## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

### HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

# JAN 19 1998

#### ALBERT JOHN KOE

Transcript of Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice V.A. Schuler, sitting at Fort McPherson, in the Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, January 6, A.D. 1998.

### APPEARANCES:

Ms. L. Charbonneau:

On behalf of the Crown

Mr. A. Fox:

On behalf of the Defence

(Charge under s. 279(2) of the Criminal Code)

1 THE COURT: I am not sure if I did indicate
2 for the record that a conviction will be entered, but
3 it will be entered on Count 2 of the Indictment.

Mr. Koe has pleaded guilty to a charge of sexual assault and is now convicted of sexual assault contrary to Section 271 of the Criminal Code.

The lawyers have presented what we call a joint submission. In other words, they have jointly suggested a sentence that they say is appropriate in all the circumstances, and certainly the law is that unless the joint submission is unreasonable, that it should be accepted by the Court, subject, of course, to the Court's discretion to impose a sentence that it thinks is fit.

In this case there are a number of factors to be considered. First of all, I have to take into account the prevalence of sexual assault, the problem of sexual assault, in the Northwest Territories. It is probably the most frequent offence that is dealt with by this Court. By that, I mean by the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories.

In this case, the facts of the offence which have been read in and to which I will just refer briefly are that the accused and the complainant, who were known to each other and had known each other since they were children, had been drinking and ended up going together to a certain house in Fort McPherson, and after others

had left the house, the accused then pulled down various items of clothing on the complainant and got on top of her. His pants were down, and there was a struggle. In other words, the complainant struggled to try to push the accused off of her. His spouse then walked into the house, at which point the accused got off the complainant and the spouse then assaulted the complainant.

Now, the circumstances are, I think, what would fairly be called under the old law a situation that amounts, really, to attempted rape. The circumstances also indicate to me that but for the accused's spouse walking into the room, matters might have continued on. In other words, it is not a situation where the accused stopped simply because he realized that the complainant was not agreeable to what he was trying to do.

I have to take into account also as an aggravating factor, that the accused has a criminal record and does not come before the Court as a person who has not been in trouble with the law before. In this particular case, although there are no sexual assaults on the record, I note there are seven convictions for assault, for one of which the accused received a sentence of eleven months in jail. So considering that and other jail sentences imposed for some of the other assaults on the record, obviously these were assaults of some

significance; they were not minor matters.

Now, I also have to take into account the fact that the accused, Mr. Koe, has pleaded guilty. And I should have asked, and I will do that now, Mr. Koe, whether there is anything that you want to say to the Court before I sentence you. You are not obliged to say anything, but if you wish to, you may do so. If you do wish to say something, stand up, please.

Does your client wish to say anything, Mr. Fox?

MR. FOX: He doesn't have anything further

to add, My Lady.

THE COURT: Thank you.

In terms of other matters that I must consider in this case, the guilty plea is a significant factor. It has saved the complainant from having to testify at a trial. We are all aware of the stress and the discomfort that people go through when they have to testify about personal and difficult matters such as this in a courtroom. So there is a significant acknowledgment, I think, in the guilty plea that the accused did not wish to put her through that. The guilty plea also indicates to me that the accused is accepting responsibility for what happened. He is willing to take the consequences of his actions, and that is something that is to be considered in his favour as well.

Also very significant in this case is the remand

time. The accused has been in custody for close to one year at this point in time, and although there is no hard and fast rule, it is often, I think, fair to say that remand time is accepted as being the equivalent of something more than that in what would have been time served because the accused does not gain any remission with respect to the remand time and, also, because often the circumstances of it are such that an accused person who is in remand is not able to participate in programs or other types of assistance that would otherwise be available.

I also take into account Mr. Koe's personal circumstances. I have been told that he is 32 years old, that he is involved in a common-law relationship and has children and that he has obligations with respect to his elderly mother.

I can only say that I hope, and noting that alcohol was involved in this offence and noting also the ravages, really, that alcohol has effected in the Northwest Territories and the terrible consequences of alcohol, I can only hope, Mr. Koe, that maybe now at the age of 32, maybe the people you will look to are people like your brother, who, I have been told, has been able to beat his alcohol problem, and maybe that is the kind of person that you will look at as a role model for you so that this type of thing does not continue and does not happen again, because, sad to

say, it is almost a guarantee, from what I have seen of your criminal record and what I have been told about this case, it is almost a guarantee that if you continue to drink, you will continue to get in trouble with the law and probably continue to go to jail, and I am sure that is not the life you want, I am sure it is not the kind of thing you want for your family. I am sure you realize as well that when members of your family such as your brother, who, I am told, is the chief -- when you commit offences, there is a certain amount of shame that is brought on your family and on people in your family who have been able to beat these kinds of problems. You should be joining them in trying to beat your problems instead of just continuing on the same way and ending up in jail over and over again. Now, that is a decision that only you can make. I cannot make that decision for you, I cannot force you to change your ways. But at the age of 32, it seems to me that you should realize that it is time to join the older brothers in your family in turning your life around and not engaging in this kind of behaviour, which is really -- it is not only criminal behaviour, but it is very foolish behaviour, and it certainly, in the end, only results in very bad consequences for the complainant, for the community, and for you yourself. Now, in considering the submission that has been

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

made, as I said, taking into account the remand time which is close to one year and which I think, in terms of the credit to be given to it, would amount to approximately a two-year sentence, considering all the factors that I have mentioned, I find that the submission is reasonable in the circumstances and I am prepared to accede to it. Counsel have also suggested that there be an order that the accused enter into a recognizance or peace bond, that he not initiate contact with the complainant in this case, and I think that is a reasonable condition as well.

Now, I want to make sure, Mr. Koe, that if I make that order, that you understand then what it means, which is that you are not to talk to the complainant in this case, Miss Vaneltsi, you are not to approach her or speak to her or send anyone else to approach her or speak to her. Do you understand that?

18 THE ACCUSED:

Yeah.

19 THE COURT:

All right. Stand up, please,

20 Mr. Koe.

In light of the remand time, which, as I have said, I regard as being the equivalent of an approximately two-year sentence, I am going to sentence you to one day in jail which will be served by your attendance here in court. I have to do that because I have to impose a sentence on you and that is why I am only making it one day. I am also going to order that

1		you enter into a peace bond, the condition of which
2		will be that you are not to initiate contact with the
3		complainant, Anne Marie Vaneltsi, for a period of one
4		year commencing today. I expect that counsel can draft
5		that peace bond and then Mr. Koe will have to enter
6		into it before a justice of the peace. I think I will
7		also make the order that that be done within 30 days of
8		today's date; in other words, the formal signing of
9		it. But you are bound by that from this date on,
10		Mr. Koe. Do you understand that?
11	THE	ACCUSED: Yeah.
12	THE	COURT: All right. There will be no
13		victim of crime surcharge in the circumstances.
14		Is there anything else, Counsel, that needs to be
15		addressed then on that matter?
16	MS.	CHARBONNEAU: No, My Lady.
17	MR.	FOX: No, My Lady.
18	THE	COURT: Thank you very much for your
19		submissions in that matter.
20		
21		
22		Certified pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987
23		$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}_{2}$ $-1$
24		Jane Romanowich
25		Court Reporter
26		
27		