IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

– V-



WILBERT COOK

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Madam Justice V.A. Schuler, sitting in Norman Wells, in the Northwest Territories, on the 6th day of July, A.D. 1999.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. B. Lepage:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. J. Brydon:

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT:

The facts of this case are,

unfortunately, not all that uncommon. Mr. Cook has pleaded guilty to sexually assaulting a woman who was passed out at the time of the sexual assault and that circumstance is something that, unfortunately, quite often comes before the Court. It's particularly sad, in my view, when someone who obviously has education and has the ability to further himself in his career, comes before the Court in these circumstances; someone who has the ability and the opportunity to make a contribution to his community.

The pre-sentence report, which indicates that the worker interviewed quite a number of people who know the accused and who live in the community of Tulita, indicates that some individuals have indicated that there is a problem with sexual assault in Tulita. That doesn't come as any surprise. It's probably the most common offence that comes before the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories and it's the type of offence for which the courts have generally stated a jail term will be imposed.

Now, there are a number of things that I have to take into account in this case. I do take into account that Mr. Cook pleaded guilty. I take into account that although the matter was set for trial, the complainant has not had to testify at all. She did not have to testify because the preliminary

hearing was waived and, with the guilty plea this morning, has not had to testify and I accept that that is something that saves her what we, I think, all generally know is the trauma of having to testify in a case like this. It also indicates that the accused is taking responsibility for his actions and that he is willing to own up to them, and I take into account that it indicates remorse on his part as well as the remorse indicated by the apology that he has made here this morning and the fact that the pre-sentence report indicates that he had earlier indicated that he felt that he had done something wrong and wanted to apologize to the complainant.

I take into account, as well, the accused's background and the fact that it does appear that he has been able to garner quite a bit of respect from his fellow community members.

There are some aggravating factors. In my view, it's extremely aggravating that this offence occurred when the accused's 13-year-old son was present and I can't imagine what that young boy must have thought seeing his father carrying this passed-out woman to a room and then being unable to enter the room because his father had barred it or locked it with a knife. That's aggravating and, Mr. Cook, you should consider to yourself what does that teach your son? What does that make your son think about what kind of behavior

is acceptable?

It's also worth noting, and I don't take this as an aggravating factor, but obviously there are people in the community who feel a huge amount of disappointment in your behavior. That's something that I think comes quite naturally when somebody who is otherwise respected commits an offence, especially an offence like this, and people then see that the respect that they have has perhaps, in some ways, been misplaced.

I take into account that there is a criminal record and that the criminal record is very much related. It's for exactly the same offences, sexual assault in 1992 and 1990, and obviously the offence in 1992 was, in the spectrum of the various kinds of sexual assaults that there are, was of the more serious variety because the sentence imposed in that case was three years.

Now, Mr. Cook has been sentenced for his previous offences and I'm not resentencing him at this time, but the record does indicate that there is a problem and that's a problem that should be addressed so that this sort of thing doesn't happen again.

There is reference in the pre-sentence report to alcohol and people sometimes say, Well it's the alcohol that does it. But it's not the alcohol. Mr.

Cook, you're obviously an intelligent man. You're 34 years old, just about, and you know that it's you that takes the drink so it's you that causes the problem, not the alcohol.

Now, I take into account that counsel have made a joint submission in this case that there should be a sentence of four years incarceration and a joint submission is not binding on the Court, but it's something that I would not lightly ignore.

The four years that has been suggested is within the range for this kind of offence and I think especially in this case because of the guilty plea and the fact that the victim has not had to testify.

I should say that although the victim has not had to testify, obviously that doesn't mean that she hasn't been traumatized by what happened, and the description that the Crown read of the words that the victim, herself, has used to describe how she feels and what was said by Miss Fraser-McKay as well about what she has observed of the victim, indicate that this has had a very serious and traumatic impact on her and I take that into account as well. And it seems to me, Mr. Cook, that that's something that you should think about especially as someone who has family members who may have suffered sexual abuse or grown up in a family where there may have been sexual abuse. It seems to me that you should understand how

devastating that can be and the long-term effects that can leave.

It's very very sad, I suppose it is the only word I can think of, to think that with all your family responsibilities, the good work that you've done in the community, and the respect that you have been able to get from your community, that in effect all of that is thrown away because one night you get drunk and take advantage and sexually assault a young woman. The effect on her is, as I've said, devastating and obviously the effect on you is very serious because now you've lost your job and you are going to be separated from your family for a long time.

I am going to accept the joint submission made by counsel, but you should be aware, Mr. Cook, that in the circumstances, considering your record, you could have been looking at more than four years and if you commit a similar offence in the future, I would think that in all likelihood you would be looking at quite a bit more than that.

Would you stand up please. The sentence I impose on you is four years in jail. I will have the clerk endorse the warrant with the recommendation that you be given the opportunity for any treatment program suitable for sexual offenders and also any alcohol treatment. In the circumstances, considering

	i	
	1	what I've heard about your hunting activities and the
	2	fact that at the time of this offence Section 100 was
	3	in force, I'm not going to make a firearm prohibition
	4	order and the victim of crime surcharge will also be
	5	waived in light of the term of incarceration. You
	6	can sit down, Mr. Cook. Is there anything else,
	7	counsel, that should be addressed?
	8	MR. BRYDON: No thank you, My Lady.
	9	MR. LEPAGE: No thank you, My Lady.
	10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, counsel,
	11	for your disposition of the cases this week and we
	12	will close court.
	13	
	14	Certified pursuant to Practice Direction #20
:	15	dated December 28, 1987.
] :	16	Santa Zur
]	17	Sandra Burns C.S.R. (A)
1	L 8	Court Reporter
1	. 9	
2	20	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2.	7	