R. v. Jerome, 2010 NWTSC 15

S-1-CR-2009-000031

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- A -

ARCHIE JAMES PARRY JEROME

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable

Justice D.M. Cooper, sitting in Fort McPherson, in the

Northwest Territories, on 3rd day of February, A.D., 2010.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. B. Lepage: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. T. Boyd: Counsel for the Defence

Charges under S. 271 Criminal Code of Canada

An order has been made banning publication of the identity of the Complainant/Witness pursuant to Section 486.4 of the Criminal Code of Canada

1 THE COURT: Passing of sentence is never
2 easy to do and each case is different. In this
3 case, after trial by jury, Mr. Jerome has been
4 convicted of having sexually assaulted J. L.
5 on August 30th, 2008 at Fort McPherson in the
6 Northwest Territories.

I'll try to avoid repeating the facts at length, but in this case, J.L. was a 20-year-old student who had been boarding at Mr. Jerome's home in her own room since February of 2008. Mr. Jerome's 52 years of age, and he is a cousin of Ms. L's father and they had been lifelong friends.

After finishing work at the Co-op on Friday
August 29th, Ms. L. joined some young friends
for socializing and over the next five or
six hours consumed a significant amount of
alcohol. Thereafter, there was a bonfire-type of
party at which she consumed more alcohol but left
after a few hours because, as she identified, she
was feeling intoxicated. She walked to the

Jerome home and went to bed fully clothed after
having taken off her jacket and her shoes. She
woke up some hours later to discover the accused

having intercourse with her. Expert analysis has
placed his semen in her vagina. She told him
somewhat impolitely to get off, he did, and he

Official Court Reporters

left.

She called a Mr. and Mrs. Firth

immediately or within a matter of minutes.

Mr. Firth came and picked her up. She was

convulsing, sobbing, and highly emotional. They

had never seen her like that before.

The accused testified he consumed overproof vodka, passed out on a living room couch, and awoke on the bed beside the complainant where consensual sex was already under way. From its verdict, it is clear that the jury did not accept his evidence.

Of the assault the only positives that could be said to be present, was that there was no gratuitous violence, and Mr. Jerome did stop and leave immediately when asked to do so.

The Crown has asked for a period of incarceration here of approximately four or five years. Mr. Boyd, counsel for the accused, has asked for a blended -- what he calls a

21	blended sentence, which would merge the
22	principles of denunciation and deterrence, which
23	are called for in a case such as this, along with
24	the principles set out in Section 718(2)(e).
25	The purpose of sentencing is to contribute
26	along with crime prevention initiatives to
2.7	respect for the law and the maintenance of a

just, peaceful, and safe society by imposing just
sanctions that have one or more of the following
objectives: to denounce unlawful conduct, to
deter the offender and others from committing
offences, to separate offenders from society
where necessary, to assist in rehabilitating
offenders, to provide reparations for harm done
to victims or to the community, and to promote a
sense of responsibility in offenders and
acknowledgment of harm done to the victims and
the community.

Other principles are that a sentence must be

proportionate to the gravity of the offence and

the degree of responsibility of the offender. It

is also said that a sentence should be increased

or reduced to account for any relevant,

17	aggravating, or mitigating circumstances, and a
18	sentence should be similar to sentences imposed
19	on similar offenders for similar offences
20	committed in like circumstances. And the
21	principle I've referred to is that all available
22	sanctions other than imprisonment that are
23	reasonable in the circumstances should be
24	considered for all offenders with particular
25	attention to the circumstances of aboriginal
26	offenders.
27	With respect to the background of the

1

11

12

51-year-old Gwich'in man. He has many children. Three daughters who are here in court today. Two 3 children not yet at the age of majority. He has a son who is perhaps going to enter into the 5 6 Canadian Armed Forces. Mr. Jerome has a criminal record and one 7 conviction for sexual exploitation in 1989 in 8 which he received five months in jail. While I 9 10 can't ignore that, I feel I must take into

account that the conviction is 21 years old. And

unlike many, many accused that the court sees

accused, Mr. Jerome is a 52-year-old --

13	before it, Mr. Jerome has had a clean record
14	during the intervening period and has raised a
15	large family and provided for his family, not
16	only with work and money in the modern day sense,
17	but also as a hunter for his nuclear and extended
18	family.
19	It's clear that from those who were here in
20	court, Mr. Jerome has a loyal and proud family,
21	and he himself has expressed a great deal of
22	pride and he's also expressed a great deal of
23	remorse for what he's done, and the shame that he
24	has visited upon himself and his family and his
25	community.
26	He's worked as essentially a maintenance
27	foreman, a handyman for the last ten or so years

1	at the Tloondih Healing Society. And prior to
2	that I'm told that he worked largely in the area
3	of construction.
4	He's accessed counseling for substance
5	abuse, which was pointed out by the Crown, as
6	evidenced by a letter filed by the defence from a
7	Dr. Chambers although it's dated November of
8	2008.

9	As well I'm told that Mr. Jerome has
10	honoured the undertaking he entered into in
11	August of 2008. That he was victimized in a
12	residential school. He's in the process of
13	making a legal claim, which is in addition to the
14	common experience payment he received.
15	Mr. Jerome has been a productive individual
16	seemingly so for the past 20 years.
17	The victim has not completed a victim impact
18	statement, but the Crown has advised the court
19	that she is scared when she's in Fort McPherson,
20	that she has difficulties with a relationship
21	with a male which she attributes to her
22	experience, and that she has lost her trust in
23	people.
24	I have listened to the Crown and defence and
25	reviewed the authorities submitted by the Crown.
26	The starting point in cases of this nature is
27	generally in the three-year range. The court

27

1	needs to be or needs to consider the
2	circumstances it considers to be aggravating and
3	those which may be mitigating.

There is one aggravating factor here. It's 4

consequential, and that is as is pointed out this was a rather flagrant breach of the trust that a young woman would have placed in someone she considered to be a father figure and someone with whom she could hope would protect her from others and not be her sexual aggressor.

It is mitigating that Mr. Jerome has had a clean record for over 20 years and that he has been a productive member of this society on behalf of this community and his entire family.

I take into consideration as well as I've mentioned that there was no gratuitous violence in this offence and Mr. Jerome stopped immediately when asked to do so.

It's not an excuse that he was highly intoxicated, but it can in certain circumstances help to explain how someone who is otherwise seemingly an outstanding member of a community can have a lapse of this magnitude and do something as serious as this.

The case law in this jurisdiction is clear that while I am required to balance all of the principles of sentencing that the offence of

sexual assault calls for a deterrent and denunciatory sentence. There is far, far too much of this kind of offence in the Northwest Territories. It has been called a scourge, and the courts must send a strong message to those who would be like-minded. This simply has to stop.

The defence strongly urges the court that it should give particular attention to the provision of our law that instructs judges to exercise all available sanctions other than imprisonment that are reasonable in the circumstances with particular attention to the circumstances of aboriginal offenders.

What separates Mr. Jerome from most of the accused that we see coming before the courts is that he did live the residential school experience. This is a court and not a facility for psychiatry, but I think I can assume and presume that that experience of being in residential school left Mr. Jerome with some deep-seated psychological issues, that he either fights against successfully or he succumbs to from time to time.

I have to take into account as well that he has, again, unlike many, if not most, accused that comes before the court led a productive life

1.5

for the past 21 years. He has obeyed his undertaking. And given his former stature in this community and the support he has from his family as is evident today, I have confidence that Mr. Jerome will not be quick to repeat the kind of offence he committed here.

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I am going to accede to the request of the defence and impose a blended sentence. Please stand up, please, Mr. Jerome. Mr. Jerome, for the offence of sexual assault upon Ms. L. I am sentencing you to a period of incarceration of two years in jail, and I'm placing you on probation for an additional period of two years and the conditions will be you will keep the peace and be of good behaviour, appear before the court when required to do so, notify the court or probation officer in advance of any changes of name or address and promptly notify the court or the probation officer of any change of employment or occupation. And in the first 18 months of your probation you are to perform 240 hours of community service work here in Fort McPherson as directed by your probation officer. Do you understand?

25 THE ACCUSED: Yeah.

26 THE COURT: You may sit down.

	1		all the circumstan	ces in this case, the very
	2		least restrictive	sentence I can impose.
	3		There will al	so be a victim surcharge of
	4		\$100; a Sexual Offe	ence Information Registration
	5		Act order, which w	ill be in effect for 20 years,
	6		a DNA order, and a	Section 109 order that will be
	7		in effect for ten	years from the time you're
	8		released from prise	on. Is there anything else,
	9		counsel?	
1	.0	MR.	LEPAGE:	Yes, Your Honour. From the
1	.1		Crown's perspective	e on the terms of the probation
1	.2		order, that would b	be under the supervision of a
1	.3		probation officer?	Supervision of a probation
1	. 4		officer?	
1	.5	THE	COURT:	I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
1	. 6	MR.	LEPAGE:	Is the probation order to be
1	.7		supervised by the p	probation officer?
1	.8	THE	COURT:	Yes.
1	.9	MR.	LEPAGE:	Then given the letter that
2	20		Your Honour's rece	ived, there's a number of terms
2	21		that I think should	d be included, which would be
2	22		counseling as dire	cted by the probation officer.

```
which could be either alcohol, substance abuse,
or a sexual orientation-type thing, which would
be determined by the probation officer. And for
the protection of Ms. L., no contact
whatsoever with her, direct or indirect.
```

1	THE	COURT: An addi	tional thank you,
2		Mr. Lepage. I had forgott	en that. An additional
3		term of your probation, Mr	. L., is you're to
4		have no contact Mr. Jer	ome, that you have no
5		contact with J.L.	
6		With respect to couns	eling, Mr. Boyd, do you
7		want to speak to that?	
8	MR.	BOYD: If I co	uld have a moment,
9		please, Your Honour.	
10	THE	COURT: My only	issue, Mr. Lepage, is
11		that Mr. Jerome is not in	his 20s. He's already
12		embarked on some counselin	g. If he really wants
13		to, if he thinks he needs	it, he'll take it and
14		not because a probation of	ficer says he has to at
15		his age, but I'll hear fro	m Mr. Boyd.
16	MR.	BOYD: Sir, Mr	. Jerome says that he
17		intends to continue counse	ling with Dr. Chambers.
18	THE	COURT: I'll pu	t the provision in then

19	that Mr. Jerome is	s to take such counseling as may
20	be recommended to	him by his probation officer.
21	Will that do it, N	Mr. Lepage?
22 M	MR. LEPAGE:	Yes, Your Honour. Thank you.
23 т	THE COURT:	Anything from you, Mr. Boyd?
24 M	MR. BOYD:	No, Your Honour. Thank you.
25 T	THE COURT CLERK:	Order, all rise. This trial
26	of the Supreme	
27 T	THE COURT:	Before court is closed, I want

1

2	here, and I'd like to thank the staff. Thank you
3	very much.
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED
6	
7	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
8	
9	
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
11	foregoing pages are a true and faithful transcript
12	of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and
13	transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my
14	skill and ability.

to thank both counsel for the able work you did

15		Dated at the City	of Edmonton, Province of
16	Alberta,	this 23rd Day of F	February, 2010.
17			
18			
19			
20			Christine Jacobson
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			