R. v. Mears, 2000 NWTSC 3

CR 03792

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

EDWARD JAMES MEARS

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 17th day of December, A.D. 1999.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. M. Scrivens:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. A. Mahar:

Counsel for the Defence

SAN 5 2000

THE COURT:

Edward James Mears is a

41-year-old resident of the city, who is before the Court to be sentenced for a serious crime in that he sexually assaulted a 12-year-old girl who was like a stepdaughter to him.

Mr. Mears and the girl's mother have been engaged in a common-law relationship these past three years. The three of them formed a family unit, and, in that sense, even though he may not be the girl's legal guardian, he was, at the time of the offence, in a position of trust vis-a-vis his 12-year-old victim.

The offence occurred in June of this year. The victim's mother was hospitalized at the time and only the offender and the victim were in the home. The offender, while apparently under the influence of alcohol and drugs, went into the victim's bedroom in the middle of the night and started touching the girl inappropriately. He then took her pants down, despite her resistance, and performed the act of cunnilingus, and also licked her breasts, and also had her, despite her resistance, touch and fondle his penis.

This appalling criminal conduct of this offender is even more aggravating because of the fact that his victim, a 12-year-old girl, is a blind person and, hence, even more vulnerable than other 12-year-old girls in similar circumstances, and because of her blindness and young age even more dependent on the

only adult person living in the household while her mother was in hospital.

In her initial statement to the police, the girl told them that she felt defenseless when this happened.

In her Victim Impact Statement, she described briefly some of the effects on her. Predictably, she mentions things like not being able to sleep at night, not being able to focus on her school work, and she is quite concerned about the effect this incident has on her own relationship with her mother.

It is the Court's hope that one day soon this young victim and her mother may be able to put this terrible incident behind them, and one hopes that the girl will continue to receive counselling.

The offender is a 41-year-old man who is divorced and has two older children from an earlier marriage. As mentioned, he had been in a common-law relationship for three years with the victim's mother at the time of this offence. He has a Grade 8 education with additional trades training. It appears he has maintained steady employment as a journeyman carpenter. He has no history of criminal behaviour before this incident. He acknowledged his responsibility for his behaviour and indicated his intention to plead guilty at an early stage. He waived his right to a preliminary inquiry so the

12-year-old victim was spared the trauma of having to testify in a public courtroom about this horrific thing that was done to her.

When Mr. Mears was questioned by the police, he eventually admitted his wrongdoing, and he told them that after the incident, after he realized the full impact of what he had done, that he was so disgusted with himself that he wanted to kill himself.

At this sentencing hearing, through his counsel, he says that he is appalled by what he did, that he doesn't understand why he did it, that he can't explain his behaviour towards this young girl whom he says he cares for a great deal.

The Court's sentence for a serious crime like this must reflect the seriousness of the crime committed and Mr. Mears's responsibility for that crime. Mr. Mears says he cannot explain why he did what he did. The Court sentence must be one that will go some way to deter him from ever again committing such a terrible crime. More importantly, the sentence must be one that will afford some protection to society against his unexplained, uncontrolled criminal behaviour, and hopefully the sentence, a period of incarceration, will also assist in his rehabilitation, or, put in other terms, in understanding himself why he did what he did. So, for these reasons, a meaningful period of incarceration is required. The

only issue is how long that incarceration ought to be.

Counsel have made a joint submission of two and a half years' imprisonment. Some might consider that on the light side or lenient side because of the aggravating circumstances of this crime. However, I am satisfied, taking into account Mr. Mears' clear acknowledgment of responsibility for his crime, that two and a half years is indeed within the range of an appropriate sentence in this case.

Please stand now, Mr. Mears. Edward James Mears, for the crime of sexual assault, it is the sentence of this court that you be imprisoned for a period of two and a half years. I recommend to the correctional authorities that you be given every opportunity while incarcerated for treatment programs or counselling by psychiatric professionals. In the circumstances, there will be no victim fine surcharge. The usual firearms prohibition for a period of ten years will issue. Any such item will be surrendered forthwith.

I want to commend counsel for your conduct of this case, which leads to a final disposition in a timely fashion. You can sit down. Anything further,

23 Counsel?

MR. SCRIVENS: No, My Lord.

MR. MAHAR: No, My Lord.

26 THE COURT: Thank you. We will close court.

27 (REASONS FOR SENTENCE CONCLUDED)

1	
2	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
3	of the Rules of Court
4	
5	Jane Romanowich, CSR(A) Court Reporter
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	