CR 03541

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

vs. ·

DEC 1 1998

JOSEPH TEDJUK

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, at Cambridge Bay in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, November 4th A.D., 1998.

## APPEARANCES:

Ms. L. Charbonneau:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. A. Mahar:

Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 144 Criminal Code of Canada

Ban on Publication of Complainant / Witness Pursuant to Section 486 of the Criminal Code



THE COURT:

Because of Mr. Tedjuk's hearing

condition, I am going to pronounce the sentence in a loud voice in the hope that he can hear me. But in any event, I am going to direct the court reporter to prepare a transcript as soon as possible and to provide it to Mr. Tedjuk so that he will know what is being said today.

In this case, we have a 75-year-old man who is today convicted of committing a serious crime - the rape of a 16-year-old girl some 27 years ago.

It is now the Court's responsibility to impose an appropriate sentence.

On a date in August 1971, here in the community of Cambridge Bay, the victim and a friend were drinking and were intoxicated. They were invited by this offender, Joseph Tedjuk, then a 48-year-old man, to go to his home and continue drinking.

They went there and continued drinking and while at that house the offender, who was himself apparently intoxicated, pushed the young victim on to a bed and raped her notwithstanding her attempted resistance. The victim then passed out and when she woke up she went home and she didn't tell anyone what had happened to her.

The victim only disclosed this incident a few years ago while undergoing counselling and reported it to the police last year.

She says that she confronted the offender Joseph Tedjuk about this in 1995 and at that time he apologized.

When charged with this offence last year, the offender elected to have a jury trial. He was committed to stand trial before a jury following a preliminary hearing in January 1998.

This week, at the commencement of the jury assizes, he pleaded guilty to the charge.

Mr. Tedjuk says he has no memory of this incident however he accepts as the truth what the victim has said about his conduct on that day back in August of 1971.

He expresses remorse for his conduct and he attributes it to his alcohol abuse in those early years.

Today the offender who appears before the Court is a very frail 75-year-old man who is hard of hearing and who is generally in poor health. His wife is deceased. He has nine children and many grandchildren. One daughter and five grandchildren live here in Cambridge Bay. In fact, his 14-year-old grandson lives in his residence with him. I am told he spends most of his time at home with occasional visits outside of his house to visit friends and to play cards.

Mr. Tedjuk has a criminal record as follows: 1978,

two convictions for common assault resulting in fines.

1984, common assault resulting in a fine. 1985, sexual assault with a sentence of one year imprisonment.

At the time that Mr. Tedjuk committed this crime in 1971, it was punishable by a maximum sentence of life imprisonment. Today the same crime is punishable by a maximum of ten years' imprisonment in a federal penitentiary in southern Canada.

The primary purpose of our criminal law system, including the sentencing process, is the protection of the public and particularly those members of society who are vulnerable and unable to protect themselves. Any sentence that is imposed must always reflect that primary purpose.

A sentence that is imposed can have additional objectives such as deterrence and denunciation. These and other objectives and principles of sentencing are now spelled out in some detail in the Criminal Code for the guidance of sentencing Judges and for the general information of the public.

It has always been the case that when a Judge is sentencing an offender for a crime like the one committed by Joseph Tedjuk, that is the rape of a 16-year-old girl, the important or overriding considerations are protection of the public, deterrence, and denunciation. This usually means that the sentencing Judge is compelled to impose a

substantial period of incarceration in order to adequately or appropriately meet those objectives.

But not always. Sometimes there are exceptional circumstances or unique circumstances.

Taking into consideration what both counsel have said to the Court, I am of the view that this case is indeed unique or unusual.

Firstly, I am not satisfied that today it is necessary to incarcerate this frail 75-year-old Inuk in a penal institution in Yellowknife or elsewhere in order to protect the members of the community from him.

And secondly, denunciation of Mr. Tedjuk's unlawful conduct and general deterrence can be achieved in the circumstances of this case by imposing a sentence short of actual incarceration.

I am accordingly prepared to accept counsel's joint submission with respect to an appropriate sentence in this exceptional case.

Before imposing that sentence, I want to state for the victim the Court's sincere hope that her treatment and recovery will continue. I am told that over the years she has suffered emotionally as a result of this incident which occurred 27 years ago and that she has experienced nightmares about this incident for many years. Hopefully with the concluding chaper of this case today, she can put this matter behind her and get

on with her recovery towards a normal and healthy life.

Mr. Tedjuk, please stand now, sir.

Joseph Tedjuk, for the crime that you have committed, that is the rape of R M on August 15th, 1971, I hereby sentence you to a term of imprisonment of two years less one day.

As I am satisfied that allowing you to serve this sentence in your community will not endanger the safety of the community and would be consistent with the fundamental purpose and principles of sentencing that are set out in the Criminal Code, I order that you serve your sentence in the community of Cambridge Bay subject to your compliance with the following conditions:

That you keep the peace and be of good behaviour. That you appear before this Court when required to do so. That you report to a supervisor in this community within two working days and thereafter whenever required by the supervisor. That you remain within the jurisdiction of this Court unless written permission to leave the jurisdiction is obtained from the Court or the supervisor. That you notify the Court or the supervisor in advance of any change of name or address and promptly notify the Court or the supervisor of any change of employment or occupation. That you abstain from the consumption of alcohol. That except for

absences for medical reasons, that you remain in your usual residence every day for the first 12 months of your term of imprisonment with the exception of a period of time from 12 noon to 3 p.m. each day when you are permitted to be absent from your residence.

Now, Mr. Tedjuk, I want you to understand that if you do not comply with these conditions, you can be brought back to court here and if the Court is satisfied that you have failed to obey these conditions, there is a strong likelihood that you will be sent to jail in Yellowknife to serve the rest of your sentence behind bars.

I am going to direct the Clerk of the Court to provide you with a copy of this order and with the assistance of your lawyer, Mr. Mahar, and the court interpreter, to explain to you the substance of Section 742.4 and 742.6 of the Criminal Code and the procedure for applying for a change to the stated conditions.

Now, at this time I am going to direct that we adjourn court and we will reconvene after the clerk and Mr. Mahar and the interpreter have had an opportunity to go over these things with Mr. Tedjuk, and we will reconvene for the purpose of confirming that that has been done and that Mr. Joseph Tedjuk understands everything in the circumstances that we have here today where I am not satisfied that he can hear me necessarily, each and every word.

Я

2.2

So we are going to adjourn for 20 minutes. 1 takes longer, it takes longer. 2 3 (ADJOURNMENT) 4 MR. MAHAR: My Lord, first for the assistance of the court reporter, Mr. Tedjuk's address in Cambridge Bay, Box 1096, area code XOE OCO, for the provision of the transcript. 7 The conditions of the conditional sentence, as well as what can happen with respect to a breach and the provisions for changing, have all been explained to 10 Mr. Tedjuk, and I am content that he understands them 11 and more importantly he is content that he understands 12 what is happening to him. So on that basis, sir, I 13 believe that we can conclude. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Before we adjourn, I 15 want to compliment counsel for their handling of this 16 difficult case. 17 If there is nothing further, we will close court. 18 (AT WHICH TIME THE ORAL REASONS FOR SENTENCE CONCLUDED) 19 20 Certified pursuant to Practice 21 Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987. 22 23 24 25 26 Lois Hewitt Court Reporter