

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

CHARANJIT BASSON



Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by Justice V.A. Schuler, in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 9th day of February, A.D. 2000.

APPEARANCES:

MS. B. SCHMALTZ:

On behalf of the Crown

MR. P. SMITH:

On behalf of the Defence

Charge under s. 5(1)CDSA

THE COURT: The circumstances of this case have been reviewed in my decision on conviction and I do not intend to go through them again.

Just briefly, Mr. Basson has been convicted of trafficking in cocaine. He has been convicted as a party to the offence, specifically as the one who drove Mr. Fabian to a location where Mr. Fabian then conducted a drug transaction. The drug in question was cocaine.

I have some difficulty in seeing Mr. Basson as being naive in this case. I bear in mind that I am only sentencing him for one transaction, that is all I am sentencing him for, but his evidence certainly convinced me that he knew very well what Mr. Fabian was doing. I do not think he was naive in the sense of not knowing what Mr. Fabian was doing or naive in the sense of not realizing that he was assisting Mr. Fabian. He may be naive in terms of whether the driver can be convicted of the offence, but I do not see him as a dupe. Certainly Mr. Fabian took advantage of Mr. Basson's willingness to give him the rides. But, as I say, I have a great deal of trouble as seeing him somehow as a dupe or someone who had the wool pulled over his eyes.

I bear in mind that he has no criminal record. I bear in mind that there is certainly no evidence that he was the mastermind of this. The evidence certainly

indicates that Mr. Fabian was the main actor and he was the one who was essentially telling Mr. Basson what to do.

I have heard about Mr. Basson's background. He is young; he is only 23 years old. He appears to be a hard worker. He certainly had employment quite regularly over the last four years except for the time when he was unemployed that he referred to.

I have considered the submissions that have been made with respect to a conditional sentence and, specifically, the Proulx decision which was just released by the Supreme Court of Canada last week. Certainly it is very clear that a conditional sentence is open to the court on a conviction of this nature. The question is, as always, whether that or something else is the appropriate punishment for the offence that has been committed.

The courts have said for many, many years that the main principles in sentencing for trafficking, and specifically trafficking in cocaine, are denunciation and deterrence. That means that the sentence imposed should indicate that the crime is not acceptable to society, to the community; in other words, it should denounce the conduct engaged in, and it should also deter both the accused and others from engaging in this kind of conduct.

The Supreme Court of Canada has said that a

conditional sentence is not something to be viewed lightly. It is a sentence of imprisonment and it should have conditions that reflect the fact that it is meant to be an onerous sentence.

In my view, this is not a case that cries out for a conditional sentence, acknowledging that there is nothing to prevent it from being available. One of the things that is very important here is that Mr. Basson and, in particular, young men like Mr. Basson - and by that I mean young men in the Yellowknife community - are aware that trafficking in cocaine, whether as the principal actor or as a party, will entail severe consequences and that there will be punishment for it.

Both counsel have very fairly acknowledged that much of the sadness and the human devastation that we see in court can be tied to both drug and alcohol abuse. It is not a victimless crime. There is always a victim when it comes to drug trafficking.

I note that one of the concluding comments made in the Proulx case - the court upholding the jail sentence that had been imposed for an offence unrelated to this one - said: Trial judges are closer to their community and know better what would be acceptable to their community.

I have to consider in this case the problem, because there is a problem with cocaine in this

1 community. As I have said, the sentence I impose has 2 to send a signal. 3 I do take into account that Mr. Basson is being sentenced only for one transaction, one involvement. 4 He was the driver, not the principal actor, and that 5 he has no record. 6 7 I take into account the sentence that was imposed 8 on Mr. Fabian; in particular, he was sentenced not 9 just for this particular transaction, the May 6th transaction, but for two others, and that he had a 10 11 related, although less serious, record. Stand up, please, Mr. Basson. 12 In the circumstances and because of the factors I 13 have mentioned, a conditional sentence is not 14 appropriate. However, I think that a sentence quite a 15 16 bit less than was suggested will be sufficient in the circumstances. 17 Mr. Basson, I sentence you to a term of 18 imprisonment of seven months. 19 Now, are there any other orders that I need to 20 21 make? 22 MR. SMITH: None that I'm aware of, My Lady. 23 MS. SCHMALTZ: No, I don't believe so, My Lady. 24 There is the exhibits but they will be dealt with in 25 the normal event. 26 THE COURT: All right. All right, thank you. MS. SCHMALTZ: Victim of crime surcharge, My Lady. 27

	1	THE	COURT: Yes, that will be waived consider:	ina
	2	****	that there's a term of incarceration.	LIIG
	3			
			Mr. Basson, I sincerely hope that you will have	
	4		learned your lesson from this. You are not going to	
	5		do yourself any good by getting involved in this kir	
	6		of activity. All you will do is ruin your life. The	nat
	7		is really a given and we see it every day. Don't	
	8		think that somehow you will be different, because you	u
	9		won't. I am sure you will spend some time thinking	
	10		about that. Obviously you are a hard worker and that	ıt
	11		is what you should be concentrating on instead of th	iis
	12		kind of thing.	
	13		Thank you very much, counsel, for your	
	14		presentation of the case.	
	15		•••••	
	16			
	17		Certified pursuant to Practice	
	18		Direction #20 dated December 18, 1987.	
	19		~	
	20		awnght	
	21		Annette Wright, RPR, CSR(A) Court Reporter	
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
1				