R. v. Beaulieu, 2007 NWTSC 18

S-1-CR2006000066

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

## GERALD STEPHEN BEAULIEU

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Justice V.A. Schuler, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on February 26th A.D., 2007.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## APPEARANCES:

Mr. S. Hinkley: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. K. Payne: Counsel for the Accused

-----

Charge under s. 271 (x2) Criminal Code of Canada

Publication ban on the identity of the complainant pursuant to s. 486 of the Criminal Code

Official Court Reporters

1	THE	COURT: Gerald Stephen Beaulieu has	
2		been convicted of two sexual assaults, both	
3		against the same 13-year-old girl.	
4		In the first instance, after going to her	
5		home he got on top of her after taking his pants	
6		off and telling her to take off hers and from the	
7		description she gave, it seems that he simulated	
8		intercourse. There was no evidence of	
9		penetration.	
LO		In the second incident, he called the same	
L1		young girl and a young friend of hers to come to	
L2		his place for hamburgers and then entered the	
L3		washroom while she was in there and put his hand	
L4		down her pants and between her legs, moving his	
L5		hand up and down. There was no evidence of	
L6		digital penetration.	
L7		Mr. Beaulieu continues to deny any	
L8		responsibility for the offences. He does not get	
L9		the mitigating benefit of remorse. He is not to	
20		be punished any more severely for having stood on	
21		his right to a trial. It is troubling that he	
22		claims not even to know why he is here and he	
23		clearly does not accept either the Court's	
24		verdict or what the complainant testified at	
25		trial, but I am not going to punish him any more	
26		severely for that.	
27		Any sexual assault against a child is	

27

serious. Although here there was no violence
beyond the assaults themselves, there are some
aggravating features in this case.

The first assault was in the child's own home where she was entitled to feel safe.

Although this is not a true breach of trust case,

Mr. Beaulieu is the adult, she is the child, and he had a responsibility not to harm her.

It is also aggravating that he assaulted her a second time after luring her and her friend to his home with the prospect of food.

I do take into account that he has spent approximately 11 months in remand on these charges and I will credit that by a factor of two.

Mr. Beaulieu is a 47-year-old man with a difficult background and many problems, including alcohol. He has a record spanning 30 years although very little of it is for crimes of violence. The sentences imposed in recent years were not lengthy ones. However, he has continually come into conflict with the law and has been continually unwilling or unable to comply with probation and other Court orders so that does not bode well for his future. He has not been convicted of any other sexual offence.

I am concerned about the reference to his

2.0

2.2

undiagnosed learning disability and undiagnosed
possible Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder.

However, without assessments by professionals,
there is really nothing that I can do to tailor a
sentence in a way that might address some of his
problems.

I do take into account that he is uneducated and unsophisticated, and that was apparent when he testified at the trial. I also take into account that he is aboriginal. None of that, however, detracts from the need to impose a sentence that is significant and that will indicate to others and to Mr. Beaulieu himself that sexual abuse of children will meet with severe consequences.

There is no Victim Impact Statement in this case. However, the effects of sexual abuse on children and the long-lasting effects that are generally experienced and that the Court generally hears about are well-known and in this case as well, I also note from what Mr. Beaulieu said to Corporal Sheppard about his own background that Mr. Beaulieu has some appreciation of the effects of sexual abuse on children and would know that what he was doing was going to be harmful to the young victim.

I have reviewed the cases that have been

2.0

2.2

1 submitted.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2 Taking everything into account, including 3 Mr. Beaulieu's particular circumstances and including the offences as they were described in the complainant's evidence, in my view the appropriate sentence in this case would be on Count 1, two years' imprisonment; and on Count 2, one year consecutive. I am going to give credit 8 of 22 months for the remand time so in the end 9 result, the sentence will one of 14 months having 10 taken that into account. 11

I will direct the clerk to endorse the warrant with the Court's recommendation that Mr. Beaulieu serve his time in the Northwest Territories.

There will be a DNA order in the usual terms. There will be a firearm prohibition order commencing today and expiring ten years from his release from imprisonment. There will also be an order under the Sex Offender Information

Registration Act that Mr. Beaulieu register and that he report for a period of 20 years. In the circumstances, there will be no Victim Fine surcharge.

Now, is there anything unclear about what I have said?

27 MR. HINKLEY: No, Your Honour, and I do in

1		fact have a draft	DNA order executed by Ms. Payne
2		which I will file	with the clerk in due course.
3	THE	COURT:	I would ask that you provide
4		that to the clerk	today then so that it can be
5		filed.	
6	MR.	HINKLEY:	Yes, Your Honour.
7	MS.	PAYNE:	Thank you, Your Honour.
8	THE	COURT:	That's fine, then, thank you,
9		counsel.	
10			
11			
12			
13			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
14			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules,
15			Supreme court nures,
16			
17			
18			
19			Lois Hewitt, CSR(A), RPR, CRR Court Reporter
20			court Reporter
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			