R. v. Jerome, 2002 NWTSC 81 S-1-CR-2002000108

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

BRIAN JEROME

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice J.Z. Vertes, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 5th day of December, A.D. 2002.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. A. Slatkoff:

Mr. C. Rehn:

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Defendant

(Charge under s. 266 of the Criminal Code of Canada)

of guilty to a charge of common assault. It is somewhat unusual to have such charges appear in this court instead of being disposed of by the Territorial Court; but, nevertheless, I gather that the original charge was far more serious and the Indictment was laid for common assault as a result of the evidence adduced at the preliminary hearing.

The fact that he has entered a plea of guilty is highly mitigating, in my view. Significant credit should be given to him if for nothing else than for acknowledging his responsibility and, of course, as well for saving not just the system the time and expense of a trial but also saving the victim from having to further testify against her common-law husband, not to mention the fact that he is the father of her soon-to-be-born child.

((

Ordinarily, considering the circumstances of this offence, one would not expect a significant sentence, but that has to be balanced against what has been presented to me as a history of serious criminal conduct by this individual. His record reveals 54 criminal convictions from 1980 to 2000. Many of them are very serious. He has served time in the federal penitentiary. He has been convicted of serious crimes of violence. Indeed, he has been convicted of all sorts of crimes.

I am told he is 38 years old. I am told that he was a ward of the government since the age of five. He was a resident at the Grollier Hall residential school. He was obviously a victim of some of the abuse at that school, because I am told that he received funds as part of a settlement. What specific impact these factors have had on him and, in turn, what effect, in a causal sense, those factors had in bringing him continually before the justice system is something that is certainly beyond the means of this court to explore in-depth in any meaningful sense. It should be self-evident, however, that many of those factors in his early years had a highly formative effect on him and led to certainly his initial involvement with the criminal justice system. I am ready to accept that point. But it seems to me that by the age of 38, and with this man's exposure to the criminal justice system and to lengthy periods of incarceration, to periods of time in various facilities that have as their objective rehabilitative purposes, whether it is Ranch Ehrlo in Saskatchewan or other facilities, that there had been plenty of opportunities for this man to try to come to grips with his difficulties, whatever they may be; and, frankly, by the age of 38, he has to look at himself and take responsibility for his life. So on the one hand we have an offence that on the

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

scale of crimes is not overly serious. There are apparently no lasting affects. On the other hand, we have an offender who has exhibited for over 20 years a consistent pattern of criminal behaviour and violent criminal behaviour. The need here is to balance these two factors off in some meaningful sense.

The victim has written a letter in which she makes a plea that Mr. Jerome be sent home. She wants to be with him, she wants him home for the birth of his child, and she says that once the child is born and once he is released, they will be relocating back to their home community. I assume that's Inuvik.

I have to take seriously the expressions of the victim, obviously. But I must say in all frankness, the sentiments of the victim in any case are not the guiding factors on a sentencing. There are principles of sentencing far greater than merely the interests of the victim that have to be addressed.

In this case, the victim has come forward and asked for leniency. For whatever reason, and I cannot read her mind or heart, she wants him back. But here she has asked for leniency. In so many other cases, the victims seek the most severe punishment possible on the perpetrators of crimes against them. If we accede to the victim's wishes in one case, surely logic would say that we should accede to the victim's wishes in every case; but that would not be a system

that is either just, fair, or logical.

Considering this accused's background, I think there is no alternative but to impose a further period of incarceration, with my strong hope that with the apparent support of his common-law spouse and with, apparently, the opportunity to take part in the healing program at the Somba K'e Healing Lodge, that Mr. Jerome will start to take control of his life and finally break his pattern of constant incarceration.

Is there anything you wish to say, Mr. Jerome?

THE ACCUSED: No, Your Honour.

12 THE COURT: Very well.

In my view, an appropriate sentence would be one of 12 months' imprisonment. He has served two months already in pre-trial custody. I give him credit for that to the equivalent of four months. Therefore, I sentence Mr. Jerome to serve a further term of imprisonment of eight months.

I am not going to impose any further conditions. This man has been under conditions most of his life, and I think it is up to him now to take whatever steps are necessary to reform himself.

Is there anything else we need to address?

MR. SLATKOFF:
Yes, sir. Three other matters.

Firstly, the Court must consider a firearm prohibition according to the Criminal Code. I can advise that

27 Mr. Jerome --

```
THE COURT:
 1
                                  He's already under --
 2
        MR. SLATKOFF:
                                  -- is already bound by, in fact,
            two firearms prohibitions. One imposed in 1994, which
            doesn't appear on the printout that you have there,
            but a ten-year firearms prohibition was imposed at
            that time, and in 1997 as well, ten years.
 6
                                 So what's the point of imposing a
 7
        THE COURT:
            further one?
        MR. SLATKOFF:
                                  I don't see a reason. Just for
            the record, the Court must consider it.
10
11
        THE COURT:
                                 I decline to do so.
12
        MR. SLATKOFF:
                                 Crown is seeking a DNA order.
13
            This is a secondary designated offence and the Crown
14
            does have to ask for it, and, I submit, in the context
15
            of his record for violence and the possibility of his
16
           DNA being found at a future crime scene, it would be
17
            in the interests of justice that a sample of his blood
18
            be in the DNA bank in Ottawa.
19
        THE COURT:
                                 Any comment on that, Mr. Rehn?
20
        MR. REHN:
                                 No, Sir.
21
        THE COURT:
                                 Very well. I think there's merit
22
            to issuing such an order. If you would hand it up to
23
            the clerk, please.
24
        MR. SLATKOFF:
                                 Sir, there are three copies here.
25
        THE COURT:
                                 Next?
26
        MR. SLATKOFF:
                                 Finally, I simply ask that the
27
            Warrant for Committal and the convictions certificate
```

1

1	reflect the fact that the sentence of eight months is
2	taking into account two months time served or credit
3	for four months time served, however the Court wishes
4	to word it. I simply ask that the record accurately
5	reflects what the Court said today.
6	THE COURT: Very well. I'm sure the clerk
7	can put the appropriate wording on the Warrant of
8	Committal.
9	MR. SLATKOFF: Thank you, Sir. That's all.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Rehn, anything else?
11	MR. REHN: No, Your Honour.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, gentlemen.
13	
14	
15	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723 of the Rules of Court
16	
17	Jame Romanowich,
18	Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	