R. v. Mair, 2006 NWTSC 46

S-1-CR-2005-000085

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

LINDSAY JAMES MAIR

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice C. Kenny, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 19th day of September, A.D. 2006.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. S. Tkatch: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. L. Mair: For himself

(Charges under s. 7(1) and 4(1) of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act)

1	THE	COURT: Mr. Mair has been found guilty
2		of two charges: One for production of marijuana
3		contrary to Section 7(1) of the Controlled Drugs
4		and Substances Act and, also, the charge of
5		possession of marijuana contrary to Section 4(1)
6		of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act.
7		Mr. Mair is 47 years old and a mechanic with
8		a local machine shop. While he has a previous

Mr. Mair is 47 years old and a mechanic with a local machine shop. While he has a previous criminal record, it is over 20 years old and of no impact in this sentencing.

There was not enough marijuana found to indicate a commercial operation, but the Crown argues that there is a large amount for personal use.

Mr. Mair says that he has an arthritic condition that he has had since he was 21 years of age and that he is under a doctor's care for this. He has a letter from his doctor confirming this. He indicates that he grows marijuana for his own use and that it assists him with his sleep, with no side affects, unlike conventional medicine which he has used for over 20 years.

The police found in the residence five baby plants, four mature plants, and a harvested crop. The system to grow the marijuana was sophisticated and included sophisticated lighting, a watering system, fertilizer, and many

1 books on the subject of growing marijuana.

2.4

There are no mitigating circumstances in this case.

The Crown alleges that a major aggravating factor is the fact that the production room was next to Mr. Mair's son's bedroom, and the smell of marijuana emanated throughout the house as evidenced by the police smelling of the marijuana as soon as they entered the premises. While there is no evidence that Mr. Mair was supplying his under-age son with marijuana, his son did have a small amount on him when he was arrested and there was also marijuana found in his son's bedroom during the search.

The Crown submits that the predominant sentencing principles here are specific and general deterrence.

There are no helpful decisions in this geographical location to deal with the facts of this type of case. The Crown suggests that in cases of simple possession of a large quantity of marijuana for personal use, that the sentences in the Territorial Court generally range from large fines to short periods of incarceration. The Crown seeks a period of incarceration of two to three months.

Mr. Mair says that he has severe financial

- pressures and owes Revenue Canada money. He
 suggests a suspended sentence. A suspended
 sentence clearly is not appropriate in these
 circumstances.

 On the production charge, therefore, I
- impose a term of imprisonment of one day, which
 has been served by your attendance here today,

 Mr. Mair. On the possession charge, I am of the
 view that a large fine can satisfy the principles
 of specific and general deterrence.
- Now, Crown, you did not give me any information on that. I am wondering if you have any suggestions on this.
- MS. TKATCH: Yes, Your Honour. My -- the range I kind of had in mind would be anywhere from a fifteen-hundred to three-thousand-dollar fine.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you.
- I would impose a fine on the possession

 charge of \$2,000, which can be paid over time.

 There is, also, I believe, the fine option

 program that is available as well, Mr. Mair, and

 you can make inquiries about that if you wish.
- Can you give me an idea of the length of
 time you might need to pay the fine so I can
 impose the appropriate time period.
- 27 MR. MAIR: Your Honour, I've committed to

- 1 Revenue Canada to pay them a hundred dollars a
- week until January. That's about all I've -- my
- 3 surplus money that I'm able to pay them. I would
- 4 request that maybe till next June. June of next
- 5 year. That would allow me six months between
- 6 January and June.
- 7 THE COURT: To pay it?
- 8 MR. MAIR: To pay that amount.
- 9 THE COURT: Does the Crown have any
- 10 objection to that?
- 11 MS. TKATCH: No, Your Honour.
- 12 THE COURT: So the fine will be paid on or
- before June 30th, 2007. Okay?
- 14 MR. MAIR: Yes, Your Honour.
- 15 THE COURT: Now, the Crown also seeks an
- order for forfeiture and return. Now, Mr. Mair,
- in his submissions, Ms. Tkatch, indicated that he
- 18 was wondering about his pellet guns and the
- 19 paintball guns.
- 20 MS. TKATCH: Yes, Your Honour. With regard
- 21 to the paintball equipment this is information
- 22 I got from Constable Vezina yesterday they did
- take in the paintball guns. And normally the
- 24 course is if a parent wants the paintball -- the
- 25 equipment relinquished to the police, they can
- sign off on it. He advised me that that night or
- 27 morning when Dylan Mair's mother arrived to pick

- 1 him up, she signed the papers and that the
- 2 paintball equipment was relinquished then on her
- 3 signature and they've been subsequently
- 4 destroyed.
- 5 So with regard to the pellet gun --
- 6 THE COURT: Does it fit in the definition?
- 7 MS. TKATCH: Off the top of my head, I
- 8 don't know if the pellet gun is a firearm,
- 9 because obviously there would an automatic
- 10 forfeiture of the firearms seized. I will just
- 11 need to look into that.
- 12 THE COURT: I guess my view is, clearly,
- 13 whatever is covered under Section 109 -- and I
- 14 will grant a Section 109 order which prohibits
- 15 possession of firearms and associated equipment
- that is specified in Section 109 for ten years,
- Mr. Mair. That is mandatory under the law, so
- 18 that order is granted.
- 19 So the issue is whether or not pellet guns
- 20 falls under that. If pellet guns falls under
- that, then they are prohibited. If not, then
- 22 I -- and it is not listed in your -- specifically
- 23 listed --
- 24 MS. TKATCH: No.
- 25 THE COURT: -- in the order of forfeiture
- and return. So I guess my thought is that unless
- it falls under Section 109, that they would be

- 1 returned to Mr. Mair.
- 2 MS. TKATCH: The information I'm quickly
- 3 getting from the police here, that pellet guns
- 4 are not classified as firearms. So I would then
- 5 add that to the items returned list.
- 6 THE COURT CLERK: Excuse me. Your Honour.
- 7 Would a surcharge apply to the fine?
- 8 THE COURT: The victim fine surcharge,
- 9 Madam Clerk is asking about.
- 10 MS. TKATCH: Yes. There's been no evidence
- of -- Mr. Mair is working. I think he has the
- ability to pay. It's 15 percent in our
- jurisdiction.
- 14 THE COURT: I impose a victim fine
- 15 surcharge as well.
- Were there two pellet guns? is my
- 17 recollection. I might be mistaken.
- 18 MR. MAIR: If I may, Your Honour. There
- 19 was three. There was a pellet pistol -- a pellet
- 20 pistol and two rifles.
- 21 MS. TKATCH: That's correct.
- 22 MR. MAIR: And there was a fourth, a
- 23 plastic-model gun. It's a model; that's all.
- 24 THE COURT: Again, if it doesn't fall
- under the definition, it would be returned to
- you.
- 27 So I have added to the items to be returned:

1		pellet guns and guns not covered under Section		
2		109 of the Criminal Code.		
3		Do you want m	ne to sign both of these copies	
4		or		
5	MS.	TKATCH:	Yes, if you may, Your Honour.	
6		That way I can get	one copy to the police as soon	
7		as possible so Mr.	Mair can pick up his	
8	THE	COURT:	Okay. Okay, I think that	
9		deals with all matters.		
10	MS.	TKATCH:	Just one question, please,	
11		Your Honour, just	so I can indicate how much of a	
12		panic I have to the	row my assistant into. I've	
13		got mostly prepare	ed the gun order, other than the	
14		sentencing part.	Are you planning to fly out	
15		today?		
16	THE	COURT:	I am, at three o'clock. So I	
17		would be leaving here about two.		
18	MS.	TKATCH:	Okay. I will make sure it's	
19		in first thing thi	s afternoon for your signature.	
20	THE	COURT:	Thank you very much.	
21				
22				
23			ified Pursuant to Rule 723	
24		OI (ne nates of court	
25		Tana	e Romanowich, CSR(A), RPR	
26			t Reporter	
27				