## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

## HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

## JACKIE ITTIGAITOK

Transcript of Reasons of Sentence delivered by The Honourable Mr. Justice S.R. Kurisko, sitting at Rankin Inlet, in the Northwest Territories, on Thursday, May 30, A.D. 1996.

## APPEARANCES:

Ms. M. Nightingale: On behalf of the Crown

Mr. D. Blais:

On behalf of the Defence

(Charge under Section 271 of the Criminal Code)

THE COURT: The accused has been found guilty and a conviction registered for sexual assault after trial by a jury.

This Court is bound by the approach adopted in Sandercock. The starting point for this major sexual assault is three years. The mitigating circumstances stated in Sandercock include:

- Prompt plea of guilty indicating not only remorse but a willingness to spare the victim the need to testify;
- 2. Remorse; and
- 3. Immaturity.

The fact that the matter has proceeded to trial is self-evident of the failure of the first mitigating circumstance.

There is no evidence of remorse. The accused has remained silent throughout, even to this moment in time.

As to immaturity, the accused is 32 years old, single, and has a record for violence and other crimes including sexual assault for which he was still serving time although out on parole at the time this offence was committed.

He is well aware of the consequences of criminal behaviour. In short, there are no mitigating factors in this case.

The pain suffered by Patricia Aklunark was apparent from the instant she began her testimony at trial.

It would be easy to be carried away by her poignant, eloquent, heart-rendering expression of the impact of this event on her life and her family. I must, however, temper my approach to deterrence and denunciation by the reality that an undue length of sentence cannot ameliorate the hurt she has experienced. The Court can only say to Patricia Aklunark that society recognizes the harm that has been done to her. She must make every effort to realize she is not to blame. She has done nothing wrong. She deserves credit for her courage in testifying and expressing her hurt and pain in open court. The Court respects her for what she has done.

One of the factors the Court must take into consideration is that the accused has spent seven months in custody. I have considered that in arriving at my conclusion.

Having regard to the need for denunciation and deterrence, the lack of remorse and the other aggravating circumstances I have mentioned, the accused is sentenced to five years in jail.

The firearms and explosives prohibition under Section 100 of the Code for ten years shall issue.

I have endorsed the Indictment as follows: "For

ı		
	1	reasons stated in open court, the accused is sentenced
	2	to five years in jail. Ten-year prohibition under
	3	Section 100 to issue." I have registered the
	4	conviction consequent upon the finding of guilt by the
	5	jury.
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9 10	Certified correct to the best of my skill and ability (subject to editing by presiding Judge)
	11	Dy problems stage?
	12	Jane Romanowich
	13	Court Reporter
-	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
-	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	