R. v. Delorme, 2011 NWTSC 14

S-1-CR-2009-000112

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

## RICHARD ADRIAN DELORME

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice V.A. Schuler, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on March 14th, A.D. 2011.

\_\_\_\_\_

## APPEARANCES:

Mr. G. Boyd: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. A. Khan: Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 271 Criminal Code)

1	THE	COURT: Well, the victim impact
2		statement has been filed, I don't normally mark
3		it as an exhibit, I don't think it is required.
4		It has been filed with the court as required by
5		the Criminal Code.
6		All right, after having heard the
7		submissions this morning, I will now sentence
8		Mr. Delorme.
9		On February 2, 2011, Richard Adrian Delorme
10		was found guilty by a jury of sexual assault. It
11		is now my duty to sentence him for that crime.
12		At trial, the evidence establishing a sexual
13		assault came from the victim, Mr. Delorme did not
14		testify. From the verdict, it is clear that the
15		jury accepted the victim's testimony.
16		She testified that in August, 2009, when she
17		would have been about 21 or 22 years old, she
18		fell asleep on a bed at a house where she had
19		been partying. When she woke up she found that
20		Richard Delorme was in the act of having sexual
21		intercourse with her. She kicked him off her and
22		ran out of the room. She knew him from around
23		town in Fort Resolution which is where this took
24		place.
25		The circumstances are unfortunately all too
26		familiar. This Court regularly hears testimony

27

of similar incidents, incidents where a woman is

asleep or passed out and a man takes advantage of
her vulnerability for his own sexual
gratification. This behaviour is a serious
problem in the Northwest Territories.

In her victim impact statement, the victim sets out the effect that this offence has had on her, the fact that she constantly replays what happened in her head and cannot sleep as a result. She says that it will haunt her for the rest of her life.

She indicates that she would like to have access to counselling to help her deal with this, and I hope that the Crown's office will provide whatever assistance it can to her for that purpose.

The crime and the after effects have been traumatic for the victim, and she also speaks of how the emotional effects of it have affected her relationship with her young son.

So these events have clearly had and will likely continue to have a profound and very sad effect on this young woman.

Turning to Mr. Delorme, he is of Chipewyan descent, he is 38 years old, soon to turn 39. He has a grade 8 education and has some training in underground mine work, although has not actually done that type of work. His most recent

employment was with the Hamlet of Fort Resolution
clearing brush and painting. When not working,
he hunts and traps.

He has two children, boys ages 14 and 11 who live with their mother in Yellowknife. I infer that Mr. Delorme and his wife are separated or divorced.

Mr. Delorme has struggled with alcohol abuse since the age of 11 when he was introduced to it by older individuals. He has abused both alcohol and drugs. He also indicates that he was sexually molested at the age of seven or eight by an individual who was four or five years older than him.

Mr. Delorme's parents are deceased, his mother only in the last year. Some others close to him have died or are suffering from cancer. I take into account these tragic circumstances.

I must also take into account Mr. Delorme's criminal record, which is lengthy. It consists of some 38 convictions before the offence for which I must now sentence him and 3 convictions which post-date that offence.

The record spans the time frame 1991 to 2010. It includes eight convictions for assault before the sexual assault and one conviction for assault after the sexual assault. I have not

been made aware of the circumstances of any of the assault convictions.

I note that there are also two convictions for uttering threats. The assault and uttering threats convictions are all related to the offence now before me because they involve violence or the threat of violence. The record also includes numerous convictions for breaches of court orders and various other offences.

In total, the record reflects a disregard for the law and also for the personal integrity and well-being and safety of other people.

Because of the many offences of violence and the sexual assault, which is also a crime of violence, it is clear that the public needs protection from Mr. Delorme.

It is also a concern to the Court that despite some gaps in the record, the seriousness of Mr. Delorme's criminal conduct has increased with this sexual assault, notwithstanding that he is a mature man who should have learned how to control his behaviour after almost two decades of appearances before the Court.

The sexual assault for which Mr. Delorme now stands convicted is one for which the offender may be sentenced to a maximum of 10 years in jail. There is no minimum sentence prescribed in

the Criminal Code.

Crown counsel seeks a sentence of 4 to 5

years less credit for remand time, defence

counsel seeks a sentence of 3 to 4 years.

Because Mr. Delorme served a sentence for other

convictions, counsel as I understand it are in

agreement that the remand time to be considered

on the sentence for the sexual assault is 57

days.

The Truth in Sentencing Act is not applicable because the offence predates February 22, 2010, when that Act came into force. So as was the case before that Act, I have a discretion as to what credit to give to the remand time.

As stated in the recent case of R. v.

A.J.P.J., 2011 NWTCA 2, the starting point
sentence for sexual assaults involving
intercourse is three years' incarceration. Where
the victim is asleep, that is usually an
aggravating factor as was also recognized by my
colleague, Vertes J., in his recent sentencing
decision in R. v. Kodzin, 2011 NWTSC 2.

The 3-year starting point is adjusted upward or downward depending on and by balancing both aggravating and mitigating factors. As I have already noted, the fact that the victim was asleep is an aggravating factor.

1	In the recent case of R. v. Arcand, 2010
2	ABCA 363, the Alberta Court of Appeal said the
3	following, which I think is worth repeating here:
4	"Sexually assaulting an unconscious
5	victim elevates an offender's degree
6	of responsibility for the crime
7	beyond the norm contemplated by the
8	3-year starting point.
9	An offender who sexually
10	assaults a person who is asleep or
11	passed out is treating that person
12	as if the person were an object to
13	be used and abused at will.
14	Since the offender knows full
15	well that the person is not
16	consenting, this reveals an enhanced
17	degree of calculation and
18	deliberateness by the offender.
19	Further, at that point the person is
20	at their most vulnerable, unable to
21	defend themselves in any way and
22	unable to call for help from others.
23	The offender knows this, too, adding
24	further to the high level of
25	moral blameworthiness for the
26	illegal conduct."
27	And that is the end of the quote.

There are no significant mitigating factors in this case. Mr. Delorme is neither youthful nor because of his criminal record can he claim to be of previous good character.

When given the opportunity to speak today, he did indicate a wish to apologize to the victim, so I accept that he has some remorse although belated and not as weighty as had there been a guilty plea.

I do note that this case does not involve a breach of trust, which is an aggravating factor, but as indicated does not apply in this case.

It has been said repeatedly in cases of sexual assault that the guiding principles in determination of sentence are denunciation and deterrence. The sentence imposed should aim to signify, to reflect that society rejects

Mr. Delorme's behaviour as unacceptable and as detrimental to society as a whole. The sentence should also aim to discourage other people from behaving in this way.

Further, the sentence should discourage
Mr. Delorme himself from repeating this or any
other criminal behaviour, and the sentence
imposed should be proportionate to the gravity,
the seriousness of the offence and Mr. Delorme's
moral blameworthiness.

As was said in the Arcand decision in the passage I just quoted, sexually assaulting a victim who is asleep or passed out involves a high degree of moral blameworthiness. It shows a callous disregard for the victim and her privacy and integrity.

As Mr. Delorme is an Aboriginal offender, I am required by law to consider Section 718.2(e) of the Criminal Code. I can infer that Mr. Delorme's upbringing and the alcohol abuse he was witness to as well as his own experiences with alcohol and sexual abuse, which are circumstances which have affected many Aboriginal people, have played a part in bringing Mr. Delorme before this Court.

However, because of the seriousness of the offence and the fact that Mr. Delorme has been before the courts many, many times, including for other offences of violence, there is no alternative in this case to a lengthy term of incarceration.

I heard Mr. Delorme say that he does not want his two sons to follow his path. One way of trying to ensure that they do not follow that path is to set a good example for them and to show them that a person can learn from his mistakes and turn his life around and become a

1 law abiding and productive member of the
2 community even after 20 years of criminal
3 conduct.

If you do not do that, Mr. Delorme, then the only example they will have from you is the one that you have set in the past. Only you can change that, and you have a responsibility to your sons to at least make an effort to make that change.

I recognize that Mr. Delorme has faced and is facing some difficult circumstances in his life; however, because of his actions over the last 20 years, his violence, he does pose a risk and society needs protection from him.

On taking into account the aggravating factors and considering that there are no significant mitigating factors, a sentence greater than three years is warranted.

I have reviewed the cases submitted by Crown counsel, and in my view the case that is most similar to this one is the Thwaites case where the sentence that was imposed was four years.

One difference in this case is that

Mr. Delorme has a prior record that includes

repeated assaults over 20 years, whereas in

Mr. Thwaites'case the history of assault was more

recent.

I will deal first with the various orders

sought by the Crown. As no submission has been

made opposing these orders, first of all there

will be an order that Mr. Delorme provide a

sample for DNA analysis pursuant to Section

487.051 of the Criminal Code.

There will also be an order requiring

Mr. Delorme to comply with the Sexual Offender

Information Registration Act for a period of 20

years pursuant to Section 490.012 of the Criminal
Code.

There will be a Section 109 Criminal Code firearm prohibition order which commences today and expires ten years from Mr. Delorme's release from imprisonment. Because there will be a term of incarceration, the victim surcharge is waived.

Stand please, Mr. Delorme. In all the circumstances, I credit the 57 days of remand time as the equivalent of three months; and after having done that, the sentence I impose today is four years in jail. You may have a seat.

I have reviewed the letter from

Mr. Delorme's MLA, and it seems to me to be more
appropriate for the correctional authorities to
consider and not the Court.

The Court cannot order where Mr. Delorme or any other offender serves his sentence, which is

1		what the letter se	ems to be asking. The Court	
2		can only make a re	commendation; but in this case	
3		with a mature offe	nder who has been incarcerated	
4		many, many times i	n the past, in my view the	
5		decision is best l	eft entirely in the hands of	
6		the correctional a	uthorities who I am sure will	
7		consider Mr. Delor	me's difficult family	
8		circumstances. So I decline to make any order or		
9		recommendation in that regard.		
10		Is there anyt	hing further, Counsel, that I	
11		need to address?		
12	MR.	BOYD:	Nothing from the Crown,	
13		Your Honour.		
14	MR.	KHAN:	No, Your Honour.	
15	THE	COURT:	Thank you both for your	
16		submissions, we will close court.		
17				
18				
19				
20			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant	
21			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules.	
22			Supreme Court Rules.	
23				
24			Catherine Metz	
25			Court Reporter	
26				
27				