IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

## WALTER SANFORD NAEDZO

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, at Behchoko in the Northwest Territories, on August 28th A.D., 2007.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. C. Gagnon: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. M. Hansen: Counsel for the Accused

\_\_\_\_\_

Charge under s. 255(3) Criminal Code of Canada

## 1 THE COURT: Today, it is the Court's 2 responsibility to impose an appropriate sentence

3 upon Walter Naedzo for a drinking and driving offence which occurred a year ago here in Behchoko. There were tragic consequences to 5 Mr. Naedzo's crime, and he will have to live with that for the rest of his life. But today is the time to bring to a resolution, or a conclusion, 8 9 the legal proceedings, which resulted from the 10 commission of this crime, by imposing an 11 appropriate sentence, as Mr. Naedzo has pleaded 12 guilty to this crime and says that he wants to 13 take responsibility for what he has done.

Mr. Naedzo is 40 years of age and is originally from Deline, however he has lived in this community of Behchoko for the past 13 years or so with his common-law wife Beatrice Quitte.

Mr. Naedzo has a Grade 10 formal education and has worked in recent years in the construction field both here in Behchoko and also at the Ekati mine site.

Mr. Naedzo has a criminal record. He was convicted of three property offences when he was a younger man and still living in Deline. On two occasions, in 1998 and in 2003, he was convicted of assault here in Behchoko. And more significantly, in July 1999 he was convicted in

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

Yellowknife of a drinking and driving offence and at that time he was assessed a fine and also prohibited from driving for a period of one year.

The circumstances of Mr. Naedzo's crime are that he was driving a pickup truck in which his 84-year-old grandmother, Cecile Tetso, was a passenger, on the road outside of Behchoko at 3 o'clock in the morning on July 14th, 2006.

Mr. Naedzo was intoxicated. He picked up two hitchhikers who later told the police that

Mr. Naedzo was consuming alcohol while he was driving.

At one point Mr. Naedzo lost control of the vehicle and it went off the road, through the ditch, and into a nearby pond where it sank. The hitchhikers got out and went to get help.

Mr. Naedzo and one of the hitchhikers got the elderly Ms. Tetso out of the vehicle and the pond and dragged her to the edge of the pond and covered her with a blanket. Ms. Tetso was taken to hospital in Yellowknife and, tragically, died 24 hours later at the hospital as a result of this accident.

Mr. Naedzo's blood alcohol readings, taken a few hours after the accident, were 200 milligrams percent and 190 milligrams percent.

The Court has been advised in the

pre-sentence report and in defence counsel's submissions that this offender Walter Naedzo has been guilt-ridden, emotionally distraught, and full of shame since this tragic accident, as he says he was very close to his grandmother Cecile Tetso and indeed had invited her in July 2006 to come from Deline to visit him in Behchoko.

In the days and weeks following the accident, he turned to alcohol in an effort to numb the pain that he was feeling. Eventually, with the encouragement of his spouse and others, he sought some professional assistance in his healing process. I am told that earlier this year he enrolled in the 28-day drug and alcohol program in Hay River, that he successfully completed that program, and that he has not consumed alcohol these past three months.

Today Mr. Naedzo appears in court here in Behchoko and pleads guilty to this charge, this serious charge.

I accept that he is genuinely remorseful for his crime, for what he did that caused his grandmother's death. He says that he wants to take responsibility for her death. I am satisfied that he means that even if it means that he must be incarcerated.

I believe him when he says that family is

2.6

important to him and also when he says that he will live with his role in the death of his grandmother for the rest of his life.

The offence which Mr. Naedzo committed, impaired driving causing death, carries a maximum sentence of life imprisonment. There is no minimum sentence for this particular offence, which is a bit of an anomaly in the law, given that impaired driving simplicitor does have a minimum sentence. The sentence to be imposed must be one determined in accordance with the purpose and principles of sentencing as prescribed by Parliament and in accordance with earlier binding decisions of the courts.

I have considered carefully the submissions of counsel. I have reflected carefully on the principles of sentencing; in particular, the principles of denunciation, deterrence, and proportionality. And I have considered the personal circumstances of Walter Naedzo, including the fact that he seems to be otherwise well-regarded by members of the community both in Behchoko and in Deline.

Quite apart from the tragic consequences of this crime, there are aggravating features.

Firstly, there is the fact that Mr. Naedzo has previously been convicted of drinking and

driving. Secondly, there are the high readings
of 200 milligrams percent and 190 milligrams
percent. Section 255.1 of the Criminal Code
requires that that fact be considered to be an
aggravating factor in the determination of
sentence.

On the mitigating side, there is

Mr. Naedzo's genuine remorse and also his plea of
guilty although, as I indicated to his counsel, I
do not view it as an early guilty plea.

Taking into account all of these matters and circumstances, in my view the appropriate sentence for this offender for this offence is a term of imprisonment of 12 months followed by a period of probation.

Defence counsel has submitted that a conditional sentence, as that term is used in the Criminal Code, would be appropriate in the circumstances of Mr. Naedzo's case. The law allows the Court to impose a conditional sentence, that is, to serve a term of imprisonment in the offender's home community, subject to certain restrictive conditions, in an appropriate case if the statutory prerequisites are met.

The statutory prerequisites are set out in Section 742.1 of the Criminal Code and they are:

- 1 (1) that the offence is not one punishable
  2 by a minimum term of imprisonment;
  - (2) that the sentence of imprisonment to be imposed is less than two years;
    - (3) that the Court is satisfied that serving the sentence in the community would not endanger the safety of the community;
      - (4) that serving the sentence in the community would be consistent with the fundamental purpose and principles of sentencing that are set out in the Criminal Code.

I am satisfied that the first three of these prerequisites are met; however I am not similarly satisfied with respect to consistency with the principles of sentencing. In particular, I am unable to say that a community-based sentence in the circumstances of this offence and this offender adequately addresses the objectives of denunciation, or deterrence, or the fundamental principle of proportionality.

Mr. Naedzo is not a youthful offender who had a momentary lapse in judgment. He is 40 years of age. He has been to court before for drinking and driving and was ordered off the road for a year. Yet, he drank to the point of intoxication and then got behind the wheel of his pickup truck. He drove his pickup in an

intoxicated condition while his elderly
grandmother was a passenger. He continued to
consume alcohol while he was driving, and tragic
consequences resulted.

His moral culpability is high. He

His moral culpability is high. He acknowledges that point in his own words to the Court today. In these circumstances, his conduct cannot be adequately denounced, in my respectful view, short of punishing him with a period of incarceration, depriving him for a time of his liberty and of the comfort of his family and his community.

I note the following statement in 1996 by Chief Justice Lamer in the C.A.M. case referred to by counsel regarding the principle of denunciation.

The object of denunciation mandates 17 18 that a sentence should also 19 communicate society's condemnation of that particular offender's 20 21 conduct. In short, a sentence with 22 a denunciatory element represents a 23 symbolic collective statement that the offender's conduct should be 24 punished for encroaching on our 25 society's basic code of moral values 26 27 as enshrined within our substantive

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1	criminal law.
2	I also would repeat here what was said by
3	the British Columbia Court of Appeal in the
4	Johnson case.
5	Drinking-driving causing death or
6	bodily harm offences are senseless
7	crimes because they are so easily
8	avoided and at the same time they
9	are so easily committed by ordinary
10	citizens. They are unlike any other
11	crimes in the sense that nothing
12	much can be offered to justify
13	driving drunk. Crimes of theft may
14	be motivated by poverty, crimes of
15	assault may be motivated by fear,
16	but what excuse can be offered for
17	driving drunk except that alcohol
18	allowed the offender to lose all
19	sense of judgment. It is for this
20	reason that communities, rightfully,
21	express outrage when victims are
22	killed or injured as a result of
23	such conduct. It is for this reason
24	that both deterrence and
25	denunciation are legitimate
26	objectives to pursue for this type
27	of offence.

Although I fully recognize, as I see that the community of Behchoko does, Mr. Naedzo's genuinely-felt guilt, shame and remorse, a conditional sentence in the circumstances of this case is not appropriate. The sentence must fit the crime.

I note that the decision of the Supreme

Court of Canada in the year 2000 in the case

R. v. Proulx, considered to be a seminal decision

on the matter of conditional sentences, involved

a criminal charge very similar to the charge

before this Court today. In the final analysis,

the Supreme Court of Canada upheld the decision

of the trial Judge to decline to impose a

conditional sentence for reasons not unlike the

reasons that I have just mentioned.

Please stand, Mr. Naedzo.

Walter Naedzo, for the crime that you have committed, impaired driving causing death, it is the sentence of this Court that you serve a term of imprisonment of 12 months.

In addition, I direct that you comply with the following conditions prescribed in a probation order for a period of 12 months following your release from prison: keep the peace and be of good behaviour; appear before this Court when required to do so; notify your

- probation officer in advance of any change of 1 2 name, change of address, change of employment; 3 report to a probation officer within two working days of your release from jail and thereafter as required by the probation officer; abstain from 5 consumption of alcohol; submit to a breath test upon the reasonable demand of an RCMP officer or your probation officer; and that you perform 100 8 hours of community service during your 12 months 9 10 of probation, including speaking to school children and other youth groups, as recommended 11 12 by your probation officer, about the perils of 13 drinking and driving and about your personal 14 experience that brought you to court today.
  - Finally, pursuant to Section 259(2) of the Criminal Code, an order will issue prohibiting you from operating a motor vehicle on any street, road, highway, or other public place in Canada for a period of four years commencing on today's date.
- 21 In the circumstances, there will be no 22 Victim Fine surcharge.
- Have a seat, sir.
- Now, counsel is there any clarification
- 25 required? Crown?
- MS. GAGNON: No, Your Honour.
- 27 MR. HANSEN: No, sir.

15

16

17

18

19

1	THE	COURT:	Fine. I want to thank counsel				
2		for their submissions.					
3		Mr. Naedzo, I know this is difficult for					
4		you, and being away from your family for the next					
5		little while, but you will have to come to grips					
6		with all of this and try and get on with your					
7		life, and I wish you well in that endeavour.					
8	THE	ACCUSED:	Thank you, Your Honour.				
9	THE	COURT:	Close court.				
10							
11							
12							
13			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant				
14		to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules,					
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20			Lois Hewitt, CSR(A), RPR, CRR Court Reporter				
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							