 today. 20 MS. WALSH: Your Honour, the ancillary 21 orders - DNA. 22 THE COURT: The ancillary orders, by all means. DNA is directed. There will be -- you 23 24 requested three things. A weapons prohibition.

THE COURT:

Payou live off the land?

MS. WALSH:

25

26

27

Yes, Your Honour.

Is that mandatory? Does Mr.

1	MR.	HANSEN: Well, sir, it is a mandatory
2		order that is required, but we'd ask for a
3		section 113 exemption as Mr. Payou does from time
4		to time due to the seasonal nature of his work
5		make some subsistence off the land.
6	THE	COURT: Ms. Walsh, any problem with
7		that? I have just run into this, for the first
8		time I ran into this last week in Nunavut. I
9		think that where people, where aboriginal people
10		do have the right to live off the land, and I
11		have no problem with it in this case, Mr. Payou
12		having the right to use, to have firearms for the
13		purpose of hunting but not at home; and if he is
14		going to hunt, his weapons, if he has any, should
15		be in the hands of there will a prohibition
16		against having weapons in his hands at home for
17		the ten year period and during that period they
18		can be in the hands of a friend or a nominee of
19		his choice provided that person has a valid FAC,
20		and he can use the weapons for the purpose of
21		hunting when he goes out to live on the land.
22	MS.	WALSH: That's fine, Your Honour. The
23		section 113 exemption does support subsistence
24		hunting of aboriginal/non-aboriginal people and
25		it ultimately it would be up to the firearms
26		registry whether or not he would be allowed to
27		register for firearms and the section 113

Τ		exemption i	s a sug	gestion to the firearms	3
2		registrar.			
3		The on	ly othe	r thing, Your Honour, i	s the
4		Sex Offende	r Infor	mation Registration Act	or
5		SOIRA order	` .		
6	THE	COURT:		It is mandatory as far	as I am
7		concerned.	It is	a major tool that is in	use by
8		the police	authori	ties and the courts in	this
9		country and	there	will be registration as	s a sex
10		offender.			
11	MS.	WALSH:		Sir, I believe that is	3
12		everything.			
13	MR.	HANSEN:		Yes, thank you.	
14					
15					
16				Certified to be a true	
17				accurate transcript put to Rule 723 and 724 of Supreme Court Rules of	the
18				supreme court kures or	. Court.
19					
20				Annette Wright, RPR	
21				Court Reporter	
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					