R. v. Packham, 2008 NWTSC 97 S-1-CR-2008000053

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

DAVIDJOHN PACKHAM

 $Transcript \, of the \, Oral \, Reasons \, for \, Sentence \, delivered \,$

by the Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, sitting at

Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on

December 10th, A.D. 2008.

BAN ON PUBLICATION OF COMPLAINANT/WITNESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 486.4 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

APPEARANCES:

Ms. S. Tkatch: Counsel

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. P. Falvo:

Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 280 Criminal Code)

1	THE COURT: Firstly, I am just going to
2	reiterate that there is an order in this case
3	prohibiting the publication or broadcasting of
4	the identity of the young person, Sarah Illasiak.
5	This 32-year-old offender has pleaded guilty
6	to the criminal offence of taking an underage
7	girl out of the possession of her parents against
8	the will of the parents.
9	The Criminal Code section pursuant to which
10	this offender has been convicted is section 280.
11	That section reads as follows:
12	Every one who, without lawful
13	authority, takes or causes to be
14	taken an unmarried person under the
15	age of sixteen years out of the
16	possession of and against the will
17	of the parent or guardian of that
18	person or of any other person who
19	has the lawful care or charge of
20	that person is guilty of an
21	indictable offence and liable to
22	imprisonment for a term not
23	exceeding five years."

24	The factual circumstances leading to this
25	charge are a little unusual, but they do fit
26	within the parameters of criminal conduct to
27	which section 280 is addressed.

1	In 2005 the offender and his wife moved from
2	southern Canada to take employment in the small
3	Inuvialuit community of Paulatuk. They lived in
4	Paulatuk for approximately two years.
5	In early 2007 this offender developed a
6	relationship with Sarah Illasiak, who was then 15
7	years old. At one point the offender had a
8	conversation with Sarah's father in which he told
9	Sarah's father that he was in love with his
10	15-year-old daughter, Sarah. Sarah's father told
11	the offender to stay away from his daughter and
12	the offender promised he would do so. Shortly
13	thereafter, however, the offender and Sarah made
14	plans to go south together where they planned on
15	having a future together, but the offender and
16	Sarah did not tell Sarah's parents of these
17	plans.
18	In March, 2007 the offender left Paulatuk
19	and travelled to Alberta. Before leaving

20	Paulatuk he gave \$1,500 to Sarah for her to buy a
21	plane ticket to Edmonton so that she could join
22	him there. A few days later, Sarah travelled to
23	Inuvik with her parents' permission in order to
24	attend a school program in Inuvik. When she
25	arrived in Inuvik, she, instead, purchased a
26	plane ticket and flew to Edmonton and was met at
27	the Edmonton airport by the offender. They drove

- to Fort McMurray and then to Winnipeg. 1 Meanwhile, Sarah's parents were very upset 2 and worried that their daughter was missing and 3 did not know where she was and they called the 4 police for assistance. The RCMP eventually 5 6 tracked down the offender in Winnipeg, Manitoba, 7 and, when they located him, 15-year-old Sarah was 8 with him. As a result of Mr. Packham's conduct, he was 9
- charged with an offence contrary to section 280
 of the Criminal Code.
 The court record indicates that he elected
 to be tried by a Judge and jury and requested a
 Preliminary Inquiry. In June, 2008 he withdrew

- his request for a Preliminary Inquiry. In
- 16 August, 2008 he re-elected to be tried by a Judge
- of this court and his trial was set for a two-day
- period, December 9th and 10th, here in
- 19 Yellowknife. Several witnesses were subpoenaed
- 20 and attended from places like Paulatuk and
- 21 Winnipeg to testify at the trial here in
- 22 Yellowknife.
- 23 When Mr. Packham was arraigned yesterday, he
- 24 pleaded guilty to the charge. Although his
- 25 guilty plea acts in mitigation of sentence, this
- is not an early guilty plea.
- 27 One of the reasons that a guilty plea

- 1 usually operates to mitigate a sentence that
- 2 would otherwise be imposed and I stress, one of
- 3 the reasons is the saving of public resources
- 4 that are expended in the holding of a trial,
- 5 including travel expenditures for witnesses,
- 6 court facility and staff arrangements, et cetera,
- 7 and also avoiding inconvenience to witnesses.
- 8 I mention this merely to point out that not
- 9 every guilty plea operates to mitigate sentence
- to the same extent as another guilty plea.

11	Put another way, this offender does not
12	receive the same mitigation of sentence today
13	pleading guilty at the commencement of his trial,
14	some 20 months after the offence date, as he
15	might have received for a plea of guilty on his
16	first or his second or his third or his fourth
17	appearance before a court in answer to the
18	charge.
19	In any event, the guilty plea, nonetheless,
20	does mitigate sentence, as it indicates that this
21	offender does to day, if not before to day, accept
22	responsibility for the crime that he has
23	committed. He states in court today, in
24	particular, that he is sorry for the harm that he
25	has caused to Sarah's parents.
26	The offender, as stated, is 32 years of age.

27

4

maintained fairly steady employment before he 1

From the evidence before the Court, he has

- went to Paulatuk, while he was in Paulatuk and 2
- after he returned to southern Canada. Recently 3
- he obtained well-paid employment as a heavy 4
- equipment operator in Fort McMurray, Alberta. 5

6	Presently he lives with his wife in Edmonton and,
7	presumably, he commutes to Fort McMurray for his
8	employment.
9	Mr. Packham has a previous criminal record
10	as a result of crimes committed by him when he
11	was a younger man. Specifically, as a youth he
12	was given a one-year probationary term for a
13	break and enter with intent. In October, 1994,
14	when he was 18 years old, he received a sentence
15	of three and a half years' imprisonment for a
16	series of thefts and robbery. In January, 1998,
17	when he would have been 22 years old, he received
18	a sentence of five years' imprisonment for two
19	violent assaults and three other offences.
20	The offender's counsel has presented to the
21	Courtseverallettersofsupportfrommembersof
22	the offender's family and also from long-time
23	friends, all of whom attest to his otherwise good
24	character. In addition, his wife and one of his
25	close friends testified at the sentencing hearing
26	about his otherwise good character. I have taken

27

5

note of this character evidence in the

1 determination of sentence.

- 2 Mr. Packham is fortunate to have such
- 3 personal support from friends and family and, in
- 4 particular, that his wife is prepared to stand by
- 5 him even in these trying circumstances. Having
- 6 heard Mr. Packham himself speak in court this
- 7 morning, I am satisfied that he is genuinely
- 8 grateful to have such a supportive spouse.
- 9 Although we do not see many section 280
- offences before the courts in this jurisdiction,
- it is obvious that in the imposition of a fit and
- proper sentence the dominant principles are
- denunciation and deterrence and proportionality.
- 14 This is a serious offence. Parliament proscribes
- it as an indictable offence punishable by up to
- 16 five years' imprisonment.
- 17 This is not a victimless crime. The facts
- before the Court do not indicate that 15-year-old
- 19 Sarah was coerced to do anything against her
- will, nor is there any evidence that subsequent
- to the events of March, 2007 that Sarah suffered
- any trauma or today suffers any trauma. However,
- there is evidence that her parents suffered, at
- least for a period of several days, with the
- anguish and fear that naturally existed when they
- did not know where their daughter was, or whether
- she was in danger, or worse. Any parent would

1	understand the real harm caused to Mr. and
2	Mrs. Illasiak by the criminal conduct of this
3	offender.
4	A submission is made to the Court on behalf
5	of the offender that he be permitted to serve his
6	sentence in the community pursuant to the
7	provisions of section 742.1 of the Criminal Code.
8	After giving careful consideration to that
9	submission, I find that I am unable to accede to
10	it. Given Mr. Packham's previous criminal record
11	and given the particular deliberate actions of
12	Mr. Packham in committing this offence, I find
13	that I cannot exercise my discretion in this case
14	by ordering a conditional sentence. In
15	particular, I find that a community based
16	sentence in this case would be inconsistent with
17	the important sentencing principles of
18	denunciation and deterrence. Instead, in my
19	view, a short custodial sentence is appropriate.
20	I find that the circumstances of
21	Mr. Packham's offence in total place that offence
22	at the lower end of the range of sentences
23	available to the Court. It is an aggravating
24	circumstance that this offence was a planned,
25	deliberate act of the offender that followed a

- 26 conversation with the girl's father, during which
- 27 conversation the father specifically told the

1	offender to stay away from his 15-year-old
2	daughter. The evidence is that Mr. Packham
3	promised Mr. Illasiak, who was a friend, that he
4	would stay away from her, and yet, shortly
5	afterwards, he and the girl made plans for a
6	future together, Mr. Packham gives the girl the
7	money for airfare and takes her away from her
8	parents against their wishes, causing those
9	parents the anguish and trauma that Mr. Illasiak
10	eloquently spoke about.
11	There are no mitigating circumstances, other
12	than the late guilty plea.
13	I want to address briefly the assertion
14	which was made to the effect that a custodial
15	sentence may result in Mr. Packham losing his
16	recently acquired employment with Suncor in Fort
17	McMurray, resulting in dire financial
18	consequences for he and his wife. I am unable to
19	place much weight on this assertion for the
20	reason that Mr. Packham entered into this

- employment contract just a few months ago knowing
- full well that he had an outstanding serious
- criminal charge to respond to here in the
- 24 Northwest Territories.
- 25 Indeed, I have to say, it is my impression,
- 26 having heard submissions yesterday and today and
- 27 considering the steps in the judicial process in

- this case and the passage of time since
- 2 Mr. Packham was charged with this offence, that
- 3 he has been putting off or avoiding his eventual
- 4 day in court to accept the consequences of his
- 5 actions. It is obvious now, and, perhaps, in
- 6 fairness, with the benefit of hindsight only,
- 7 that he ought to have firstly addressed his
- 8 outstanding criminal charge on a timely basis and
- 9 then taken steps to obtain ongoing or permanent
- 10 employment.
- In any event, today is the day of taking
- responsibility for one's actions. After
- 13 Mr. Packham serves his sentence and obtains his
- release, hopefully he can then put all of this
- behind him and he and his wife can get on with a
- 16 productive life.

18	the crime that you have committed contrary to	
19	section 280 of the Criminal Code, it is the	
20	sentence of this Court that you serve a term of	
21	$imprison ment of five months.\ In addition, there$	
22	will be a victim fine surcharge in the amount of	
23	\$100 pursuant to the provisions of section 737 of	
24	the Criminal Code. You may be seated.	
25	Counsel, is there anything further on this	
26	case?	
27	MS. TKATCH: No. Thank you, sir.	
Offic	eial Court Reporters	
1	MR. FALVO: No, Your Honour.	
2	THE COURT: Thank you. We will close	
3	court. Good luck to you, sir.	
4	THE ACCUSED: Thank you.	
5		
6	Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant	
7	to Rules 7 23 and 724 of the	
8	Supreme Court Rules.	
9		

Jill MacDonald, RMR Court Reporter

11

Please stand, Mr. Packham. Mr. Packham, for

Official Court Reporters