CR 03285

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

filed May 2/97

PATRICK ALEEKUK

Transcript of Oral Sentencing delivered by The Honourable Justice J.Z. Vertes, sitting at Aklavik, in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, April 23, A.D. 1997.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. S. Couper:

On behalf of the Crown

Ms. R. Gardener:

On behalf of the Defence

(Charge under Section 271 of the Criminal Code)

THE COURT: The accused, Patrick Aleekuk, has been convicted by a jury on a charge of sexual assault.

The evidence presented during the trial was far from clear-cut. Most of the witnesses, including the accused and the victim, had problems recalling large segments of what occurred around the time of the crime. What emerged, however, was a chain of evidence that led to what I describe as the only logical scenario.

During the weekend of July 27, 1996, here in Aklavik, the victim had been drinking heavily with several others. The accused had also been drinking heavily, but not in the company of the victim. At one point, the victim fell asleep and was left alone. The accused came into the house where she was asleep. When the victim awoke, she found the accused on top of her, passed out, but still penetrating her with his penis. Subsequent DNA analysis confirmed that the accused had ejaculated inside of her.

We in this Court, unfortunately, hear very many cases where a sleeping woman, or a woman who has passed out due to intoxication, has been victimized by a man who simply takes advantage of her vulnerable state. It is a despicable crime. It shows no respect whatsoever for another human being. It causes pain and feelings of revulsion in the victim (as in this case). It is a

crime that must be treated severely so as to deter others from acting in a similar way. It is a crime that must be treated severely so as to protect innocent members of a community.

It is not the first time that the accused has been punished by the courts for his actions. Indeed, this accused has a terrible history of criminal behaviour. His record reveals over 40 criminal convictions from 1972 to 1994. He has been convicted of thefts, break and enters, and assault, among other things. He has spent much, if not most, of the past 25 years behind bars. Most significantly, in 1989, he was convicted of sexual assault for which he was sentenced to three years imprisonment. There comes a time, and the time is now, when the protection of the community becomes the paramount and, indeed, the only consideration in sentencing. This man has caused too much harm over the years for it to be any other way.

What can be said on behalf of the accused?

He is 41 years old. He has limited education, no trade or skills, and he has held a variety of odd jobs over the years. His counsel has told me that this crime was caused by his extreme degree of alcohol intoxication. Apparently, his past crimes were affected by alcohol abuse. Yet I am told that he has had counseling during his previous periods of incarceration. I was also told that he makes a

conscious effort to stay sober because he knows he gets into trouble when he drinks.

Many judges of this court have said that alcohol abuse may be an explanation but it can never be an excuse. Many people drink; not all of them go into other people's homes and rape sleeping women. It seems to me that at his age no one can help the accused except himself. If he knows he causes trouble when he drinks, then he should not drink. If he cannot control himself, then, unfortunately, society will have to control him.

I take into account the nine months the accused has spent in pre-trial custody. But even with that I must impose a significant sentence.

Stand up, Mr. Aleekuk.

Mr. Aleekuk, I am not going to lecture you. You are old enough that you should understand what you did and why you are being punished. I sentence you to serve a term of imprisonment of six years. In addition, there will be an order pursuant to Section 100 prohibiting possession or use of firearms or any explosives for a period of ten years from the date of your release. You can sit down. There will no victim of crime fine surcharge in the circumstances, Counsel.

Now, is there anything else?

26 MR. COUPER: Yes, just a couple of

administrative matters, sir. In regard to the Section

```
100 order, should the order direct the firearms be
 1
           turned over forthwith?
       THE COURT:
 3
                                Does he have any firearms?
       MS. GARDENER:
                         He has no firearms, My Lord.
       THE COURT:
                                Then the order can direct that it
           be forthwith.
 6
       MR. COUPER:
 7
                                 The other application I have is
           for an order disposing of exhibits.
 9
       THE COURT:
                                Very well. The paper exhibits and
           the voir dire exhibits that were entered will stay on
10
           the court file. The jeans, Exhibit 2 --
11
12
       MR. COUPER:
                                Yes.
       THE COURT:
                                -- I'll direct that the clerk
13
           return them to the R.C.M.P. for safekeeping pending
14
           expiry of the appeal period. And then what is your
15
           client's wish, Miss Gardener? Does he want those pants
16
17
           back?
       MS. GARDENER:
18
                                No, My Lord.
19
       THE COURT:
                                At the expiry of the appeal
20
           period, the R.C.M.P. can destroy that.
       MR. COUPER:
21
                                Thank you, My Lord.
       THE COURT:
22
                                Thank you, Counsel. If there is
           nothing else, we will close court.
23
       (AT WHICH TIME PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
24
25
26
27
```

7	
1	
2	Certified pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987
3	
4	Jane Romanowich
5	Court Reporter
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	