IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

## DAVID SIMPSON

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice D. M. Cooper, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 7th day of November, A.D. 2008.

## APPEARANCES:

Mr. J. MacFarlane: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. C. Wawzonek: Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 5(1) Controlled Drugs and Substances Act)

1	THE	COURT:	David Simpson was convicted by
2		me on a charge of	trafficking in cocaine contrar
3		to the Controlled	Drugs and Substances Act after
4		a one-day trial.	
5		The facts are	e set out in my Reasons for
6		Judgment and I wil	ll not review them in any detai
7		but rather provide	e a short summary.
8		On June 23rd,	2007, Mr. Simpson offered to
9		sell a gram of coo	caine to an undercover officer
10		for \$120 and over	the next two and a half hours
11		seemingly did his	utmost to find a dealer who
12		could provide the	drugs. Ultimately, the search
13		was unsuccessful.	Mr. Simpson retained the mone
14		the officer had gi	even him but the transaction wa
15		never consummated.	I convicted the accused base
16		of his having offe	ered to do one of the things se
17		out in Section 2(a	a) of the Act.
18		In approachir	ng the question of a fit and
19		proper sentence in	n this case, I must be guided by
20		certain principles	s as prescribed in the
21		Controlled Drugs a	and Substances Act, the Crimina
22		Code, and in the o	case law. (S. 10):
23		The fundame	ental purpose of any
24		sentence fo	or an offence [such as
25		this] is to	contribute to the
26		respect for	the law and the

27

maintenance of a just, peaceful

1	and safe society while
2	encouraging rehabilitation, and
3	treatment in appropriate
4	circumstances, of offenders and
5	acknowledging the harm done to
6	victims and to the community.

It has been said by courts in the Northwest
Territories and others on many occasions that the
primary principles of sentencing in trafficking
cases are deterrence, detention, acknowledgment
of the harm done to the community, and protection
of the public. Courts are instructed to impose
sentences that are proportionate to the gravity
of the offence and the degree of responsibility
of the offender. As well, sentences should be
similar to those imposed on similar offenders in
similar circumstances.

Defence counsel acknowledged the many pronouncements of our courts on the inappropriateness of a conditional sentence but asks for a sentence in the range of 10 to 12 months. The Crown says a sentence of 15 to 18 months would be appropriate.

The accused is a 45-year-old crack cocaine addict who has been involved in drugs since the 1980s. His downward spiral in 1997 was brought on by his divorce and the death of his father.

His recreational use then became habitual and he left his family in British Columbia. He has one daughter, who just turned 18, and a grandson.

He has at times worked as a truck driver and heavy equipment operator but always at a remote location away from Yellowknife.

His counsel advises that his plan upon release would be to return to British Columbia, begin apologizing, and try to resurrect his life. He is willing to take treatment if the Court so orders. On this point, I would say that ordering someone to take treatment seldom produces the desired results. It will be for Mr. Simpson to decide if he should seek help and, if he does, he will have to be truly committed and invested in the treatment process.

The accused's criminal record dates to 1988 when he was convicted of refusing to provide a breath sample. He has two previous convictions for theft, one in 2007, a spousal assault in 1996, and four convictions for failing to attend court. Although his record is not enviable, notably, the accused has no previous related drug convictions. Although he has been incarcerated at different periods on remand, according to his counsel, this would be the first occasion on which he will actually do time as a result of

2.4

being convicted of an offence. The accused is on remand now and has been since September 3rd, 2008, on charges scheduled to be dealt with in Territorial Court in December. One of those charges, I apprehend, is for breaching his recognizance entered into in relation to the offence we are dealing with. His counsel asks that he be given at least two months' credit for time served. 

In the case of R. v. Desjarlais, [2007]
N.W.T.J. No. 23, Richard, J. eloquently and at
length described a picture of devastation that
has been visited on the community of Yellowknife
as a result of the scourge of drugs and drug
trafficking. I fully endorse his views and
observations. Anyone coming before a court in
this jurisdiction convicted of trafficking in a
controlled substance such as crack cocaine can
expect to receive a meaningful sentence which
usually translates to a significant period of
incarceration.

In the Desjarlais case, the accused was sentenced to one year in prison. He had pleaded guilty to possession for the purpose of trafficking and, although not a high-end dealer, he was above street level having had 21 separate one-gram pieces of crack cocaine and \$2,000 in

2.4

his possession. He was 63 years old with no prior criminal record and had been considered a respectable and hard-working member of the community for 30 years.

2.4

In R. v. Basson, [2000] N.W.T.J. No. 20, the accused was party to the offence of trafficking by having driven the actual trafficker to the place where the trafficking occurred. He was sentenced to seven months in jail, but he had no criminal record and was only 23 of years of age and had been gainfully employed with no evidence he was regularly involved in the drug trade.

In a similar case, R. v. Jama, [2000]

N.W.T.J. No. 19, an accused taxi driver

facilitated the sale of cocaine by putting two

uncover officers in touch with the actual drug

trafficker where one gram of cocaine was sold.

There was no evidence that Mr. Jama received any

reward for his involvement or that he was

involved in an ongoing commercial enterprise. He

was a first offender who had a prior record of

hard work and good character and who would lose

his livelihood as a taxi driver upon conviction.

He also received a sentence of seven months.

In R. v. Chamberlin, [2000] N.W.T.J. No. 25, a 20-year-old first-time offender, after pleading guilty, was sentenced to ten months in jail for

selling one gram of cocaine to an undercover
officer.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

In R. v. Turner, [2006] N.W.T.J. No. 76, a

22-year-old Metis man who grew up in Yellowknife

pleaded guilty to trafficking in one gram of

cocaine. He had no criminal record and was

sentenced to 11 months in jail.

Both counsel referred me to the case of R. v. Hajcik, [2007] N.W.T.J. No. 85. There, the accused, a middle-aged woman, pleaded guilty to trafficking in a small amount of cocaine to an undercover officer on June 21st, 2007. From the evidence he gave at trial, it would appear that the officer in question was none other than Constable Weatherbie who dealt with the accused here. In any event, despite having two previous convictions for possession of cocaine and committing the offence of trafficking four months after her release from jail for simple possession, she was sentenced to ten months of incarceration. A pre-sentence report indicated that Ms. Hajcik was a drug addict who had trafficked to support her habit. The report set out difficulties she had encountered in her life but "on the whole [was] a fairly positive report indicating Ms. Hajcik [had] a supportive family and other supports in the community as well." No doubt the Court was influenced in its decision by
the fact the Crown was only asking for a sentence
in the range of three to four months and the
defence for a suspended or conditional sentence.

Despite the relatively positive pre-sentence
report, the sentence in this case would seem to
be at the low end of the spectrum.

The case of R. v. Draskoczi, [2008] N.W.T.J. No. 67, was cited by the Crown as having facts very similar to those in this case. The accused agreed to sell one gram of crack cocaine to an undercover officer for \$120. He took the money, entered a known drug house while the officer waited but did not return. If the manner in which this transaction occurred is familiar, it would be because it was the same officer again, Constable Weatherbie, who was involved within a matter of hours after having left the company of David Simpson. The accused had what the Court referred to as a "terrible criminal record" with 15 entries on his youth docket and another 20 adult convictions including a number of drug-related offences for which he had been sentenced to a total of five years in prison dating from the year 2000. On the charge of trafficking before the Territorial Court, he was sentenced to 18 months' imprisonment.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

Counsel for the defence, rightly, distinguishes Draskoczi from the case at bar based on the respective criminal records of the accused. She also reminds this court that courts have held that it is an error in principle to equate the offence of actually trafficking with offering to traffic. In the passage referred to in Draskoczi, three cases are reviewed where the facts were that the substance held out to be a controlled drug was, in fact, not and the courts seem to equate the offence as much to fraud as to trafficking.

In this case, from the evidence at trial, the fact that no drugs changed hands was seemingly due to the fact that none were available. I would repeat that, from the evidence at trial, it would seem that no drugs changed hands because none were available. I would not have found on the facts that

Mr. Simpson intended to defraud or scam the officer. On the contrary, he made some sincere and strenuous efforts to keep his part of the bargain, which was frustrated. Regardless, I will take into account that Mr. Simpson has been convicted of offering to sell as opposed to selling.

Having reviewed many of the relevant cases

2.4

and having regard to Mr. Simpson's record and his personal circumstances and to the facts in this case, I have determined that a sentence to a significant term of imprisonment is required.

Mr. Simpson, somewhere along the way you lost your way and became a victim of the curse that is the addiction to crack cocaine. That you turned to trafficking to support your habit is not surprising. I have watched you during this trial, and from what your counsel has ably said about you in her presentation to this court about your desire to reunite with your daughter and to start over again in British Columbia, I sense that you are a decent person who has for a time lost control of his life but who does know right from wrong and that with some help, but, above all, your own personal determination, you can redeem your life and make a positive contribution to society. You appear to be remorseful and taking a new look at the future and you want to change your life. It is my sincere hope that you do so.

Before I pass sentence is there anything you would like to say?

25 THE ACCUSED: Just thank you very much for 26 hearing this, and especially to you. Basically 27 it's time to pay my dues here.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 1 THE COURT: Beg your pardon?
- 2 THE ACCUSED: It's time to pay my dues here.
- 3 Thank you for hearing this.
- 4 THE COURT: Could you stand up, please.
- 5 On the charge of trafficking in cocaine by offer,
- 6 I consider a term of 13 months in prison would be
- 7 appropriate, but taking into account time served
- 8 of two months, will sentence you to a term of 11
- 9 months. You can sit down.
- 10 There will be a Section 109 firearms
- 11 prohibition order for a period of ten years. I
- 12 decline to make the DNA order in this case and,
- given the circumstances, will waive the victim's
- 14 fine surcharge.
- Is there anything else, Counsel?
- MS. WAWZONEK: No, Your Honour.
- 17 MR. MACFARLANE: No thank you, Your Honour.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. MacFarlane?
- 19 MR. MACFARLANE: No, sir.
- 20 THE COURT: Again, Mr. Simpson, it is very
- 21 unfortunate that you find yourself in this
- 22 position. I wish you well.
- 23 THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: I wish you well.
- 25 I would like to thank you counsel again for
- 26 their efforts in this case, as well as my court
- 27 staff.

1	THE COURT CLERK:	Thank you, sir.
2		
3		
4		
5		Certified Pursuant to Rule 723 of the Rules of Court
6		or the Rules of Court
7		
8		Tana Damananiah (GGD/A) DDD
9		Jane Romanowich, CSR(A), RPR Court Reporter
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		