R. v. Elias, 2006 NWTSC 41 S-1-CR-2003000105

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

JIM ELIAS

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Deputy Justice V.O. Ouellette, sitting at Tuktoyaktuk, in the Northwest Territories, on July 11th, A.D. 2006.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. L. Colton: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. N. Sharkey, Q.C.: Counsel for the Accused

Mr. J. Mahon:

(Charges under s. 236(a) and 267(a) Criminal Code)

1	THE	COURT:	Jim Elias has pled guilty to
2		manslaughter and a	ssault with a weapon. The
3		Criminal Code sect	ions 718 and 718.2 set out the
4		sentencing princip	les and objectives and factors
5		that I must consid	er.
6		In determinin	g the appropriate sentence for

In determining the appropriate sentence for Mr. Elias, I must apply those principles which require a balance between deterrence and denunciation, that is, general deterrence and also specific deterrence, and also rehabilitation, reparation for the harm that he has done, but also the responsibility to his community and to himself. In addition, I must consider any aggravating or mitigating circumstances which may apply to this case.

There has been an Agreed Statement of Facts, which was read in by the Crown and agreed to by Mr. Elias and his counsel, but I will summarize the facts as follows: On February 24th, 2003 Jim Elias, Brian Elias, Sammy Gruben and Gloria Gruben had all been drinking, that including the day before. On February 24th, 2003 they were at various levels of intoxication.

At some point an argument took place which was in relation to Kurt Elias, that is, Jim's son, who had committed suicide almost two years to the day. At some point, Jim Elias, Brian

Elias and Sammy Gruben went outside. A gun was used outside and Brian Elias was shot twice and Sammy Gruben once in the shoulder. Brian suffered massive internal injuries from the gunshots and died. Sammy Gruben suffered a shoulder injury.

I am satisfied that the facts are also clear that after the shooting Jim Elias knew that he had made a bad, bad mistake, as he stated; that he couldn't fix that mistake. It is also clear in the conversation with the RCMP that Jim Elias did not remember what had happened, but he knew that something had happened and it was bad and it was because of him and it was because of him that Brian was dead.

I wish to thank Crown counsel, Ms. Colton, and defence counsel, Mr. Sharkey and Mr. Mahon, for their very capable and very fair submissions. I am satisfied that the joint submission of a range of six to eight years' jail is appropriate. There is no doubt that the combination of alcohol and guns often and usually, and unfortunately, leads to tragedy. As a result, the joint range that has been proposed to me reflects the general deterrence factor. That is to say that where alcohol and weapons are mixed, the consequences will be severe and the jail consequences will be

1 severe.

The circumstances of this case are so sad. It is difficult to say with certainty, but I am sure that the influence of alcohol likely brought back the bad memory of Kurt's suicide, only to lead to more drinking and arguing over his loss. It is likely that it is the arguing over Kurt's death which, in fact, led to Brian's death. How ironic and stupid that the loss of one life, that is, Kurt's, of a loved one, would lead to the loss of another loved one, Brian. 

The aggravating factors are as follows: The victims were not strangers. They were a brother and a friend. They were loved ones. They should have been able to expect not to be harmed by Jim Elias. I also find it aggravating that the actions taken by Jim Elias, although not entirely known, were not impulsive in the sense as we know impulsive to be, that is, more of a reaction as opposed to an action. Aggravating, but also, as I will say, mitigating is, in fact, the sorrow and the effect that Jim Elias' actions have had on the entire Tuk community.

However, there are many mitigating circumstances in this case. The guilty plea which Jim Elias has entered is very important. It is very important because it has avoided a

trial for the Tuk community and also has shown a

clear sense of him accepting responsibility for

the actions that he has done.

I am also satisfied and find as a mitigating circumstance the genuine remorse which Jim Elias has shown. He has accepted his actions have caused so much grief to his family and also to himself and the fact that he has killed his brother, the one he loved; also, that he must now live with those consequences for the rest of his life.

I also find as a mitigating circumstance, but not that important, that after the shootings there was a peaceful surrender. The RCMP should be commended for the way they approached the duty of ensuring nobody suffered further harm in their very capable approach in obtaining Jim Elias' surrender.

But I must also take into account Mr. Elias' personal circumstances. Although he has a criminal record, it is relatively minor in the big scheme of things. Further, it shows no entries until 1993 when Jim Elias would have been approximately 32. When Mr. Elias was approximately 30 or 31 - I have not figured out exactly the exact date - he had the one common assault, and then the next entry which is of

relevance is 1997, assault with a weapon, which
has been explained by Mr. Sharkey.

But other than this criminal record, Mr.

Elias' past shows him to be a family man and a
hard worker. He, in fact, followed in his
father's footsteps learning to live off the land
at an early age, at 11 being a hunter and a
trapper. Then, as he grew older and in his teens
and in his 20s, in addition to continuing the
family tradition of hunting and trapping, took on
other labour employment in, I will call it, the
off-season of hunting and trapping.

Then in 1998, to his credit, and probably the RCMP at the time, he was seen by them to be a good, honest, hard working individual, because he was approached to join the RCMP. In fact, he succeeded and became a full-fledged member of the RCMP. But that career was not for him, probably due to his nature, being a hunter and trapper, and the scheduling of the RCMP would not go along with that. He left that employment three or four years later.

Then, unfortunately, the abuse of alcohol changed his life and his family forever on February 24th, 2003. In the end, I am satisfied that Mr. Elias' past tells me he will not be involved in the future in the type of behaviour

that he did on February 24th, 2003. That is not

Mr. Elias, other than that one incident with the

unfortunate abuse of alcohol.

Mr. Elias, you are very lucky to have such a supportive and understanding family.

Please stand. For all the reasons I have stated, I sentence you to six years in jail for manslaughter and to six months in jail concurrent for assault with a weapon. You may be seated.

You will be given a credit of two-to-one for the time spent, which I understood to be eight and three-quarter months, which would have made it 17 and a half. I have rounded that off to 18 months' credit for time served. So your net sentence is four and a half years left to serve.

I appreciate the Crown has not taken a position in relation to the endorsement that is being sought on the warrant of committal, but I am satisfied that it is warranted in this case, and I will endorse the warrant to have you serve your sentence in a facility in the Northwest Territories. I do that for several reasons. The main one is because you are from this area, you were raised here, you have lived off the land, and, in fact, your entire life up to now, age 42, has been lived in this area. But I also believe it is very important for the rehabilitation and

1 the support that you will need in the upcoming 2 years to have your family - your brothers, 3 sisters, mother and father, but also your children - close enough that they can visit. I am satisfied that if you are sent to a southern 5 facility, the likelihood of you getting any 6 7 family visitors is probably nil. It is not going 8 to happen. But I think society will be better 9 served if you have the supports around you at all 10 times, even while you are a serving prisoner. Mr. Mahon, is there another endorsement that 11 12 I can ask be placed that he does seek the psychological therapy that he has talked about 13 and also the alcohol therapy that he has talked 14 15 about? 16 MR. MAHON: Your Honour, my understanding 17 is you can make that recommendation and they will 18 take that very seriously when dealing with what 19 plan of action they will assign to him. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Colton, I am talking 21 specifically, first of all, with respect to the 22 psychological therapy, because I believe that there are still issues that have to be dealt with 23 regarding Kurt's death, which apparently is the 24 stem of this entire unfortunate incident. 25 26 MS. COLTON: I have no objection to that 27 endorsement being made.

- 1 THE COURT: All right.
- 2 MR. MAHON: Your Honour, one comment.
- 3 Pursuant to the provisions of the Code, my
- 4 understanding is it requires Mr. Elias' consent,
- 5 and he does so.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Elias, I
- 7 appreciate what you told me, and I do believe
- 8 that you have recognized that you have to deal
- 9 with that issue; or it may be more than just you,
- 10 it may be an entire family issue. But you
- 11 definitely have to deal with that issue so that
- 12 you do not put yourself in that position of binge
- drinking or whatever it was that happened on that
- 14 date. But I also think you have to learn how to
- 15 deal with the alcohol, and that is why I am also
- 16 making the recommendation with respect to alcohol
- therapy and treatment as recommended by your
- 18 jailors. Do you have any questions, Mr. Elias?
- 19 THE ACCUSED: No.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Colton, any
- 21 questions?
- MS. COLTON: No. Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT CLERK: Your Honour, charge number 2?
- 24 THE COURT: Count number 2?
- 25 MS. COLTON: I would ask that it be
- withdrawn.
- 27 THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.

1	MS.	COLTON:	There is also a second
2		indictment that had	d been filed earlier, and I
3		would ask that that	t other two-count indictment be
4		withdrawn.	
5	THE	COURT:	It is. Thank you.
6	MS.	COLTON:	And the only other thing we
7		haven't addressed	is forfeiture of the firearm.
8	THE	COURT:	There will be a forfeiture of
9		the firearm.	
10	MR.	MAHON:	And just to confirm, there's a
11		finding of not gui	lty with respect to the offence
12		charged?	
13	THE	COURT:	A finding of not guilty in
14		relation to second	degree murder, but a finding
15		of guilt with respe	ect to manslaughter.
16	MR.	MAHON:	Thank you, sir.
17	THE	COURT:	Anything further, counsel?
18	MR.	SHARKEY:	No. Thank you.
19	THE	COURT:	All right. Thank you. We can
20		adjourn, Madam Cle	rk.
21			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
22			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules.
23			ouploine could nuled.
24			
25			Jill MacDonald, CSR(A), RPR
26			Court Reporter