Yw

CR 03213

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- V -

PHILIP MANIK



Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence held before The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, sitting in Resolute Bay, in the Northwest Territories, on Monday, the 10th day of March, A.D., 1997.

<u>APPEARANCES</u>:

MS. D. ROBINSON:

Counsel for the Crown

MS. S. COOPER:

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT: Fine then. In those

circumstances, I am going to allow Mr. Manik the

exception provided for in (1.1) of Section 100.

Now, with respect to sentencing. This 44-year-old offender, Philip Manik, is before the Court this morning to be sentenced for two crimes that he committed about 20 years ago here in his home community of Resolute Bay. A jury of 12 of his fellow citizens convicted him last Saturday of two sexual offences against young girls.

In the first incident which occurred in 1975, the victim who is now 34 years of age says that when she was a child and after she had lost her mother, she was taken to live in the home of her great grandmother. This offender, Philip Manik, who she is related to apparently through her father, also lived in that house with his own wife.

The victim says that on an occasion in 1975 when the offender's wife was out of the community having a baby, the offender Philip Manik, then 22 years of age took her forcibly to a bedroom in that house and rap in the community having a baby. The same of the community having a baby, the offender Philip Manik, then 22 years of age at the time.

The second offence occurred in 1977 or 1978. The second victim who is now 31 years of age told the jury of an incident which started here at the community hall where she and other young people were attending a Christmas function. She says that this offender,

Philip Manik, appeared beside her all of a sudden in an intoxicated condition and grabbed her forcibly and took her to the nearby fire hall where he forced her into the cab of a truck and attempted to have intercourse with her. She was 12 or 13 at the time. She says that he only stopped these efforts when he heard banging on the walls of the building. The banging noise was caused by her young friends who were trying to intervene.

Neither of these victims told anyone of what had happened to her at the hands of Philip Manik for many, many years. Each of them told the Court that they were too scared to say anything, that it was simply too difficult to talk about. Then in 1995, each of them disclosed the incident to the police, apparently after participating in a healing conference here in the community.

The crime in Count 1 carries a maximum penalty of ten years imprisonment in a penitentiary and in Count 3, the maximum penalty is five years imprisonment. Neither offence carries a minimum punishment.

The courts in this jurisdiction have always taken a very strict approach in the matter of sentencing men who have sexually abused young children. Crimes of the kind committed by Philip Manik have invariably resulted in a penitentiary term. The Court is compelled to impose a meaningful sentence in each case of sexual

abuse of children in order to deter other men from committing a similar crime. Also, the sentence imposed on the offender must reflect the fact that the community strongly denounces this kind of behaviour as appalling and unacceptable conduct.

In the first incident, the young victim and her family and this community were entitled to expect that she, the 13-year-old girl, was safe in that home, safe from harm, safe from sexual predators; but Mr. Manik as an adult, albeit a young adult living in that home, he breached his position as an adult in that home, breached the trust that people had here in the community that adults do not sexually assault or abuse vulnerable young girls who live in the same household.

With respect to the second incident, again, the community is entitled to expect that young girls are safe to attend a function at the community centre without being assaulted and raped, whether by a drunken man or a sober man. Mr. Manik breached that trust that the community members normally place upon the young married men in the community.

Mr. Manik appears before the Court this morning, it seems, a quite different man than he was 20 years ago when he committed these crimes. Apparently, he was an habitual drinker in the 1970s and 1980s. He says that he has a huge blur concerning that period of his life.

I note that he has six convictions for assaultive behaviour in the 1980s. He says that he has turned his life around these past few years and has overcome his drinking problem. I am told that he and his wife have seven children and two grandchildren, that he is able to secure meaningful employment because of his trades training, and that he is able to support this large family.

Although I am satisfied that Philip Manik appears to be a different man today than he was when he committed these crimes as a young man, his crimes against these two young girls are no less serious today than at the moment he committed them. They are no less serious because of the passage of time.

The passage of time, some 20 years, without a resolution or a closing of these traumatic events has no doubt taken its toll on the two victims. Each of those women has had to carry this trauma, these emotional scars with them all these years as they grew up and had children of their own.

One of the victims states in a victim impact statement filed with the Court that because of this rape by Philip Manik when she was a 13-year-old, and other problems in her life, she says that she has tried to kill herself lots of times, that she does not know how to trust men, that she does not know how to trust men, that she does not know how to love a man. That victim also states in the statement that

with the help of her faith in God, her own religious beliefs, that she has been able to forgive Philip Manik for what he has done to her.

It is the Court's sincere wish and hope that each of these victims will benefit even in some small way by making their disclosure and having these matters resolved in a final way here in a public courtroom in the community. The Court hopes that these women will get some kind of closure through this process, and it is the Court's hope that both victims will now be able to put these traumatic memories behind them and to get on with their lives.

As to an appropriate sentence for Mr. Manik, I have some hesitancy in applying straight consecutive terms of the usual sentence for each of these crimes. In that context, I am mindful that these two crimes occurred some 20 years ago. I am of the view that the Court instead should look firstly at an appropriate global sentence and then assess individual terms of imprisonment accordingly.

In this regard taking into consideration all of the circumstances of this case and the submissions of counsel, I regard a four-year global sentence as the appropriate sentence in this case. Mr. Manik, would you now stand, sir?

Philip Manik, for the crime that you have committed in Count 1, unlawful sexual intercourse with

1 A. , it is the sentence of this Court that you serve a term of imprisonment of four years and for the crime in Count 3, indecently assaulting L 3 , it is the sentence of this Court that you serve 5 a term of imprisonment of two years concurrent to the 6 sentence on Count 1. For the reasons mentioned by counsel, I decline to impose any firearms prohibition order, and in the 8 9 circumstances of your incarceration, there will be no 10 Victim Fine Surcharge. Thank you. You may sit down 11 now. 12 Now, is there anything further with this case, 13 Counsel? 14 MS. ROBINSON: Thank you, My Lord. No. 15 MS. COOPER: No, My Lord. 16 THE COURT: I want to thank counsel for 17 your conduct of the cases on this docket. I know these cases were not particularly easy. They are very 18 19 difficult cases always. 20 I want to, for the record, give a special thank 21 you to our three interpreters for their assistance this past week or so, and I want to thank also the people in 22 the community for their hospitality. Our court, 23 perhaps fortunately, has not come to your community for 24 many years, and these are always difficult cases when 25 we do come to a small community. Although the court is 26 27 pleased to be here to enjoy your hospitality, to enjoy

	, 1	your community, I would hope that it not be in the same
	2	circumstances that brings us back here again. Thank
	3	you.
	4	Madam Clerk, if you will close court then.
	5	
	6	THE CLERK: All rise. Court stands adjourned without a day.
	7	4 ·
	8	ADJOURNED SINE DIE
	9	
	10	Certified Pursuant to Rule #723.
	11	randa randame co kure #723.
	12	
	13	Dona Daylor
	14	Tara Taylor, CSR(A), Court Reporter
	15	on reporter
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
:	21	
2	22	
2	23	
2	24	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
		l de la companya de