CR 03712

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

lant

lent

## HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

L R



Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice V.A. Schuler, at Wha Ti in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, May 26th A.D., 1999.

## **APPEARANCES:**

Ms. L. Charbonneau:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. A. Mahar:

Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 271 Criminal Code of Canada

1 THE COURT: My task today is to sentence L
2 R who has today pleaded guilty to a charge of
3 sexually assaulting his wife.

At one time, a man could not be charged with sexually assaulting his own wife. But the law has changed, or the law did change many years ago, and it's very clear that if a man has sex with his wife and she does not agree to it, that is a crime. Mr. R has acknowledged that by pleading guilty to this charge. In other words, in pleading guilty he is saying that yes, he did commit a crime when he had sex with his wife although she told him that she didn't want to.

In fact, it's very clear that it is a serious crime because a person who is convicted of sexual assault could be sentenced to ten years in a federal penitentiary. That is the longest sentence that a Court can give for sexual assault but it is not uncommon for people to be sent to jail for three or four years for sexual assault.

In every case, the Court has to consider what happened in the particular sexual assault and also to consider the circumstances of the person who committed the sexual assault.

The Court has to consider also that it is important that people have respect for the law and that the public and married women and other women be protected from these things happening to them.

1.3

2.6

In this case, there are a number of factors that I have to consider.

Mr. R has, as I have said, pleaded guilty to this charge of sexual assault. That means that he is taking responsibility for this very wrong thing that he did. It also means that his wife does not have to get up in front of a jury and in front of her community and talk about this very painful and embarrassing thing that happened. We know from the many cases that the Court sees that it is very difficult for people to talk about such embarrassing and difficult things and it's very difficult for people to wait while the jury or the Court decides what is going to happen. So, I accept that in pleading guilty, although Mrs. R did have to testify at the preliminary hearing, she has not had to go through the trauma of testifying yet again.

Now, before I continue, I realize that I neglected to ask Mr. R whether there was anything that he wanted to say so I will ask him if he does wish to say anything and if he does, to please stand up and say it.

MR. MAHAR: He was just asking if he had to say anything, ma'am, and I said no.

24 THE COURT: So I take it from that he does not wish to say anything?

26 MR. MAHAR: No.

27 THE COURT: I also have to consider in this

case that this crime of sexual assault is a very serious offence.

I agree with Crown counsel that in cutting his wife's hair as he did, Mr. R showed even more disrespect to her than was shown in the sexual assault itself.

The relationship of husband and wife is a special one, and it's a relationship that should be a relationship of trust and of mutual respect and care for each other. So when a man assaults his wife, he breaks or harms that special relationship which we know as society is so important to preserve. That harm to that special relationship is something that we consider makes the offence even more serious.

I have to consider as well that Mr. R was convicted of an assault on his wife, this same lady, in 1993. Now that is over six years ago. From the sentence that he received, I conclude that perhaps that assault did not inflict serious injury on his wife.

I do notice that that assault took place apparently on Christmas Day of 1992, and I guess when one thinks that that should be a happy day for a family, that makes it especially sad that Mr. R would have treated his wife that way on that occasion. I have to keep in mind that Mr. R was already punished for that earlier assault and it's not for me now to do something that would repunish him in any

way.

Mr. R 's lawyer has told me about him and I have also a letter from Chief Nitsiza that talks about Mr. R The letter certainly is very complimentary about Mr. R and says that he is very reliable and that he is a person who is depended upon when things happen in the community. The letter also talks about how the community has benefitted from the concern and respect Mr. R shows towards the other people in the community. That should remind everyone that the same kind of concern and respect should be shown for a man's wife.

Now both the Crown and defence have said that they suggest that in this case, although a jail term would be appropriate, it should be shorter than in some other cases and it should be a jail term that Mr. R could spend in the community, here in Wha Ti, instead of going to the Yellowknife Correctional Centre. That is the kind of sentence that a Court can impose on a charge of sexual assault although I think it's fair to say that it's not very common for a Court to do that.

I will say first of all that in all the circumstances of this case, and particularly considering that Mr. R has pleaded guilty, I am satisfied that whatever sentence he gets should be less than two years.

In deciding whether Mr. R should serve that

sentence here in the community, first the law requires that I be satisfied that if he is allowed to serve the sentence in the community, it would not endanger the safety of the community.

Considering all the circumstances that I have been told about and in particular considering that

Mr. R from what I understand from the letter, is considered a helpful person in the community, I am satisfied that the safety of the community would not be endangered if he were to stay here in Wha Ti.

I am also satisfied that allowing Mr. R to serve the sentence here in the community would be consistent with the principles of sentencing in that conditions can be placed on him. Those conditions will, I believe, show to people that Mr. R is not simply being allowed to stay in the community and not having any consequences upon himself from what happened.

I would agree again with Crown counsel's characterization that there are factors about this case that do take it out of the ordinary and, in particular, the guilty plea and the fact that now the complainant will not have to be concerned about a trial at a future date.

- Mr. R , would you stand up, please.
- Mr. R , the sentence that I impose on you is one of two years less a day imprisonment. You will

2.3

however serve that sentence here in the community of Wha Ti subject to the conditions that I will impose upon you.

First of all, the mandatory conditions which are that you keep the peace and be of good behaviour, appear before the Court when required to do so by the Court, report to a supervisor, and I am going to name specifically Nora Quitte in Rae, and you are to do that by telephone within five working days of today. And after that, you are to report when required by Ms. Quitte and in the manner directed by her. You are to remain within the Northwest Territories unless you have written permission to go outside from the Court or from your supervisor. You are to notify the Court or the supervisor in advance of any change of name or address and promptly notify the Court or the supervisor of any change of employment or occupation.

As further conditions, you are not to have any contact with N  $^{\prime}$  R unless she initiates that contact, unless she contacts you first.

You are to perform 240 hours of community service as directed by the supervisor over a period of 18 months.

You are to provide for the support of your children to the best of your ability and to the satisfaction of the supervisor.

For the first year of your sentence, you are to

remain in your residence, House number 500, or any other residence that you may move to, between the hours of 11 o'clock p.m. and 7 o'clock a.m. except if required to be absent from your house during those hours for purposes of your employment.

Now with respect to this conditional sentence that I have now imposed on you, the clerk will give you a copy of the conditional sentence order. And the clerk will also explain to you the provisions of Sections 742.4 and 742.6 of the Criminal Code. Those sections of the Criminal Code deal with how the conditions may be changed and also with the procedure if you breach any of the conditions.

It's important, Mr. R , that you understand and that everyone in the community understands that although you will be serving this sentence here in the community, if you breach any of the conditions one of the things that can happen is that you may be required to serve the sentence in a jail, in the Yellowknife Correctional Centre.

Do you understand the conditions that I have made for your sentence?

23 THE ACCUSED: Yes.

24 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Now, with respect to Section 109 of the Criminal Code, I am required to make an order prohibiting you from possessing any firearm, crossbow, a prohibited

..6

weapon, restricted weapon, ammunition, explosive substance, and as otherwise set out in Section 109.

And that order will be in effect for a period of ten years from today.

That order will however be subject to Section 113 of the Criminal Code.

Under Section 113(1), I hereby authorize a chief firearms officer or the registrar to issue to you an authorization license or registration certificate for sustenance or employment purposes. And I do that taking into consideration that neither the offence before me nor the offence that you were previously convicted for involved any firearms. Also, there is no evidence before me that the safety of anyone would be in danger.

You can sit down now, Mr. R

Mr. R , as I said, the conditions that you are on have to be obeyed and if you breach any of them, it may mean that you end up serving the sentence in jail. There are many people here today and I am sure that when they see you walking around town or perhaps when they see that you are subject to a curfew, they will then think that the reason for this is because of the way that you have treated your wife.

Counsel, is there anything further in particular with respect to the conditions or the firearm prohibition order that I may have missed?

```
Nothing from the Crown.
      MS. CHARBONNEAU:
                               I suppose the only other thing is
      THE COURT:
          that there should be an order with respect to surrender
3
          of firearms.
      MR. MAHAR:
                               He does have three firearms, My
          Lady. Perhaps he could be directed to dispose of those
          or surrender them or otherwise dispose of them within
          90 days. That would give him time to make the
          application to the relevant firearms authority.
                               Does Crown have any submissions in
      THE COURT:
10
          that regard?
11
                               Perhaps I would suggest that the
      MS. CHARBONNEAU:
12
          period be slightly shorter than that, My Lady. Under
13
          the former regime, it was usually along the lines of 14
14
15
                  I appreciate that Mr. R might need more time
          if he wants to take care of the application but 90 days
16
          does seem like a long time.
17
                               Yes, I think it probably does. I
       THE COURT:
18
           will make the order that all such items then pursuant
19
           to the order be surrendered to the RCMP within 30 days
20
           of today's date.
21
                               Perhaps the order could also read
      MR. MAHAR:
22
           or otherwise lawfully disposed of. He can then give
23
           them to family members with FACs or whoever else he
24
25
           wishes to give them to.
       MS. CHARBONNEAU:
                               That might be a good idea.
26
           detachment here is quite small. I haven't consulted
27
```

```
with the officer about this but if there is another
           lawful means where the firearms could find themselves
           somewhere else, it might actually be preferable.
3
       THE COURT:
                               I am just looking at Section 114
          and it seems to specify only certain individuals. It
           says "a peace officer, a firearms officer, or a chief
           firearms officer".
      MS. CHARBONNEAU:
                               I'm sorry, My Lady, what section
          were you --
       THE COURT:
                               -- 114.
                                        It is discretionary
10
          however so I suppose that perhaps since it does use the
11
          word "may", I can do it the way that you have
12
13
           suggested. It will be to the RCMP or otherwise
           lawfully disposed of.
14
       MS. CHARBONNEAU:
                               Yes, the officer was just advising
15
          me that the procedure that he would be comfortable with
16
          would be if on written instructions from Mr. R , he
17
           could then transfer these firearms to someone else who
18
19
           is entitled to have them so that it's not simply a
20
          matter of turning the firearms over to the RCMP and
21
           leaving them there.
22
                And the second thing, there is apparently one of
           the firearms which Mr. R possesses that belongs to
23
           the rangers and would have to be returned to that
24
25
           entity.
26
       THE COURT:
                               I think if the order is worded that
27
           he is to within 30 days surrender all such items to the
```

	1		RCMP or otherwise lawfully dispose of them, that should
	2		cover all of these circumstances.
	3	MS.	CHARBONNEAU: Yes, I agree.
	4	THE	COURT: All right, the order will then be
	5		worded that way.
	6		Is there anything else, counsel, with respect to
	7 ·		this case?
	8	MR.	MAHAR: No, My Lady.
	9	INT	ERPRETER CECILIA WETRADE: I'm sorry, I didn't catch
	10		that about 30 days.
	11	THE	COURT: Mr. R is to give all of his
	12		firearms, ammunition, and explosives, any that he has,
	13		within 30 days to the RCMP or otherwise lawfully
	14		dispose of them.
	15		Counsel, if there is nothing else further, I will
	16		just ask that Mr. R or he will be directed
	17		rather to remain for purposes of getting a copy of the
	18		order and having it explained to him. I will also ask
	19		that Ms. Wetrade, that you assist with that.
	20		We will close court then. Thank you very much,
	21		counsel, for your disposition of the case.
	22	(AT	WHICH TIME THE ORAL REASONS FOR SENTENCE CONCLUDED)
	23		Certified pursuant to Rule 723 of the Supreme Court Rules.
	24		
	25		
	26		X Wurd
	27		Lois Hewitt, Court Reporter
簑			-