R. v. Brownlee & Domkowsky, 2002 NWT SC 78

S-1-CR-2002000018

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

DAVID NORMAN BROWNLEE

- and -

DARREN DOMKOWSKY

Transcript of Reasons for Sentence (Oral) delivered by The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 16th day of November, A.D. 2002.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. S. Niblock: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. G. Watt: Counsel for the Accused Brownlee

Ms. K. Payne:

Counsel for the Accused Domkowsky

Charges under ss. 344(b) C.C.

1 THE COURT: I want to first of all thank all
2 counsel for their submissions this afternoon on the
3 difficult issue of the appropriate sentence.

The two offenders before the court, David Norman Brownlee and Darren Domkowsky, are to be sentenced now for the crime of robbery contrary to section 344 of the *Criminal Code*, an offence which carries a maximum sentence of life imprisonment.

The robbery occurred on December 5th, 2001, in the early morning hours outside The Gallery bar here in Yellowknife. The victim was a 44 year old man from British Columbia who had just arrived in Yellowknife a matter of hours earlier. He was drinking in The Gallery bar and befriended these two young men. One or both of these young men noticed that the victim had a large amount of cash on him during the evening.

At the end of the evening when the victim was leaving in an intoxicated state, these two young men and a third person engaged the victim in a conversation. When his attention was diverted, they kicked him in the face and administered a severe beating on him and took his wallet and fled. It is the victim's estimation that he had in excess of \$700 or \$850 in his wallet. The victim was left with blackened and swollen eyes, his face and nose were swollen, bruised ribs, and scrapes on his back. Two days later his eyes were swollen shut and he couldn't

see. To this day he says he has blurred vision because of the damage to his eyes.

This type of offence, rolling a drunken person outside a bar for easy money, is a fairly recent phenomenon in our city, that is, only in the last few years. This type of crime is despicable and cowardly. Cowardly offenders, such as David Brownlee and Darren Domkowsky, rarely act alone in committing this crime. They usually act in groups of two or three and they target a vulnerable victim. This type of crime is unacceptable to the people of Yellowknife and deserves the full sanction of the law.

Dealing first with David Brownlee. This offender is now 25 years of age. Although he has lived in various communities, he has spent most of his life here in Yellowknife or in St. John's, Newfoundland. He has a supportive family here in Yellowknife, being his parents and his sister. I am told that he has a basic adult education and that he has not maintained steady employment as an adult. At the time of the offence he was unemployed.

Mr. Brownlee has a significant criminal record for a young man, consisting of drinking and driving offences, property offences, common assault convictions, and breaches of court orders.

At the time of the commission of this robbery, he was on probation and he had just been released from

jail two weeks previously.

Mr. Brownlee obtained his release on bail shortly after being arrested and charged with this robbery offence on December 5th. However, on January 7th he was again arrested because of a breach of his bail conditions and he's been on remand and in pre-trial custody awaiting his trial for the past approximately ten months. During that time he was also serving a global sentence of four months for breaches of his bail conditions and a breach of a probation order.

Notwithstanding that his lengthy pre-trial detention was because of his own failure to obey his bail conditions, he is entitled to some credit for his many months of pre-trial detention. There is no precise or specific mathematical formula to be used in calculating credit for pre-trial detention. It is, rather, a matter of judicial discretion for the sentencing judge in each individual case.

I turn now to the other offender, Darren

Domkowsky. He is 21 years of age. I am told he has a grade ten education. Although he lives with his parents, I am told that he has been self-supporting and steadily employed since leaving school. Currently he is in an apprentice program with a local construction company.

At the time of committing this robbery in the beautiful December 2001, Mr. Domkowsky did not have any previous

record of Criminal Code offences. He is for all intents and purposes a first offender.

On the trial evidence, I find that all three assailants participated equally in the robbery and there is nothing in the evidence or the circumstances of the crime that justifies a different penalty between one offender and the other. Because of that, the principle of parity is an important consideration in this case; however, I must take into account the fact that one offender has a criminal record of the kind he has, and the other offender has no criminal record.

It is an aggravating feature of this crime that these two offenders in committing this cowardly act of violence targeted an intoxicated and vulnerable individual. I also note that there was some planning or premeditation to this crime.

Mr. Brownlee's criminal record and status on probation at the time of the commission of the offence is an aggravating feature in his case.

There are no mitigating factors that serve to lessen or reduce the sentence that ought to be imposed. I detect no remorse in either individual, no recognition or acceptance or taking of personal responsibility for harm done.

It is obvious to the Court that these two young men have not matured into responsible adults as yet.

The sentence that is imposed must seek to promote a sense of responsibility in each of these offenders and must hopefully, hopefully encourage each of them to wake up, to grow up, and to acknowledge the harm that he has done to his victim and to the community and to his own family.

In determining the appropriate sentences to be imposed today, I take into account the recognized purpose and principles of sentencing without repeating them here. Counsel have referred to some of them in their submissions. I do repeat that the Court has a duty to protect society and the members of the community from random acts of violence and I must be mindful of that duty in imposing sentence today. The citizens of Yellowknife are entitled to expect they are safe when walking on its streets at one o'clock in the morning when leaving a drinking establishment, whether drunk or sober. The court sentence must act as a deterrent to those who are tempted to roll vulnerable citizens for some easy money.

One of my roles in sentencing these two offenders today is to promote respect for the law and a safe community, and in this case that means that both of these offenders will go to jail.

Taking into consideration all of the circumstances, in my view the appropriate sentence for this crime is a term of imprisonment of two and a half

years for Mr. Brownlee, and two years for

Mr. Domkowsky. Mr. Brownlee will receive 12 months'

credit for pre-trial detention.

Regarding the restitution order sought by the Crown, I accept Mr. Watt's submissions, that two of the categories of damages do not fit within the provisions of section 738 of the *Criminal Code*.

Would you please stand, Mr. Brownlee.

David Brownlee, for the crime that you have committed, the crime of robbery contrary to section 344 of the *Criminal Code*, it is the sentence of this Court that you be imprisoned for a term of 18 months.

In addition, following your release you will be under the terms of a probation order for a period of 12 months with conditions as follows: keep the peace and be of good behaviour; appear before this court when required; report to your probation officer within two days of your release from jail and thereafter as required; notify the probation officer of any changes in address or employment; and, finally, it is a condition that you shall not be present after 7 p.m. in any licenced premises where alcoholic beverages are sold.

There will be a restitution order under section 738 of the *Criminal Code* in the amount of \$425.

There will be a section 109 firearms prohibition order for a period of ten years, and I assess a victim

```
fine surcharge in the amount of $100.
1
2
                 You may sit down, sir.
        THE COURT:
                                 Mr. Domkowsky, for the crime that
3
            you have committed, the crime of robbery contrary to
            section 344 of the Criminal Code, the sentence of the
            court is that you be imprisoned for a period of two
            years.
                 In addition, following your release from jail,
            you will be under the terms of a probation order for a
 9
            period of 12 months with terms identical to what I
10
            have just mentioned for Mr. Brownlee.
11
                 There will also be a restitution order under
12
            section 738 of the Criminal Code in the amount of
13
            $425.
14
                 There will be a section 109 firearms prohibition
15
            order for ten years, and I assess a victim fine
16
            surcharge in the amount of $100.
17
                 You may sit down.
18
                 Now, counsel, is there anything further required
19
            in this case from the Crown?
20
                                  With respect to the DNA order,
        MR. NIBLOCK:
21
22
            sir.
                                  I'm sorry, I omitted it.
23
        THE COURT:
24
            will be a DNA order in each case as requested by the
            Crown. And perhaps the exhibits, there will be the
25
            usual order for disposition of the exhibits upon the
26
            expiration of the appeal period.
27
```

1	MR. WATT:	Just to clarify, sir, they would
2	be retur	ened to the rightful owners?
3	THE COURT:	Yes, those exhibits, yes.
4	Any	thing else?
5	MS. PAYNE:	No, sir.
6	MR. WATT:	No, sir.
7	THE COURT:	Fine, we'll close court.
8		
9		
10		Certified pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 18,
11		1987.
12		/ 1 / 1/
13		Annette Wright, RPR, CSR(A)
14		Court Reporter
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
ı		