R. v. Ovayuak, 2009 NWTSC 08

S-1-CR-2008000058

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

## KELLY OVAYUAK

Transcript of the Decision, on an O'Connor Application by the Accused, of The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on February 12th A.D., 2009.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. S.	Tkatch:	Counsel for the Crown
Ms. C.	Wawzonek:	Counsel for the Accused
Mr. R.	Shepard:	Counsel for the Government of the Northwest Territories

-----

Charge under s. 268 Criminal Code of Canada

Official Court Reporters

1 THE COURT: The application before the 2 Court today is an O'Connor application by the accused in which he seeks an order for production 3 of records from the North Slave Correctional 4 Centre regarding Andrew Gruben while Mr. Gruben 5 was an inmate at that institution. The context 6 7 of this application is the fact that the accused is charged with aggravated assault and the named 8 9 complainant is Andrew Gruben.

10 The Crown allegations are that the accused 11 and Mr. Gruben were engaged in a physical fight 12 in Tuktoyaktuk in December 2007. Mr. Gruben 13 received serious injuries and was hospitalized. 14 There were several witnesses present at the time 15 of the physical fight; witnesses who are expected 16 to testify at the trial.

The accused's jury trial is scheduled tocommence in Tuktoyaktuk on April 14th, 2009.

19 As a result of injuries sustained in 20 connection with this physical altercation in 21 December 2007, Mr. Gruben is today a quadriplegic 22 and is resident at the extended care unit at Stanton Regional Hospital in Yellowknife. The 23 Court is told that Mr. Gruben has difficulty 24 communicating and he will not be a witness at the 25 26 trial.

1

It is expected that self-defence will be the

1 main issue at trial.

2	On this application, evidence was tendered
3	of Mr. Gruben's criminal record which includes
4	crimes of violence. The record shows that
5	between 2002 and 2007, Mr. Gruben was convicted
6	of 11 separate assaults and that three of those
7	convictions were for assault causing bodily harm.
8	At trial, it is expected that the accused
9	will seek to adduce evidence of Mr. Gruben's
10	propensity for violence as being relevant to show
11	the probability that Mr. Gruben was the aggressor
12	in the December '07 incident on the basis of the
13	Scopelliti decision.
14	It is also expected that at trial the
15	accused will contend that he perceived violent
16	aggression or attack from Mr. Gruben, and that
17	the trier of fact will need to determine the
18	reasonableness of the accused's belief and of his
19	actions and reactions.
20	On this O'Connor application, the accused
21	seeks production of Andrew Gruben's records in
22	possession of the North Slave Correctional
23	Centre. In his affidavit filed in support of
24	this application, the accused states that Andrew
25	Gruben was incarcerated several times at the
26	North Slave Correctional Centre. The accused
27	states that he himself was also incarcerated at

1	the North Slave Correctional Centre and that
2	there were times when both of them were
3	incarcerated there at the same time. During one
4	of such overlapping periods, the accused says
5	that Andrew Gruben assaulted him.
6	In seeking production and disclosure of
7	"Andrew Gruben's Correctional Service records",
8	the accused affirms in his affidavit as follows,
9	at paragraphs 4 and 5:
10	"4. Andrew Gruben and I have been
11	incarcerated at the North Slave
12	Correctional Centre during
13	overlapping periods of time since
14	2001. During one of these periods,
15	Andrew assaulted me and it is my
16	recollection that he was disciplined
17	as a result.
18	5. Based on my observations and
19	experiences at the North Slave
20	Correctional Centre, and based on
21	antidotal evidence from other
22	inmates, I believe that Andrew has
23	been involved in other violent
24	altercations while incarcerated at
25	the North Slave Correctional
26	Centre".
27	On this application, counsel have referred

me to relevant case law, from the 1995 decision
 of the Supreme Court of Canada decision in
 O'Connor up to and including the McNeill decision
 which was issued just a few weeks ago.

5 The O'Connor procedure is a common law 6 procedure for ordering production of any record 7 or document that is in the possession of a third 8 party entity but which is beyond the possession 9 or control of the prosecutor in the criminal 10 proceeding before the Court.

The first step in the O'Connor procedure is 11 12 for the person seeking the production, the 13 accused in this case, to satisfy the Court that 14 the documents or records are likely relevant to the criminal proceedings in question. Here, the 15 accused seeks to meet this onus with his 16 17 affidavit provided to the Court, particularly 18 paragraphs 4 and 5.

19 The alleged prior assault incident at North Slave Correctional Centre between the complainant 20 21 and the accused in this case, referred to in 22 paragraph 4 of the accused's affidavit, is a 23 discrete matter and I have no difficulty in finding that it is likely relevant to the 24 25 accused's upcoming criminal proceedings, in the 26 sense that there is a reasonable possibility that 27 the NSCC records of this incident is logically

1 probative

probative to an issue at the accused's trial.

However, in all of the circumstances and on the materials before me on this application, I find that the balance of the accused's application is not unreasonably characterized as a mere "fishing expedition".

Firstly, the actual request for production
of all of "Andrew Gruben's Correctional Services
records" is far too broad.

10 Secondly, even if one limits the request to records or documentation of "violent altercations 11 while incarcerated at NSCC", there is no factual 12 foundation put forward for the accused's belief. 13 14 He states in paragraph 5 that his belief that Andrew Gruben "has been involved in other violent 15 altercations while incarcerated at NSCC" is based 16 on his observations at NSCC yet he does not state 17 18 what those personal observations were. He states 19 that his belief is based on his experiences at 20 the NSCC yet he does not state what those 21 personal experiences were. He states that his 22 belief is based on anecdotal evidence from other 23 inmates yet he does not state what those 24 narratives were or from which particular inmate 25 or inmates.

He either made these observations, had these
experiences, heard these narratives, or he did

not. It is not a situation where the accused is
 in an impossible situation or a so-called Catch
 22 situation as described in some of the case
 law.

5 Although the onus on the accused at stage 6 one is not a stringent one, this accused has not 7 shown "likely relevance" of the documents of 8 which he seeks production and disclosure from the 9 files at NSCC, that is, apart from the one 10 specific incident of assault that I have referred 11 to.

12 The Court's role as gatekeeper at stage one 13 of the O'Connor procedure is an important or 14 significant one. The Court has a responsibility to ensure that criminal proceedings in this Court 15 are focused on the issues to be tried and that 16 17 time and resources are not expended in review or 18 examination of irrelevant material. So other 19 than records at NSCC pertaining to the one 20 incident referenced at paragraph 4 of the 21 accused's affidavit, the application for 22 production of Andrew Gruben's records is denied. 23 Consequent upon the accused's O'Connor 24 application herein, the prosecutor filed with the 25 Court an application for disclosure of the 26 accused's Correctional Services records at NSCC

Official Court Reporters

27

б

relating to the same incident of assault referred

1 to in the accused's affidavit.

2	In consideration of what I have already
3	decided about the accused's application regarding
4	that specific incident and the accused's stated
5	purpose for seeking production and disclosure, I
б	find that the prosecutor's request is reasonable
7	and I will include that relief in the one order
8	directed to the custodian of the records at NSCC.
9	I will hear further from counsel about the
10	precise wording of the order to be issued but it
11	is my intention that the order would read
12	something like the following.
13	1. I hereby order the production of any
14	records in the possession of NSCC concerning
15	inmate Andrew Gruben and an alleged assault by
16	him on another inmate Kelly Ovayuak while these
17	inmates were incarcerated at NSCC.
18	2. I further order the production of any
19	records in the possession of NSCC concerning
20	inmate Kelly Ovayuak and an alleged assault upon
21	him by another inmate Andrew Gruben while these
22	inmates were incarcerated at NSCC.
23	3. Certified copies of these documents are
24	to be provided for the Court's inspection by
25	placing them in a sealed envelope and delivering
26	the envelope to the Clerk of the Court for my
27	attention.

4. Leave is granted to the custodians of
 the records at NSCC to attach a memorandum to the
 Court detailing any guidance or submissions they
 may have about the expectations of privacy of any
 person whose identity is disclosed in the
 documents, that is, other than Andrew Gruben and
 Kelly Ovayuak.

8 Before concluding these reasons for the 9 Court's decision, perhaps I will just state for 10 the record that notice of the accused's O'Connor application before the Court today was served on 11 12 Andrew Gruben and that in a communication from him via a letter from his mother, he advised that 13 14 he was opposed to the release of his correctional records. He was not, however, represented by 15 16 anyone at the hearing of this application.

20 Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant 21 to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules, 22

\_\_\_\_\_

Lois Hewitt, CSR(A), RPR, CRR Court Reporter

27

17

18

19

23

24

25