IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

GERALD NEKIA ANTOINE

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice V.A. Schuler, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on January 9th, A.D. 2007.

BAN ON PUBLICATION OF COMPLAINANT/WITNESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 486 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

APPEARANCES:

Ms. S. Smallwood: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. K. Payne: Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 271, 349(1) Criminal Code)

L	IHE	COURT:	will now sentence Gerald	
2		Nekia Antoine in thi	s matter. Mr. Antoine is	a
3		24-year-old man who	has been convicted of sex	ual
4		assault in circumsta	nces that, sadly, are typ.	ical
5		of many of the cases	that come before this Co	urt.

After a house party that he was kicked out of three times, Mr. Antoine returned to the house and the victim of the sexual assault, who was asleep, awoke to find him having sex with her. She managed to get him off her and get help.

When the police came to the house and found Mr. Antoine, he was intoxicated but alert. There was reference to him having been beaten up, but it is unclear, based on what little has been said about that, who beat him up, why they beat him up. So, in my view, that is something that I simply cannot take into account.

This is another example, unfortunately, of so many young men - and I am sure Mr. Antoine is probably one of them - young men who are fine when they are not drinking, but when they drink they lose all sense of self-control and all respect for others.

This Court has said on numerous occasions
that to deter, to discourage others from this
type of behaviour and to show how society and the
people of the Northwest Territories condemn this

behaviour, normally a significant jail sentencewill be imposed.

2.4

In this case there are some important mitigating factors. Mr. Antoine has from an early stage indicated that he would plead guilty if the DNA results linked him to the crime, and, as a result, he waived his Preliminary Hearing. He claims to have no memory of the offence.

The DNA results were not available until December, 2006, almost exactly a year after the offence. So although his guilty plea comes only the week that he was to go to trial by jury in Fort Simpson pursuant to his original election, there is an explanation for that.

So I do give the guilty plea full credit, especially in light of the fact that the victim has never had to testify. So, in effect,
Mr. Antoine has saved her the difficulty, the trauma of testifying. The guilty plea also indicates remorse on his part, and I note that he did apologize here in court.

The remand time that has been incurred since May of 2006 will also be taken into account. I do note, however, that Mr. Antoine was in remand because he breached the undertaking that he was originally released on. As I understand it from what has been said, within only a few weeks of

his release he had breached the undertaking four times by breaching the condition that he report to the RCMP. While the distance that he lived from the police detachment at that time made reporting difficult, the way to deal with that would have been to request a change in the reporting condition, not to sleep in and ignore it. In any event, he has been sentenced for the breach. The only significance of it is in relation to the credit to be given to his remand time.

In terms of the circumstances of the remand time and the disruption in his relationship with his child and the family tragedies that occurred while he was in remand and that he was not able to deal with in a way that he would have wished, although those factors, no doubt, have made his remand time difficult, again, I have to bear in mind that he was in remand because of his own actions. I do not think that personal issues in relation to remand time are necessarily as significant as the fact that one does not get remission time on remand time and - and I do not have any real evidence in this case about it - sometimes is not able to partake of programs at the correctional centre.

There are aggravating factors in this case.

2.4

Mr. Antoine was also on probation at the time of the offence. So that is an aggravating factor which can be taken into account, as there is no separate charge for that. It is also aggravating that he was told to leave the house, but came back to it.

I also take into account the vulnerability of the victim, who was asleep and, therefore, an easy target for Mr. Antoine. Although there is no victim impact statement, this type of offence invariably results in trauma to the victim. As I have said, however, I take into account that because of the way Mr. Antoine has dealt with this case she has not had to testify.

Mr. Antoine is described as an immature 24-year-old who has a grade 11 education. He has had work in construction and also the oil and gas industry and earned his safety certificate in the latter. So he obviously has some ability and background that he could put to good use. Also, his parents are supportive and have attended court today and have helped him maintain a relationship with his four-year-old daughter while he has been incarcerated. So he is certainly luckier than some people who come before this Court who do not have such family support.

2.4

I have been told that Mr. Antoine knows now that he has to deal with his alcohol addiction and that he has decided to maintain sobriety for himself and his daughter and he has attended AA meetings while incarcerated and also had the help of an Elder. His efforts in that regard are certainly to his credit, but it is also easier said than done, and when there are controls on a person and when someone has court coming up, sometimes they make promises or say they will do things, but the real test is when they are back out in the community.

I am sure, Mr. Antoine, that you want to do all those things. You are now 24 years old. You have a child. I cannot ignore the fact that you do have a very long record, and I am sure that over the last eight years you have been told repeatedly and have realized repeatedly that you have to stop drinking. You have to learn to exercise control over your actions, and only you can do that.

The record has to be taken into account.

The main concern that it raises are the five assaults over a period from 1998 to 2005 which are related, of course, to the offence for which I am about to sentence Mr. Antoine, because sexual assault is considered an offence of

2.4

violence even if there was not other violence involved beyond the sexual assault itself.

2.4

Now, of course, with the conviction for sexual assault Mr. Antoine will have an even more serious charge on his record, and, if he comes back to court, can only expect to be looking at sentences of even longer duration for similar types of offences. I would say, as well, that obviously the sentence in this case has to seek to deter Mr. Antoine, to stop him from engaging in this type of behaviour in the future.

Counsel agree that the range for a sexual assault where there is a guilty plea is two to three years in this jurisdiction, and I think that, generally speaking, they are correct about that.

Would you stand up, please, Mr. Antoine. In considering all of the factors, because of the guilty plea mainly and notwithstanding the record, in my view a sentence of two and a half years is appropriate in this case. I will credit the remand time, for the reasons that I have referred to, as one year. So the resulting sentence from today is 18 months in jail.

I have not heard any submissions to the contrary, and it does seem appropriate, so there will be a firearm prohibition order which will

- 1 begin today and continue for a period of ten
- years after Mr. Antoine's release from
- 3 imprisonment, and any such items are to be
- 4 surrendered to the RCMP forthwith. Pursuant to
- 5 section 490.012 of the Criminal Code, Mr. Antoine
- 6 will register with the Sex Offender Registry and
- 7 report pursuant to the terms of that registration
- 8 for a period of 20 years. The victim of crime
- 9 surcharge will be waived in the circumstances.
- 10 You can have a seat, Mr. Antoine. Is there
- 11 anything I have overlooked?
- 12 MS. SMALLWOOD: I don't believe so, Your
- Honour.
- 14 MS. PAYNE: Your Honour, just if there
- 15 could be a recommendation that he be permitted to
- spend his remaining custodial time in the
- 17 Northwest Territories at the North Slave
- 18 Correctional Centre, because --
- 19 THE COURT: He would in any event, would
- 20 he not, because the sentence is 18 months at this
- 21 point?
- 22 MS. PAYNE: I'm not sure how it works with
- 23 Corrections, because the sentence -- that may be.
- 24 I am just concerned that if he is -- if it is
- looked at globally, he would have received a
- 26 federal term.
- 27 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything

1		from the Crown on t	chat?	
2	MS.	SMALLWOOD:	I have no submissions on that,	
3		Your Honour.		
4	THE	COURT:	All right. Well, I think it	
5		is probably not neo	cessary, but, in any event, I	
6		don't have any diff	ficulty with it. There will be	
7		a recommendation on the warrant that he be		
8		permitted to serve	his time in the Northwest	
9		Territories.		
10	MS.	PAYNE:	Thank you.	
11	THE	COURT:	All right. Thank you very	
12		much, counsel, for	your disposition of the case.	
13		We will close court	Ξ.	
14				
15				
16				
17			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant	
18			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules.	
19			Supreme court nates.	
20				
21			Jill MacDonald, CSR(A), RPR	
22			Court Reporter	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				