R. v. Cazon, 2004 NWTSC 3 S-1-CR 2003000081

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

)

CANADA

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

SAMANTHA LOUISE CAZON

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Mr. Justice J.E. Richard, at Fort Simpson in the Northwest Territories, on January 6 A.D., 2004.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. S. Tkatch:

Counsel for the Crown

Ms. K. Payne:

Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 268 Criminal Code of Canada

COMMENCING JANUARY 6, 2004 AT 3:50 P.M.

THE COURT: It is now the Court's serious responsibility to impose a fit and proper sentence on Samantha Louise Cazon for the crime that she has committed. It is often said that sentencing is the most difficult part of a judge's responsibilities, and that statement could not have been more true than in the present case.

This is a sad and unusual case.

I want to thank counsel for their submissions on the sentencing issue.

This offender is a shy 20 year-old woman who I am told was raised primarily in this community of Fort Simpson. Her parents separated when she was only nine years of age, and by her own admission she had difficulty coming to grips with that reality while growing up, as is unfortunately the case with many children of separated parents.

She is an intelligent young woman with a Grade
11 education but is capable of proceeding much
further with her formal education. I am told that
she has no previous criminal record of any kind.

On the date in question, March 18th of 2003, for reasons that are inexplicable to everyone, including Samantha Cazon, she suddenly lashed out with a knife and stabbed her mother. Although she

held some ongoing resentment towards her mother and had done so for years, there was no particular trigger which precipitated this violent attack. There was no alcohol involved, no argument, no triggering event.

At the time of the event, Ms. Cazon dropped the knife immediately and did not resist arrest or detention and did not deny the assault. She has been in custody since then, a period of almost ten months. She has pleaded guilty and is convicted of the offence of aggravated assault contrary to Section 268 of the Criminal Code. This crime carries a maximum sentence of 14 years imprisonment in a federal penitentiary. There is no minimum sentence.

The general purpose of this sentencing process is to provide for a safe and peaceful community and to promote respect for the law. The specific objectives of any sentence imposed in this case are deterrence, denunciation, and rehabilitation of this particular offender and to promote in her a sense of responsibility for what she has done. The sentence imposed must reflect the gravity of the crime that was committed.

The offence itself is very serious. The use of a knife in stabbing her mother could have had more serious, perhaps fatal consequences. The fact

that the victim had to be medivacced to Edmonton is in itself indicative of the seriousness of the assault.

This kind of deliberate assault with a knife normally results in a term of imprisonment of two or three years, even for a first-time offender.

As the reason for this unprovoked and bizarre attack by the offender on her mother remains a mystery, the Court must be concerned about the possibility that the offender remains at risk of acting again in a dangerous way if immediately released into the community.

For this reason, in all of the circumstances, I am of the view that a further period of incarceration at Women's Correctional Centre in Fort Smith is necessary for the protection of the public, but primarily to allow Samantha Cazon to continue with what I have been told is a beneficial counselling program that she has been allowed to access at that facility while on remand.

Because Ms. Cazon has already spent almost ten months in remand custody, the sentence imposed must take that into consideration. And in some cases, the Courts would credit that by a 2 to 1 factor or, in this case, 20 months.

As I have indicated to counsel on an earlier

occasion, I am quite troubled and concerned about the delay that has occurred in having this case brought before the Court for final disposition, especially when, as I am told today, Ms. Cazon, from the outset, did not deny what she did in front of witnesses nor attempt at any time to deny responsibility for it.

In any event, we are here today, and now that the court process is coming to an end, hopefully Ms. Cazon will be able to finish her sentence, receive the counselling, continue with the self-analysis that she is obviously undergoing and get on with her life.

Please stand, Ms. Cazon.

Samantha Cazon, for the crime that you have committed, aggravated assault, it is the sentence of this Court that you be imprisoned for a further term of six months, and in addition, there will be a probation period of 18 months following your release from custody.

The terms of that probation order will be to keep the peace and be of good behaviour, that you appear before this Court when required to do so and to report regularly to the probation officer in the community. Finally, that you take whatever further counselling is recommended by the probation officer.

I'm going to, Ms. Cazon, ask your lawyer, Ms. Payne, to explain to you the consequences of failing to obey the conditions of the probation order.

In addition, there will be a Section 109 firearms prohibition order for ten years. The DNA order requested by the Crown is granted. And the knife that I take it was seized by the police in connection with this matter is forfeited to the Crown.

Now, Ms. Cazon, I want to wish you well with your recovery from this terrible incident. From what I have been told, it's been a difficult time for you, and even before March of last year you have had a troubled family life, and from what you've told me, you have had some bad things happen to you. But I think you've got to look on the bright side and look forward now.

I just want to mention to you one observation that I made this afternoon in this courtroom. You are fortunate. On the positive side, you are fortunate that your father and mother are here.

I take it these other people -- I don't know them, but I take it they are family or friends -- they are all here to support you.

The job that I have, I have to do this kind of case every week. We don't often see, for people

1	like you, this kind of support in the courts. So
2	you may feel your life has been hard on you up
3	until now, but you are blessed by having these
4	people here to support you. So you should think
5	about that on the bright side. You are very
6	lucky.
7	Thank you. You may sit down.
8	Counsel, anything further on this case? Have
9	we covered everything?
10	MS. TKATCH: Yes, Your Honour, just maybe one
11	suggestion. After Defence's submission in regard
12	to this case on the habit of carrying knives,
13	maybe a prohibition from carrying or being in
14	possession of a knife in her probation order would
15	be warranted, just so she doesn't have the habit
16	of carrying a knife during that time.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Payne, given the related
18	statement that is contained in one of her mother's
19	statements about her perhaps a fascination with
20	knives, that may be a reasonable condition on the
21	probation order.
22	MS. PAYNE: If I can just have a moment, sir.
23	(A SHORT PAUSE)
24	MS. PAYNE: Sir, I have been advised that it
25	wasn't merely a fascination but sort of a trend
26	and a style among the youth in Fort MacPherson.
27	THE COURT: Not a good trend.

MS. PAYNE: And possibly not a good trend, sir; however, it is linked with aboriginal communities, too. She comes from an aboriginal community where they hunt and fish.

But having said all of that, I canvassed it with Ms. Cazon. She isn't fascinated with knives to the extent that it would bother her to have that order on her probation.

Certainly it would bring home to her the appropriate cultural use of a knife. It certainly wasn't the case here.

That would be, sir, for the 18 months of probation?

THE COURT: Yes. I'm not concerned about her having a knife in her possession for traditional pursuits like hunting and fishing. It's carrying a knife during the normal course of a normal day.

So there will be the additional condition on the probation order that the offender will not be in possession of any knives on her person except as permitted by her probation officer. So if there is something that's going to happen that she knows about, if there is a trip planned, she can get the okay from the probation officer.

Anything further from the Crown?

MS. TKATCH: No, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Payne?

1	MS. PAYNE:	No, sir.
2	THE COURT:	Fine, we'll close court.
3	(COURT ADJOURN	ED AT 4:00)
4		
5		
6		Certified correct to the best
7		of my skill and ability,
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		,
13		
14		
15		1/1/ Maigar
16		J K Harrigan
17		Gourt Reporter
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
19 20 21 22 23		
24 25 26		
26		<i>,</i>
27		