IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

RICKY AMOS aka RICKY TUMMA

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on August 3rd, A.D. 2006.

BAN ON PUBLICATION OF COMPLAINANT/WITNESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 486 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

APPEARANCES:

Ms. S. Smallwood: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. T. Boyd: Counsel for the Accused

(Charge under s. 271 Criminal Code)

L	THE	COURT: I	he offender has pleade	d
2		guilty to sexually a	ssaulting a young girl	seven
3		years ago in Inuvik.	The victim was eight	years
1		old at the time. Sh	e was, at the time, th	.e
5		daughter of a woman	with whom this offende	r was
5		in an on-again/off-a	gain intimate relation	ship.

The sexual assault amounted to touching the vaginal area of the eight-year-old child either over her clothing or beneath her clothing. The sexual assault occurred in 1999. The victim did not tell anyone about the assault because she, in her words, tried to ignore it or forget about it. She was concerned that people would not believe her and she was also concerned that if she told her mother what had happened that her mother would get mad at her. But in July, 2004, around the time when her mother broke up with this offender for the last or final time, she disclosed the sexual assault to her mother and the next day she told the police.

The police contacted the offender in November, 2004, and, by his own evidence, he initially denied to the police that he had done anything wrong. For some reason, the charge was not laid until August, 2005. The offender shortly thereafter made a confession to the police and also wrote a letter of apology to the

victim and her mother. However, presumably
because he disputed some of the precise details
being alleged by the victim, when he appeared in
court he elected trial by Judge and jury.

After several attempts to set the matter down for Preliminary Inquiry in Territorial Court, the offender appeared in Territorial Court in March, 2006 and waived his right to a Preliminary Inquiry, and thereafter he was to proceed directly to jury trial in this court. Yesterday, August the 2nd, 2006, he appeared in this court and re-elected to Judge alone and pleaded guilty to the charge of sexual assault.

I set out this background to the attendance by the offender in this court today to point out that there has been considerable delay in getting this matter before the court for disposition.

Also, with this background, it cannot be said that Ricky Amos' guilty plea is an early guilty plea. The very brief hearing which took place in this court yesterday on the disputed facts could have readily occurred many months ago in either court.

In any event, the offender has pleaded guilty, has expressed remorse and has apologized to the victim and her mother, and all of that does act in mitigation of sentence. It is just

2.2

2.4

that, obviously, it is not the same level of mitigation as if these initiatives of the offender had happened on a more timely basis.

Ricky Amos' crime is considered by our society to be a serious one, as it involves the sexual abuse of a child. This offence is punishable by up to 10 years' imprisonment. The fact that the victim of a sexual assault is a child is deemed by the law to be an aggravating circumstance. The previous case law, and now Parliament, has directed that when a Judge is sentencing an offender for a crime involving the abuse of a child the primary consideration is to be the two objectives of denunciation and deterrence of this kind of criminal behaviour.

The offender is a mature man of 42 years of age, of Aboriginal descent, a life-long resident of the Inuvik region. He has a grade 12 education and is fairly articulate or well-spoken. In recent years he has had seasonal employment with the Public Works Division of the Municipality of Inuvik. He admits to being a life-long alcoholic and says that he has recently taken treatment programs to deal with his alcoholism.

He has an extensive criminal record. Most of that criminal record was accumulated during

2.2

2.4

the early years of his life. He has four convictions for crimes of violence, one of which was a conviction for sexual assault in 1986. I note from the entries on his criminal record that at the time of committing the present offence in 1999 he would have recently completed a 12-month period of probation following an assault conviction in 1998.

Mr. Amos' previous criminal record is an important consideration in the determination of sentence in the sense that he is not a person who is coming before the Court with an unblemished past to be sentenced for a once in a lifetime momentary lapse in judgment.

It is an aggravating circumstance in the determination of an appropriate sentence that Mr. Amos was in a position of trust vis-à-vis this young child at the time of the commission of this crime. He violated that trust by his own admission in the letter that he wrote to the victim and her mother some six years after the event and after he had been charged with the crime.

Although this incident occurred seven years ago, it must be remembered that at the time the offender was 36 years old and his victim was eight years old. She and her mother were

2.4

1		entitled to expect	that she would be safe when
2		alone with him in h	nis apartment.
3		In all of the	circumstances, I find that a
4		denunciatory senter	nce of imprisonment is required
5		in this case. Plea	ase stand, Mr. Amos. Ricky
6		Amos, for the crime	e that you have committed,
7		sexual assault cont	trary to section 271 of the
8		Criminal Code, it :	is the sentence of this Court
9		that you be impriso	oned for a period of ten
10		months.	
11		In addition,	I grant the three orders sought
12		by the Crown; that	is, the firearms prohibition
13		order for a period	of ten years, the DNA order
14		and the order under	r the Sex Offender Information
15		Registration Act fo	or a period of 20 years.
16		In the circums	stances, there will be no
17		victim fine surcharge. You may be seated. Is	
18		there anything furt	ther on this case, counsel?
19	MS.	SMALLWOOD:	Nothing, Your Honour.
20	MR.	BOYD:	Not from defence, Your Honour.
21	THE	COURT:	Thank you. We will adjourn
22		court till 10:00.	
23			Certified to be a true and
24			accurate transcript pursuant to Rules 723 and 724 of the
25			Supreme Court Rules.
26			
27			Jill MacDonald, CSR(A), RPR Court Reporter