pled quilty x 2 yr plat by concurrent

CR 03522

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

FEB 6 1998 FEB 6 1998

JOSEPH JEAN LOUIE COMEAU

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence
held before The Honourable Justice J.Z. Vertes,
sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories,
on Thursday, the 5th day of February, A.D., 1998.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. S. Couper:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. J. Brydon:

Counsel for the Defence

1 THE COURT: Joseph Jean Louie Comeau has
2 pleaded guilty to two charges of indecent assault.
3 These two offences occurred over 30 years ago in
4 Inuvik.

The accused, during the years 1958 to 1965, was living in Inuvik and employed as a part-time supervisor at Grollier Hall, a residential school operated by the Roman Catholic Mission. His duties included guidance counselling and organizing activities for the students.

The two offences relate to two specific instances. In the first, occurring in 1962, the accused went into the bedroom of the victim, then 13 years old, and fondled the boy's penis. In the second incident, in 1963, the accused did a similar act to another boy who was then 11 years old. These offences did not come to light until 1997.

We have now come to the realization that incidents of child abuse are and were far more prevalent in our society than we had previously thought. Certainly we have come to the realization, all too late unfortunately for some, that many of the things we thought were done for good reasons had a terrible affect on some people. The residential school system, while benefitting some, certainly resulted in much hardship and pain for many others; and while many of the people who worked in that system were good and kind

people, we also know that some others took advantage of the helplessness of the students who were forced to be there.

But this case must be kept in perspective. This accused is not to be sentenced for the wrongs of the residential school system. He is one man who is to be sentenced for the specific criminal acts that he committed.

I recognize that the victims in this case have suffered. Any sentence I impose will not absolve that suffering. Indeed, any sentence I impose will likely be seen as deficient in the eyes of these victims. I understand that, but it is very difficult for a court to say what degree of punishment is likely in any particular case to be regarded as sufficient in the eyes of the victim. We do not mete out punishment for the sake of retribution alone.

The primary purpose of sentencing is to protect society. This involves a blending of deterrence, denunciation, rehabilitation, and retribution.

Ultimately, the sentence in any case must be proportionate to the gravity of the offence and the blameworthiness of the offender.

In cases such as this, however, the paramount considerations are denunciation and deterrence:

Denunciation so as to reflect society's condemnation of this conduct and deterrence, perhaps not so much in

this case so as to deter this offender, but deterrence to deter others from committing such acts and thus furthering the protection of society.

The accused is 63 years old. He is a retired banker. He is a father and a grandfather with a supportive family. He has serious health problems, and I am told that his life expectancy is only for a further five years. For these reasons, his counsel seeks a conditional sentence which would allow him to serve his time out of jail. As his counsel put it, and I quote: "He is an old, sick, dying man, and nothing is to be gained by incarcerating him."

Recently, the Alberta Court of Appeal in the case of R v. Brady said the following with respect to conditional sentences and particularly with respect to crimes such as this where the paramount concerns are deterrence and denunciation. I quote:

"It has also been argued that the conditional sentence usually expresses society's denunciation of an offence. Denunciation continues to be a legitimate aim of sentencing. conviction by itself does not entail the same degree of denunciation as does jailing the offender. Of course, conviction carries with it some element of denunciation because of the stigma attached to a criminal conviction. for many crimes, a conviction without any meaningful consequence would not sufficiently reflect society's repudiation of the crime. A sentence must be proportional to the harm done as well as to the moral blameworthiness This is society's way of the offender. of affirming fundamental values, protecting the public, and making it

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 clear to those who transgress these values that they are accountable for 2 their actions. 3 Nor can one equate the denunciation implied by actual imprisonment with 4 probation, a suspended sentence or even an ordinary conditional sentence. 5 is true that the deterrent and denunciatory purposes which led to the original sentence remain in force even 6 when the parole authorities allow early 7 But there is vastly more release. denunciation in being jailed originally 8 and then later paroled, than never being jailed. 9 So we conclude that a conditional 10 sentence would not ordinarily be available for those offences where the 11 paramount consideration is denunciation and deterrence." 12 13 In my opinion, these comments are apt to this 14 case. 15 There is no realistic fear that this man will ever 16 reoffend. He poses no danger to the community; but the crimes he committed, even though it was 30 years ago, 17 18 must still be condemned. The public must know that 19 perpetrators of these types of crimes will be held accountable. In my opinion, this is not an appropriate 20 21 case for a conditional sentence. 22 Crown counsel has outlined the aggravating 23 features of this case. The accused was in a position of trust and authority, and he abused it. He has been 24

25

26

27

convicted recently of two similar offences in British

Columbia which also occurred many years ago, so it

cannot be said that these offences were strikingly

singular or out of character. Indeed, his counsel 1 tells me that the accused has wrestled with his 2 compulsions for many years. 3 On the other hand, I have to give the accused credit for his guilty pleas. That is a significant 5 mitigating factor in his favour. I also cannot be blind to his personal circumstances and his 7 deteriorating health. 8 I hereby sentence the accused to a term of 9 imprisonment of one year on each count to be served 10 concurrently, such sentence to be served consecutively 11 to the sentence currently being served in British 12 Columbia. My recommendation is that he be allowed to 13 serve the complete sentence in British Columbia. 14 I make no further orders or directions. 15 Anything else, counsel? 16 Nothing, My Lord. MR. BRYDON: 17 No, thank you, My Lord. MR. COUPER: 18 Mr. Brydon? THE COURT: 19 No, thank you, My Lord. 20 MR. BRYDON: Thank you for your submissions. THE COURT: 21 We will adjourn. 22 All rise. Court is adjourned 23 THE CLERK: without a day. 24 25 26 ADJOURNED SINE DIE 27

1		
)	1	Certified pursuant to Practice
) production (2	Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987.
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	Des Dento
	7	Tara Taylor, CSR(A), Court Reporter
	8	
	9	
	10	:·
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

