R. v. Charney, 2005 NWTSC 104 S-1-CR-2005000093

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

## HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

### SHELDON CHARNEY



Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice J.Z. Vertes, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on December 8th, A.D. 2005.

### APPEARANCES:

Mr. D. Mahoney:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. J. Mahon:

Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 271 Criminal Code)

Ban on Publication of Complainant / Witness Pursuant to Section 486 of the Criminal Code THE COURT: The accused has pleaded guilty to a charge of sexual assault committed on March 27th, 2005 in Fort Smith. Crown counsel has acknowledged that this plea should be regarded as an early one, having regard to the fact that the accused waived the need for a Preliminary Inquiry and indicated his willingness to plead guilty at that time. Therefore, the guilty plea is a mitigating factor.

The circumstances are set out for the most part in an Agreed Statement of Facts. The accused, 22 years old, and the victim, 16 years old, were at a drinking party. They left at the same time. Some time later they were found in a field near the local high school. The accused was raping the victim with her lying on the ground - this in minus 13 degree weather - with the accused on top of her, penetrating her and covering her mouth with his hand. The police had to pull the accused off the victim.

I was told that the accused, even at his relatively young age, has a substance abuse problem with alcohol and drugs. Yet at the time of this offence he was working toward his GED certificate while living with his aunt in Fort Smith. Nothing much was related to me about his personal circumstances, other than that he was

born in Inuvik and has lived in a number of communities.

One of the significant things related to me, however, was the accused's criminal record.

Between 1996 and 2001 the accused was convicted of 20 offences as a young offender. Since then he has been convicted of nine offences as an adult offender. The most recent of these was a conviction for break and enter with intent entered on April 14th, 2005, for which he was sentenced to a term of 18 months' imprisonment. Obviously, that crime was committed prior to the sexual assault, since he has been in custody since his arrest on March 27th.

The only saving grace is the fact that this offence is the first crime of personal violence on the accused's record.

There are, apparently, some significant psychological issues in this young man's life that will need to be addressed if he is to avoid a life behind bars. These issues are likely far more significant than can be answered in this sentencing hearing, but it is my hope and expectation that the correctional authorities make a thorough assessment of this offender's difficulties and needs.

The crime of sexual assault is a crime of

serious personal violence. The harmful impact on the victim was reflected in her victim impact statement. She will suffer from the psychological harm caused by the accused for a long time.

Naturally, perhaps, she expresses a wish for retribution and severe punishment. Sentencing, however, is not based on retribution or revenge. It is based on what is in the interests of justice, what would best serve society as a whole by denouncing this heinous act, by deterring this offender and others, and by trying to rehabilitate this offender for the long term good of everyone.

It is virtually impossible to mete out a sentence that will be proportionate to the expectations of victims. The courts must balance a number of different considerations as laid down by Parliament in the *Criminal Code*.

In this case, Grown and defence agreed that a sentence in the range of 30 to 36 months would be appropriate. This was not labelled as a joint submission, nor was that term used, but there was agreement on this range.

The Court of Appeal has said for many years that an appropriate sentence for crimes of serious sexual assault, such as this one, would

be in the range of three years, assuming an offender of previously good background and an early guilty plea. This type of starting point sentence is set forth by appellate courts as guidance to lower courts so as to achieve greater uniformity and consistency of sentencing. This does not, however, detract from the overarching principle that sentencing is always an individualized process attempting to set an appropriate sentence for the circumstances of the particular crime and those of the particular offender.

Were it not for the guilty plea and the fact that the accused waived his Preliminary Hearing, I would have been inclined to the view that this offence and this offender, notwithstanding his relatively young age, mandated a sentence of up to four or five years. As it is, I accept the range proposed by counsel, although, in my view, this crime must be placed at the top end of that range, having regard to the evident callousness of the accused at the time, his already lengthy criminal record and the impact on the victim.

I have considered the circumstances of the accused as an Aboriginal offender. His counsel acknowledged, however, that he could point to no systemic or background factors either generally

or specifically for this offender that would warrant consideration of any type of sentence different from what would be imposed on anyone else in these circumstances.

For these reasons, I would impose a sentence of 36 months' imprisonment. From that, however, I will deduct a credit of two months for the one month spent in pre-trial custody relating to this offence specifically. Therefore, the actual sentence is one of 34 months' imprisonment.

In addition, since a conviction for sexual assault brings into play various mandatory terms of the *Criminal Code* and in the absence of information or evidence to suggest that the making of these orders would be grossly disproportionate as between the interests of the accused and the interests of society, I make the following orders:

- 1. There will be an order requiring the accused to provide a sample for DNA analysis and submission to the DNA data bank pursuant to section 487.051 of the Criminal Code.
- 2. I make an order that the accused must comply with the provisions of the Sexual Offender Information Registration Act for the designated period of 20 years pursuant to section 487.012 of the Criminal Code.

				l de la companya de
	1		3. I make an order	under the mandatory
	2		provisions of section	on 109 of the <i>Criminal Code</i>
	3		prohibiting the acc	used from having in his
	4		possession any fire	arms, ammunition or explosives
	5		for a period of no	less than 10 years from the
	6		date of his release	from his sentence of
	7		imprisonment, endin	g ten years from that date.
	8		I will rely on	Crown counsel to provide the
	9		necessary and appro	priate formal orders for entry
10 on the court record.				
	Under the circumstances, there will be no victims of crime fine surcharge.  Is there anything I have neglected, counsel?			
				ing I have neglected, counsel?
	14	MR.	MAHON:	I have nothing further, sir.
	15	MR.	MAHONEY:	No, sir. Thank you.
	16	THE	COURT:	Then I want to thank both of
	17		you for the manner	in which you have resolved
	18		this case. Thank y	you.
	19	MR.	MAHONEY:	Thank you, sir.
	20	THE	COURT:	We will close court.
	21			
	22			Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
	23			to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules.
	24			
	25			tie Mac Grad
	26			Jill MacDonald, CSR(A), RPR
	27			Court Reporter