CR 03440

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- V -

SANGANI OSUITOK

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence
held before The Honourable Justice J.Z. Vertes,
sitting in Cape Dorset, in the Northwest Territories,
on Monday, the 2nd day of February, A.D., 1998.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. D. Garson:

Mr. V. Foldats:

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT: Sangani Osuitok has been convicted by a jury of the charge of sexual assault.

Basically, the accused, under a pretext, took the victim to his home and there, despite her protests and because of her fear of him, he raped her. The acts consisted of both vaginal and anal intercourse.

Fortunately, the victim suffered no overt physical injuries.

To say that the accused has exhibited no remorse would be an understatement. He sat before this jury, made up of members of his community, and gave an unbelievable and totally self-serving account of how, as he claimed, the victim willingly participated in sexual relations with him. The jury obviously rejected his story and, in my opinion, they were totally justified in doing so.

The law says that the primary purpose of sentencing a criminal offender is the protection of society. It is all too apparent that the people of Cape Dorset require protection from this offender. To accomplish that, there is only one alternative, and that is the imposition of a term of imprisonment. This man must be removed from the community so there will be no more victims. Hopefully, he will also learn from this sentence that he must change his life; otherwise, he is likely to end up spending the rest of his life behind bars.

Mr. Osuitok's personal history reveals a pattern of criminal behaviour and violent acts.

The accused, prior to this offence in November 1996, was convicted of 24 offences between 1978 and 1994. Of these, 12 related to crimes of assault, threats, or the use of weapons. Three are directly related to the type of crime before us now.

In 1978, the accused was convicted of indecent assault and sentenced to four months' imprisonment; in 1983, he was convicted of rape and sentenced to 18 months in jail; and, in 1988, he was convicted of sexual assault and sentenced to four years in the penitentiary. He obviously learned nothing from these past periods of incarceration.

Since this offence in 1996, he was subsequently convicted in 1997 of two assault with weapon charges.

This offender is an obvious danger to the members of his community. He has failed to respond to any measures attempted in the past, whether it be periods of probation or lengthy terms of imprisonment.

Mr. Osuitok is now 37 years old. He was brought up in the traditional Inuit ways. He is a carver of some renown. I am told that he does not blame anyone for his criminal record. So it seems to me that he understands that only he has the power to change his life, to turn away from violence and become an accepted member of his community. But my main concern at this

moment must be the protection of the people of Cape

Dorset, and that can only be achieved by removing this

man from Cape Dorset for a long time.

It is the sentence of this Court that Sangani Osuitok be imprisoned in a federal penitentiary for a term of seven years.

In addition, having regard to the repetitive nature of this type of crime committed by this accused, having regard to the fact that he has not complied with supervised programs previously as evidenced by his past violations of probation orders, having regard to the fact that past efforts at rehabilitation have failed despite lengthy periods of incarceration, and having regard to the need to emphasize the aspects of protection of the public and specific deterrence of this individual, I direct that he must serve at least one half of his sentence before he may be released on parole, pursuant to Section 743.6 of the Criminal Code. In my opinion, this is an exceptional case, and I am not at all satisfied that the likelihood of rehabilitation will be achieved within the normal period of parole ineligibility and thus the need to protect the community becomes paramount.

Also, I hereby make an order prohibiting the accused from having in his possession any firearms or ammunition for a period of ten years from the date of his release. If he has any such items, they are to be

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1			
1		provided to the RCMP	forthwith.
2		I realize that	the ability to use and possess a
3		firearm in hunting a	ctivities is very much a part of
4		the Inuit culture an	d self-image. But this man has
5		shown in the past th	at he cannot be trusted with
6		weapons. He has sev	eral convictions related to the
7		criminal use of weap	ons. Therefore, once again, the
8		protection of the community must be paramount.	
9		Finally, under	the circumstances, there will be no
10		victim of crime fine	surcharge.
11		Is there anythi	ng else, counsel?
12	MR.	GARSON:	No, My Lord.
13	MR.	FOLDATS:	No, My Lord.
14	THE	COURT:	All right. I want to express my
15		appreciation to both	of you for your work. I want to
16		especially thank our	two interpreters for their good
17		work. Thank you to	the staff. We will close court.
18	THE	CLERK:	Order, all rise. Court stands
19		adjourned without a d	day.
20	ADJ	OURNED SINE DIE	
21			Certified pursuant to Practice
22			Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987.
23			
24			
25			
26			Dosa Daylo:
27			Tara Taylor, CSR(A), Court Reporter

