R. v. Ruben, 2007 NWTSC 35 S-1-CR-2006000086

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

NANCY BERTHA RUBEN

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice V.O. Ouellette, sitting at Inuvik, in the Northwest Territories, on April 25th, A.D. 2007.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. B. Lepage: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. J. Lillegran: Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 267(a) Criminal Code)

- 1 THE COURT: I take it you agree that
- 2 pursuant to section 109 of the Criminal Code the
- 3 firearms prohibition is mandatory?
- 4 MS. LILLEGRAN: Yes, that is correct.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. So that order will
- 6 go, Madam Clerk.
- 7 THE COURT CLERK: Thank you, sir.
- 8 THE COURT: And in relation to the DNA
- 9 testing?
- 10 MS. LILLEGRAN: No.
- 11 THE COURT: She does not agree, I take it,
- 12 or --
- MS. LILLEGRAN: I'm sorry?
- 14 THE COURT: What is your position in
- 15 relation to the DNA? It is discretionary --
- MS. LILLEGRAN: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: -- in this case with the
- 18 nature of this offence.
- 19 MS. LILLEGRAN: This would be assault with a
- weapon.
- 21 THE COURT: Yes. And what is Ms. Ruben's
- 22 position in relation to whether or not that order
- should be made?
- 24 MR. LEPAGE: Your Honour, I submit with
- section 267 a DNA order is mandatory.
- 26 THE COURT: Is it?
- 27 THE COURT CLERK: Yes.

- 1 MR. LEPAGE: Yes.
- 2 THE COURT: Then there is no issue. All
- 3 right. I thought it was one of the discretionary
- 4 ones, but --
- 5 MR. LEPAGE: No. The assault would be a
- 6 discretionary, Your Honour.
- 7 THE COURT: But with a weapon.
- 8 MR. LEPAGE: Yes.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. Then that order will
- 10 go, Madam Clerk. That's fine, Madam Clerk.
- 11 THE COURT CLERK: Thank you, sir.
- 12 THE COURT: You are satisfied also.
- 13 All right.
- 14 (FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCE BY COUNSEL)
- 15 THE COURT: Ms. Ruben, one of the most
- 16 difficult things I find as a Judge is sentencing.
- 17 The law clearly provides in the Criminal Code,
- sections 718 and 718.1, 718.2, the different
- 19 factors that the Court has to look at in deciding
- 20 the appropriate sentence. Of course, it really
- 21 boils down to balancing denunciation and
- deterrence with rehabilitation.
- 23 I clearly accept Ms. Lillegran's submissions
- on your behalf that your life has not been an
- 25 easy one. You have had a lot of tragedies. I do
- 26 not know about the previous incidences with
- 27 regard to your record, but clearly I accept that

you do not recall using the knife in this case, and that seemed to be fairly evident from all of the evidence that is before us.

I also do to a certain extent - although it is not a self-defence issue and it was not in these circumstances - take into account that before the use of the knife you were a victim of some sort in the sense of being pushed around and being verbally abused. Clearly, if one accepts the evidence of Kristen, who did not seem to pick sides, you were also the subject of some sexual harassment of some form, and I think that has to be taken into account when the big picture is looked at.

Unfortunately, Ms. Ruben, your record and your related record involves assaults causing bodily harm and then another assault and another assault and many failures to appear and breaches, but, most importantly, the last one in 2002, which I do appreciate is four years ago, but that is also assault with a weapon, which was a knife. On that occasion you received one year.

We do have to look at what is called the step up principle, meaning that this sentence should be greater than the previous one.

However, I do agree with Ms. Lillegran that we are not looking at pen. time, and, in fact, the

2.4

1	Crown has suggested two to three years, which, if		
2	one went two years less a day, would put us at		
3	territorial time. I am satisfied, when I		
4	consider the circumstances of this offence and		
5	what happened in the hour or two before the		
6	actual offence itself that you committed, that		
7	that clearly satisfies me that you are not in the		
8	pen. range.		
9	I am satisfied, when I take all of those		
10	matters into account, that the proper sentence		
11	would be 18 months. However, your net sentence		
12	will be reduced by I just want to make sure.		
13	My intent is to give you a credit of two-for-one		
14	for every day of remand. You served 95, if I		
15	heard correctly. So that would be 190 days, if		
16	my math is right. Yes. Basically six months'		
17	credit. So your net sentence would be one year.		
18	I am going to recommend that that sentence		
19	be served in Fort Smith where you can access all		
20	of the programs that could be of use to you		
21	dealing with grief and other issues that you have		
22	to deal with.		

Is there anything else that I have

24 forgotten?

25 THE COURT CLERK: Your Honour, how long is the

26 firearm prohibition for?

THE COURT: Ten years.

1	THE	COURT CLERK:	Thank you.
2	THE	COURT:	I think that is what the Code
3		provides, in any e	vent.
4	MR.	LEPAGE:	Yes. Yes, it does, Your
5		Honour. Thank you	
6	THE	COURT:	All right. Ms. Lillegran, is
7		there anything tha	t I have forgotten?
8	MS.	LILLEGRAN:	No, Your Honour.
9	THE	COURT CLERK:	Victims of crime surcharge,
10		sir?	
11	THE	COURT:	Waived.
12	THE	COURT CLERK:	Thank you.
13	THE	COURT:	Thank you, Madam Clerk. Is
14		there anything else that I should think of?	
15	THE	COURT CLERK:	No, sir. I believe that is
16		everything.	
17	THE	COURT:	All right. Thank you very
18		much.	
19	9		
20			
21			
22			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
23			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules.
24			
25			
26			Jill MacDonald, CSR(A), RPR
27			Court Reporter