IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v-

GLEN RUBEN

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Justice V.A. Schuler, sitting in Inuvik, in the Northwest Territories, on the 20th day of January, A.D. 1999.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. J. Reid:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. A. Fox:

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT: Well, there will be a conviction in this case on Count 2 of the Indictment, the assault causing bodily harm on Garret Ruben.

I won't repeat the facts other than to say that it's interesting in a way. It seems that certainly in Southern Canada there is a lot of concern about what are called "home invasion" offences where essentially people barge into the home of older people and sometimes beat them up and often take.

THE COURT: Money often in very small amounts.

Now I'm not -- this isn't the classic home invasion case that one hears about from the south, but here are a couple of people, a man 63 years old who is in his home with his wife and his guests and some young men barge in and there is some kind of a melee or a fracas and when the wife tries to call the police to get some help, she's prevented from doing so and, in fact, the telephone line is broken and Mr. Garret is punched in the face, kicked all over his body and suffers, as I've heard, two black eyes, a swollen face, and a cut to the extent that he had to have stitches.

This kind of offence, it's shocking when it's anyone who is treated this way in their own home, but in my view it's especially shocking when it's someone 63 years old and I would suspect is probably considered one of the elders in the community. I'm

not treating that as an aggravating factor because that wasn't put to me as a fact, but I just make note of that.

Really no reason is given for the assault on Mr. Ruben other than things got out of hand after some kind of an attempt to resolve a problem over a hat. It is a serious offence however.

I bear in mind that I am sentencing Mr. Ruben on a charge of assault causing bodily harm only, that I'm not sentencing him for break and enter although the surrounding facts are essentially that he did barge into the house. There is no suggestion that he had any right to be there or that he was wanted there.

Mr. Ruben at the age of now 23 has quite a lengthy record. I take into account that the only conviction that's related in terms of a personal injury type of offence, that being the causing death by criminal negligence, occurred in 1988 when he was 12 years old and with the passage of time I don't put really any emphasis on that particular conviction.

However since then, 1989, there have been three convictions for break and enter and theft; in 1990 and 1992 also convictions for break and enter and theft; 1996 two charges of break and enter and theft and open custody; and in the latest case in 1996 when I take it Mr. Ruben would have been an adult, there

was custody or a term of custody imposed as well.

Now, it's said that this offence is out of character for Mr. Ruben and I accept that he's not a person who is assaultive or aggressive with other people but it is of concern that he has, at a fairly young age, accumulated a criminal record. Part of it being a youth record, in fact a large part of it being a youth record, but I do have to take that into account.

I take into account what has been said about him apologizing to Mr. Ruben and his wife, although I have to say that considering that the offence occurred in November of 1997, an apology in early 1999 just before court is somewhat less weighty than had it come at an early date.

I do take into account that Mr. Ruben has pleaded guilty to the charge and that, in my view, is an indication that he is taking responsibility for what he did and that he is accepting his role in this and, of course, it also saves the Rubens and anyone else who was involved from having to testify which I'm sure is the saving of some stress to them. So I do take into account the fact that there has been a guilty plea and that is to Mr. Ruben's credit.

The fact that there is an alcohol problem doesn't really play a role in the sense that Mr. Ruben, if you have an alcohol problem, then it is up

to you to deal with it. Don't wait for the Court to

tell you to do something about it because all you'll

do is accumulate more and more of a criminal record.

I take into account that Mr. Ruben's judgment

may have been affected to some extent by alcohol, but

may have been affected to some extent by alcohol, but unfortunately, that's simply all too common and it's certainly not a mitigating factor.

Stand up please, Mr. Ruben? In all the circumstances, Mr. Ruben, I sentence you to serve a term of incarceration of eight months and I will recommend that the warrant -- I will have the warrant endorsed with the recommendation that you be given access to alcohol counselling and treatment. You can sit down.

On Count 1 then, counsel?

16 MS. REID: It's to be withdrawn please, My Lady.

17 THE COURT: Do you want to withdraw it or since

there has been a not guilty plea call no evidence on

19 it?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20 MS. REID: I'm prepared to call no evidence on

it, My Lady.

22 THE COURT: All right, that count will be

dismissed then.

24 MR. FOX: Thank you, My Lady.

25 THE COURT: Is there anything further on this

26 matter, counsel?

MR. FOX: No, My Lady.

1	THE COURT: All right, thank you very much counsel
2	and we'll close court then.
3	(PROCEEDINGS CONDLUDED)
4	
5	Certified pursuant to Practice Direction #20
6	dated December 28, 1987.
7	
3	Sandra Burns, C.S.R. (A)
)	Court Reporter