R. v. Michel, 2008 NWTSC 01

S-1-CR2007000052

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

CLIFFORD MICHEL

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice J.Z. Vertes, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on December 19th A.D., 2007.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. C. Gagnon: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. G. Boyd: Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 271 Criminal Code of Canada

Ban on Publication of Complainant/Witness pursuant to Section 486 of the Criminal Code

Official Court Reporters

1	THE	COURT: The accused has pleaded guilty
2		to a charge of sexual assault. The pertinent
3		facts of the offence have been set out in an
4		agreed statement of facts filed by counsel. I
5		will briefly summarize the facts set out therein.
6		On January 7th, 2007, in the early morning
7		hours in the community of Lutsel K'e, the accused
8		and a group of others, including the 16-year-old
9		complainant, were drinking alcohol at a residence
10		in Lutsel K'e. At about 4 a.m. that morning, the
11		complainant and her cousin went to another home.
12		Shortly thereafter the accused and several others
13		showed up at that home and continued drinking.
14		After the alcohol was consumed, the accused and
15		the others left. The complainant and her cousin
16		then went to sleep. Sometime between 7 and 8
17		a.m., the complainant awoke to find the accused
18		kneeling beside her where she was sleeping,
19		trying to perform oral sex on her. He then tried
20		to have sexual intercourse but she managed to
21		push him away and then she was able to get away
22		herself. She reported this assault right away.
23		The accused is only 21 years old. He lives
24		with his grandparents in Lutsel K'e. He was
25		raised by his grandparents. He left school in
26		Grade 10 to pursue a traditional lifestyle under
27		the guidance of his grandfather. He has taken

some upgrading courses since then but I am told
that he has many traditional skills and
participates in community events and activities.

He helps support his grandparents, providing them
with food, cutting wood, etcetera. He has worked
seasonally as a general labourer but would like
to upgrade his skills and become a certified
general carpenter.

Unfortunately this young man also has a criminal record. That record reveals that he has been convicted on four different occasions, between 2005 and earlier this year in May of 2007. He has a conviction for assault, for mischief, for being unlawfully in a dwelling house for which he was sentenced to 14 days in jail and a year of probation. He also has convictions for impaired driving and breaches of various Court orders.

It is evident from his record and from the facts submitted to me that, even at his young age, the accused has developed a problem with alcohol abuse. I was told that his parents were alcoholics and the accused himself has succumbed to that problem.

There are a number of aggravating circumstances in this case. The very fact that the assault was committed upon a sleeping

2.0

helpless victim is extremely aggravating. It is
the type of offence that we see all too often in
the courts here in the Northwest Territories.

But, in addition, there are certain other
aggravating circumstances.

As noted by Crown counsel, he came back uninvited to the residence after the occupants had gone to sleep. There is the relatively young age of the complainant, who was only 16 at the time. And significantly, there is the fact that the accused at the time of this offence was bound by a probation order and, in addition to that, bound by an undertaking as a result of other pending charges.

Among the mitigating circumstances are of course his young age. Crown counsel has quite correctly pointed out that for offences of this type, offences of sexual violence, the principles of deterrence, both specific and general, denunciation, and public safety must be emphasized. But considering the still relatively young age of the accused, I must also consider his prospects for rehabilitation.

On that aspect, I am told that he has taken some positive steps during his incarceration since this offence. He has attempted to deal with his alcohol problem. He has attended AA

2.0

2.2

meetings in jail on a regular basis. He has participated in healing circles. I am told that he intends to pursue a sober life and I heard from him about his own recognition of his problems and his apology for the crime that he has committed.

Another mitigating circumstance is the very fact of this guilty plea. It does come relatively late in the day. It is not an early plea by any sense of that word. He was scheduled to go to trial in a few weeks from now in his community before a jury. Although I take into account the fact, as his counsel told me, that part of the delay in resolving this matter was due to a change of counsel partway through the proceedings, the fact is that the complainant still had to testify at a preliminary hearing. So while I do acknowledge and give him credit for his quilty plea, more importantly because I think it is the surest sign of his growing sense of responsibility, it is somewhat limited in weight because of the circumstances in which it has been made.

The other fact that I must take into account of course is that the accused is of aboriginal ancestry. He grew up and lived his entire life in a small aboriginal community on the shore of

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

and the circumstances of the accused are such, that really the same principles of sentencing that would apply to this case anywhere in Canada must be applied here. The emphasis on deterrence and denunciation leaves very little room to engage in speculation about alternative sentencing sanctions. This was a crime of violence and a significant sanction must be imposed to get it across to this young man, and to others, that this type of behaviour must be controlled. There is no excuse.

I recognize that the accused was under the influence of alcohol at the time of this offence but that is still no excuse and it is certainly not a mitigating circumstance.

Finally, I must take into consideration the fact that the accused has been in pre-trial custody. He was incarcerated originally on February 10th, 2007. Part of the time since then has been taken into account as sentences on other unrelated matters but Crown and defence agree that a total of nine and a half months should be considered as pre-trial custody. I have heard nothing to suggest that that period of pre-trial custody should be treated in any other than the usual way; that is, to be accorded credit at a

2.0

2.2

1 ratio of two-to-one.

2

3

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

Crown counsel has suggested that an appropriate range for this offence is imprisonment of 22 to 24 months. She has also urged me to consider a probation order so as to provide some preventative measures and perhaps to assist with the accused's attempts at rehabilitation. Defence counsel has submitted that an appropriate sentence would be one of time served, considering the fact that the period of pre-trial custody would amount to the equivalent 11 of 19 months. 12

> In my opinion, considering the circumstances of this offence, and considering the fact that the accused was bound by various Court orders, and the fact that the accused failed to control his own behaviour, all this leads me to the conclusion that the range suggested by Crown counsel is an appropriate one and indeed it is a relatively lenient one. There have been cases in this jurisdiction where people have been sentenced to penitentiary terms in excess of two years for this type of behaviour and those were people with similar types or even lesser criminal records than this accused. But I accept the Crown's recommendation.

In my opinion an appropriate sentence would

be one of 24 months. If I apply the credit of pre-trial custody to that, that would leave a sentence of five months.

4 Stand up, Mr. Michel.

2.0

2.2

Mr. Michel, I listened to your words and I can only say to you that I hope you will follow through on them, that you will take the steps necessary to enable yourself to lead a sober upstanding life. You are still a young man, you still have much of your life ahead of you, and I am sure that you can become a credit to your community and even a leader in your community.

The sentence of this Court is five months imprisonment. In addition, you will be placed on probation for a period of two years following the end of your imprisonment.

The terms and conditions of that probation will be as follows:

You are to keep the peace and be of good behaviour. You are to report to the Court if and when required to do so. You are to be under the supervision of a probation officer. You are to report to the probation officer within 72 hours of your release and to continue reporting to the probation officer as directed by the probation officer. You are to participate actively in any counselling or treatment programs as recommended

1		by your probation officer. Do you agree with		
2		that?		
3	THE	ACCUSED: Yes, sir.		
4	THE	COURT: You are to abstain absolutely		
5		from the consumption or possession of alcohol or		
6		any other intoxicating substances. That means		
7		drugs, illegal drugs, home-brew, alcohol, beer,		
8		wine, no matter. Do you understand?		
9	THE	ACCUSED: (No verbal response).		
10	THE	COURT: You are to have no contact		
11		directly or indirectly with the complainant Leah		
12		Abel.		
13		In addition, there are certain orders that I		
14		must make as required by the Criminal Code		
15		because of the nature of this conviction. I have		
16		heard nothing, either in the submissions of		
17		counsel or in the evidence, to suggest that the		
18		making of these orders would be grossly		
19		disproportionate as between your interests and		
20		the interests of society therefore you will be		
21		bound by the following orders as well.		
22		First, there will be an order requiring the		
23		accused to provide a sample for DNA analysis and		
24		submission to the DNA databank pursuant to		
25		Section 487.051 of the Criminal Code.		
26		Second, there will be an order that you must		
27		comply with the provisions of the Sexual Offender		

- 1 Information Registration Act for the designated
- period of 20 years pursuant to 487.012 of the
- 3 Criminal Code.
- 4 Third, I make an order under the mandatory
- 5 provisions of Section 109 of the Criminal Code
- 6 prohibiting the accused from having in his
- 7 possession any firearms, ammunition, or
- 8 explosives for a period of no less than ten years
- 9 from the date of his release from his sentence of
- imprisonment, ending ten years from that date.
- Now, I will make an exception to that,
- 12 because of what I heard about your background and
- 13 your traditional life skills, that you will be
- 14 entitled to possess and use firearms and
- ammunition limited solely for the purpose of
- sustenance hunting. And, counsel, you can
- 17 include a provision to that effect in the formal
- 18 order.
- 19 Have a seat, Mr. Michel.
- 20 Under the circumstances, the Victim of Crime
- 21 fine surcharge is waived.
- Have I neglected anything, Ms. Gagnon?
- 23 MS. GAGNON: I believe that's complete,
- Your Honour.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Boyd?
- 26 MR. BOYD: Nothing further, sir.
- 27 THE COURT: Then, counsel, thank you for

Τ	your work in res	olving this matter. We Will
2	close court.	
3		
4		
5		
6		Certified to be a true and
7		accurate transcript pursuant to Rules 723 and 724 of the
8		Supreme Court Rules,
9		
10		
11		
12		Lois Hewitt, CSR(A), RPR, CRR Court Reporter
13		Court Reporter
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		