S-1-CR2008000050

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

## CHRISTOPHER WARD RAYWORTH

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on June 3rd A.D., 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## APPEARANCES:

Ms. S. Aitken: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. T. Boyd: Counsel for the Accused

\_\_\_\_\_

Charge under s. 348(1)(a) Criminal Code of Canada Charge under s. 5(1) Controlled Drugs and Substances Act

Official Court Reporters

1 THE COURT: This 23-year-old offender has 2 pleaded guilty to the two counts in the 3 Indictment on court file CR 2008/050, that is Count number 1, breaking and entering a dwelling house here in Yellowknife with the intent to commit an indictable offence; and Count number 2, trafficking in a substance represented to be cocaine contrary to Section 5 of the Controlled 8 Drugs and Substances Act. Both of these offences 9 occurred on January 3rd, 2008. The circumstances 10 of these offences are set out in an Agreed 11 Statement of Facts as Exhibit S-1. 12 13 This offender and two other criminals went 14 to a residence in Yellowknife where they sold a substance held out to be cocaine to an 15 individual. The purchaser gave this offender a 16 \$50 bill for the cocaine and the offender and his 17 cohorts drove away. This offender then realized 18 19 that he had been given a fake \$50 bill. The offender and his cohorts returned to the 2.0 21 residence, and this was about 11:30 at night. One of the offender's colleagues had a tire iron 2.2 23 as they walked up to the door of the residence. 24 They kicked open the locked door of the residence and entered. Persons in that residence 25 confronted the intruders and then yelling and a 26 27 commotion ensued, and then the offender and his

colleague left in their vehicle. The police were called and the criminals were arrested within a few hours.

Four months earlier, this offender was in a courtroom on other criminal charges and was granted his release pending resolution of those other charges. Upon entering into a recognizance, one of the conditions of the recognizance that he signed was to keep the peace and be of good behaviour. That recognizance was still in effect on January 3rd, 2008 when this offender was engaged in the illegal trafficking of cocaine and the criminal and violent forced-entry into a private residence here in Yellowknife.

This offender has a previous criminal record, both as a youth and as an adult. All of his prior offences were committed in Alberta. Of particular note, in his criminal past are two convictions for illegal possession of drugs, two convictions for obstructing a police officer, and six convictions for breaching a recognizance.

Now he has brought his amateur criminal career to Yellowknife and now he is before the Court to be sentenced for these two crimes.

The circumstances of the break and enter make that particular crime a very serious matter

2.0

2.2

for obvious reasons. The law provides a maximum sentence of life imprisonment for that particular crime.

2.0

2.2

As for the cocaine trafficking charge,

Mr. Rayworth may or may not be aware that the

Courts of this jurisdiction have always

considered any trafficking in cocaine, no matter

at what level, to be a very serious matter and

the Courts here have imposed a meaningful period

of incarceration in each instance absent

exceptional circumstances. There are of course

no exceptional circumstances in this case.

This offender has been detained in custody since being arrested within hours of having committed these two crimes, a period of approximately five months. In accordance with the usual practice of the Court and binding case authority, he is to be given credit for the fact that he has been without his liberty these past five months; that is, on account of these two crimes.

The offender's early guilty plea acts in mitigation of sentence.

There is a joint sentencing submission of counsel which is said by the prosecutor to be a global range of 12 to 16 months less credit for pre-trial or pre-sentencing custody and stated by

defence counsel to be 12 to 16 months less double credit or two-for-one credit for the five months of pre-trial custody resulting in a net global range of two to six months. But for that joint submission, after taking into consideration all of the circumstances, including the early guilty plea in the situation where the prosecutor acknowledges that the prosecution would have been faced with a challenging case, had it gone to trial, it would have been my inclination to impose, firstly on the trafficking charge, a sentence of nine to ten months; and on the break and enter, a consecutive term of ten to 12 months less credit for pre-sentencing custody.

On the matter of credit for pre-sentencing custody, there is no automatic two-for-one formula. Each case is to be assessed on its own circumstances. Here, we have an individual who has a history of breaching recognizances entered into before a Court of law in order to obtain interim release while charges are pending. He enters into yet another recognizance to keep the peace and be of good behaviour in September 2007 and in January 2008, while under the binding nature of that recognizance, he breaches the peace in a major and deliberate fashion. In those circumstances, it should be no surprise to

2.0

2.2

1	him that he did not get bail while awaiting
2	disposition of the January crimes.
3	Although as I have stated I would have
4	imposed a global sentence higher than that which
5	is being proposed by counsel in their joint
6	submission, I find that that negotiated joint
7	submission of 12 to 16 months global is not
8	totally unreasonable and that I ought to support
9	it if I can do so in conscience.
10	Please stand, Mr. Rayworth.
11	Christopher Ward Rayworth, for the crimes
12	that you have committed, on Count 1, it is the
13	sentence of this Court that you serve a term of
14	imprisonment of eight months. And on Count 2,
15	the sentence is eight months concurrent.
16	There will be the mandatory DNA order sought
17	by the Crown. In addition, there will be the
18	mandatory firearms prohibition under Section 109
19	of the Criminal Code. In the circumstances,
20	there will be no Victim Fine surcharge.
21	You may sit.
22	Anything further from either counsel.
23	•••
24	
25	
26	

27

1	Certified to be a true and
2	accurate transcript pursuan to Rules 723 and 724 of the
3	Supreme Court Rules,
4	
5	
6	
7	Lois Hewitt, CSR(A), RPR, CRR Court Reporter
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	